



Namık Kemal Üniversitesi
Tekirdağ Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi
Journal of Tekirdag Agricultural Faculty

An International Journal of all Subjects of Agriculture

Sahibi / Owner

Namık Kemal Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Adına
On Behalf of Namık Kemal University Agricultural Faculty

Prof.Dr. Ahmet İSTANBULLUOĞLU
Dekan / Dean

Editörler Kurulu / Editorial Board

Başkan / Editor in Chief

Prof.Dr. Selçuk ALBUT
Ziraat Fakültesi Biyosistem Mühendisliği Bölümü
Department Biosystem Engineering, Agricultural Faculty
salbut@nku.edu.tr

Üyeler / Members

Prof.Dr. M. İhsan SOYSAL	Zootekni / Animal Science
Prof.Dr. Bülent EKER	Biyosistem Mühendisliği / Biosystem Engineering
Prof.Dr. Servet VARIŞ	Bahçe Bitkileri / Horticulture
Prof.Dr. Temel GENÇTAN	Tarla Bitkileri / Field Crops
Prof.Dr. Müjgan KIVAN	Bitki Koruma / Plant Protection
Prof.Dr. Aydın ADILOĞLU	Toprak Bilimi ve Bitki Besleme / Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Prof.Dr. Fatih KONUKCU	Biyosistem Mühendisliği / Biosystem Engineering
Prof.Dr. Sezen ARAT	Tarımsal Biyoteknoloji / Agricultural Biotechnology
Doç.Dr. Ömer AZABAĞAOĞLU	Tarım Ekonomisi / Agricultural Economics
Doç.Dr. Mustafa MİRİK	Bitki Koruma / Plant Protection
Doç.Dr. Ümit GEÇGEL	Gıda Mühendisliği / Food Engineering
Yrd.Doç.Dr. Devrim OSKAY	Tarımsal Biyoteknoloji / Agricultural Biotechnology
Yrd.Doç.Dr. Harun HURMA	Tarım Ekonomisi / Agricultural Economics
Yrd.Doç.Dr. M. Recai DURGUT	Biyosistem Mühendisliği / Biosystem Engineering

İndeksler / Indexing and abstracting



CABI tarafından full-text olarak indekslenmektedir/ Included in CABI



DOAJ tarafından full-text olarak indekslenmektedir / Included in DOAJ



EBSCO tarafından full-text olarak indekslenmektedir / Included in EBSCO



FAO AGRIS Veri Tabanında İndekslenmektedir / Indexed by FAO AGRIS Database



INDEX COPERNICUS tarafından full-text olarak indekslenmektedir / Included in INDEX COPERNICUS



TUBİTAK-ULAKBİM Tarım, Veteriner ve Biyoloji Bilimleri Veri Tabanı (TVBBVT) Tarafından taranmaktadır / Indexed by TUBİTAK-ULAKBİM Agriculture, Veterinary and Biological Sciences Database

Yazışma Adresi / Corresponding Address

Tekirdağ Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi NKÜ Ziraat Fakültesi 59030 TEKİRDAĞ

E-mail: ziraatdergi@nku.edu.tr
Web adresi: http://jotaf.nku.edu.tr
Tel: +90 282 250 20 07

ISSN: 1302-7050

Danışmanlar Kurulu /Advisory Board

Bahçe Bitkileri / Horticulture

- Prof.Dr. Kazım ABAK** Çukurova Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Adana
Prof.Dr. Y.Sabit AĞAOĞLU Ankara Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Ankara
Prof.Dr. Jim HANCOCK Michigan State Univ. USA
Prof.Dr. Mustafa PEKMEZCİ Akdeniz Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Antalya

Bitki Koruma / Plant Protection

- Prof.Dr. Mithat DOĞANLAR** Mustafa Kemal Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Hatay
Prof.Dr. Timur DÖKEN Adnan Menderes Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Aydın
Prof.Dr. Ivanka LECHAVA Agricultural Univ. Plovdiv-Bulgaria
Dr. Emil POCSAI Plant Protection Soil Cons. Service Velenca-Hungary

Gıda Mühendisliği / Food Engineering

- Prof.Dr. Yaşar HIŞIL** Ege Üniv. Mühendislik Fak. İzmir
Prof.Dr. Fevzi KELEŞ Atatürk Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Erzurum
Prof.Dr. Atilla YETİŞEMİYEN Ankara Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Ankara
Prof.Dr. Zhelyazko SIMOV University of Food Technologies Bulgaria

Tarımsal Biyoteknoloji / Agricultural Biotechnology

- Prof.Dr. Hakan TURHAN** Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Çanakkale
Prof.Dr. Khalid Mahmood KHAWAR Ankara Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Ankara
Prof.Dr. Mehmet KURAN Ondokuz Mayıs Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Samsun
Doç.Dr. Tuğrul GİRAY University of Puerto Rico. USA
Doç.Dr. Kemal KARABAĞ Akdeniz Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Antalya
Doç.Dr. Mehmet Ali KAYIŞ Selçuk Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Konya

Tarla Bitkileri / Field Crops

- Prof.Dr. Esvet AÇIKGÖZ** Uludağ Üniv.Ziraat Fak. Bursa
Prof.Dr. Özer KOLSARICI Ankara Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Ankara
Dr. Nurettin TAHSİN Agric. Univ. Plovdiv Bulgaria
Prof.Dr. Murat ÖZGEN Ankara Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Ankara
Doç. Dr. Christina YANCHEVA Agric. Univ. Plovdiv Bulgaria

Tarım Ekonomisi / Agricultural Economics

- Prof.Dr. Faruk EMEKSİZ** Çukurova Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Adana
Prof.Dr. Hasan VURAL Uludağ Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Bursa
Prof.Dr. Gamze SANER Ege Üniv. Ziraat Fak. İzmir
Dr. Alberto POMBO El Colegio de la Frontera Norte, Meksika

Tarım Makineleri / Agricultural Machinery

- Prof.Dr. Thefanis GEMTOS** Aristotle Univ. Greece
Prof.Dr. Simon BLACKMORE The Royal Vet.&Agr. Univ. Denmark
Prof.Dr. Hamdi BİLGİN Ege Üniv. Ziraat Fak. İzmir
Prof.Dr. Ali İhsan ACAR Ankara Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Ankara

Tarımsal Yapılar ve Sulama / Farm Structures and Irrigation

- Prof.Dr. Ömer ANAPALI** Atatürk Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Erzurum
Prof.Dr. Christos BABAJIMOPOULOS Aristotle Univ. Greece
Dr. Arie NADLER Ministry Agr. ARO Israel

Toprak / Soil Science

- Prof.Dr. Sait GEZGİN** Selçuk Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Konya
Prof.Dr. Selim KAPUR Çukurova Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Adana
Prof.Dr. Metin TURAN Atatürk Üniv.Ziraat Fak. Erzurum
Doç. Dr. Pasquale STEDUTO FAO Water Division Italy

Zootekni / Animal Science

- Prof.Dr. Andreas GEORGIDUS** Aristotle Univ. Greece
Prof.Dr. Ignacy MISZTAL Breeding and Genetics University of Georgia USA
Prof.Dr. Kristaq KUME Center for Agricultural Technology Transfer Albania
Dr. Brian KINGHORN The Ins. of Genetics and Bioinf. Univ. of New England Australia
Prof.Dr. Ivan STANKOV Trakia Univ. Dept. Of Animal Sci. Bulgaria
Prof.Dr. Nihat ÖZEN Akdeniz Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Antalya
Prof.Dr. Jozsef RATKY Res. Ins. Animal Breed. and Nut. Hungary
Prof.Dr. Naci TÜZEMEN Atatürk Üniv. Ziraat Fak. Erzurum

İÇİNDEKİLER / CONTENTS

T. Aktas, H.H. Orak, F. Hasturk Sahin, N.Ekinci Effects of Different Drying Methods on Drying Kinetics and Color Parameters of Strawberry Tree (Arbutus unedo L.) Fruit Farklı Kurutma Metodlarının Kocayemiş Meyvesinin (Arbutus unedo L.) Kuruma Kinetikleri ve Renk Parametreleri Üzerine Etkileri	1-12
O.O. Özer, U. İlkdoğan Box-Jenkins Modeli Yardımıyla Dünya Pamuk Fiyatının Tahmini The World Cotton Price Forecasting By Using Box-Jenkins Model.....	13-20
B.C. Bilgili Çankırı Kenti Kamusal Yeşil Alanlarının Yeterliliğinin Ulaşılabilirlik Yönünden Değerlendirilmesi Evaluation of Public Green Areas Adequacy in the City of Çankırı for Accessibility	21-25
S. Selvi, A. Dağdelen, S. Kara Kazdağlarından (Balıkesir-Edremit) Toplanan ve Çay Olarak Tüketilen Tıbbi ve Aromatik Bitkiler Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Consumed As Herbal Tea And Collected From Ida Mountains (Balıkesir-Edremit)	26-33
P.Ö. Kurt, K. Yağdı Bazı İleri Ekmeklik Buğday (Triticum Aestivum L.) Hatlarının Bursa Koşullarında Kalite Özellikleri Yönünden Performansının Araştırılması Investigation of Quality Traits Performance of Some Advanced Bread Wheat (Triticum Aestivum L.) Lines Under in Bursa Conditions	34-43
A. Balkan, T. Gençtan Ekmeklik Buğdayda (Triticum Aestivum L.) Osmotik Stresin Çimlenme Ve Erken Fide Gelişimi Üzerine Etkisi Effect Of Osmotic Stress On Germination And Early Seedling Growth in Bread Wheat (Triticum Aestivum L.)	44-52
M.F. Baran, B. Akbayrak Tarım Makineleri Hibe Programının Kırklareli İlinin Mekanizasyon Gelişimine Etkisi The Effect of Agricultural Machinery Grant Program on Mechanization Development in Kırklareli	53-57
Ş. Doğan, İ. Aytekin, S. Boztepe Anadolu Merinosu Koyunlarında Meme Tipleri İle Meme Özellikleri, Süt Verimi Ve Bileşenleri Arasındaki İlişkiler The Relationships Between Udder Types And Udder Characteristics, Milk Yield And Components in Anatolian Merino Sheep.....	58-69
A. İstanbulluoğlu, M. C. Bağdatlı, C. Arslan Karamenderes Havzası Topraklarında Bazı Ağır Metallerin (Cr, Ni, Pb) Kirliliğinin Araştırılması To Evaluated With Trend Analysis Of Long-Annual Rainfall: Tekirdag - Corlu District Application	70-77
A. A. Okur, H. E. Şamlı Effects of Storage Time And Temperature on Egg Quality Parameters and Electrical Conductivities of Eggs Depolama Süresi ve Sıcaklığının Yumurta Kalite Parametreleri ve Elektrik İletkenliği Üzerine Etkileri	78-82
Ö. Karabulut, K. Bellitürk Farklı Magnezyum Kaynaklarının Asit Topraklarda Yetiştirilen Mısır Bitkisinin Potasyum-Kalsiyum-Magnezyum İçeriğine Etkisi The Effect Of Different Magnesium Sources On Potassium-Calsium-Magnesium Contents Of A Maize Plant Which is Grown in Acid Soils.....	83-91
N.Y. Delice, O. Guneser, Y. K. Yuceer Consumer Expectation and Preference of Ezine Cheese Ezine Peynirinde Tüketici Tercihi ve Beklentisi.....	92-103
S. Altıkat, A. Çelik Toprak Yüzey Pürüzlülüğü Ölçüm Yöntemlerinin Karşılaştırılması Comparative of Measurement Methods Of Soil Surface Roughness	104-109

Consumer Expectation and Preference of Ezine Cheese

N.Y. Delice¹

O. Guner²

Y. K. Yuceer^{2*}

¹Çanakkale Vocational College, Department of Business, Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Turkey

²Faculty of Engineering-Architecture, Department of Food Engineering, Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Turkey

The objective of this study was to determine the preferences and purchasing behaviors of consumers of Ezine cheese. Consumer acceptance tests on two representative Ezine cheeses and face to face interviews were conducted in Çanakkale-Turkey. Data provided from both tests were evaluated by *Analysis of Variance* and *K-Means Cluster Analysis*. Our data showed that Ezine cheese is mainly preferred for breakfast. Most consumers purchased Ezine cheese at least once a week. Our data detected a positive relationship between consumer age and acceptance of Ezine cheese. The age group 60-69 years old most preferred both cheeses. Statistical analysis clustered the Ezine cheese consumers into four groups, and significant differences were observed among the clusters with regards to consumption and purchasing behaviors. Cheese flavor and overall liking appear to be the most important factors for consumption. As a result, habits of cheese consumption, benefits of dairy products, and enhancement of breakfast choices influenced consumer decisions for purchasing Ezine cheese.

Keywords: Ezine cheese, consumer behavior, consumer preferences

Ezine Peynirinde Tüketici Tercihi ve Beklentisi

Bu çalışmanın amacı Ezine peynirinin tüketici beğenisini ve satın alma davranışlarını belirlemektir. Çanakkale kent merkezinde yaşayan tüketicilere seçilen iki peynir örneğinde tüketici testi ve yüz yüze anket çalışması uygulanmıştır. Her iki uygulamadan elde edilen veriler, ayrı ayrı varyans analizi ve kümeleme analiz yöntemleriyle incelenmiştir. Elde edilen sonuçlar göstermiştir ki Ezine peyniri çoğunlukla sabah kahvaltıda tercih edilmektedir. Tüketicilerin çoğunluğu Ezine peynirini haftada en az bir kez satın almaktadır. Ayrıca tüketici yaşı ve Ezine peyniri beğenisi arasında pozitif ilişki olduğu belirlenmiştir. 60-69 yaş grubundaki tüketiciler her iki peyniri de tercih etmişlerdir.

İstatistiksel analizler sonucunda peynir tüketicileri dört kümeye ayrılmış olup tüketim ve davranış özellikleri bakımından kümeler arasında pozitif ilişki olduğu ortaya çıkmıştır. Peynirin lezzeti ve genel beğeni tüketimi etkileyen önemli faktörlerdir. Sonuç olarak tüketim alışkanlıkları, süt ürünlerinin yararları ve kahvaltı seçeneklerini artırma, Ezine peyniri alımında tüketici kararını etkilemektedir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Ezine peyniri, tüketici davranışı, tüketici tercihi

Introduction

Due to high nutritional value, cheese is an important food for human nutrition. The history of cheese has not exactly been known. It has been produced for about 9000 years (Fox et al. 2000). Over 1000 cheese varieties are produced around the world. There are 40-50 cheese varieties in Turkey. The most popular cheeses in Turkey are Turkish White cheese (Beyaz Peynir), Kaşar, Tulum, Mihalic, Otlu, Örgü, Dil, and Civil cheeses. Among these cheese varieties, Turkish White, Kaşar and Tulum cheeses are produced in large scale. Turkish White cheese takes the first place in cheese consumption among Turkish consumers (Hayaloglu et al. 2002; Sert, 2004). The Turkish Ministry of Development stated that 265,000 tons of White cheese was produced in 2005 and its

production accounts for approximately 60-80% of total cheese production in Turkey (Anonymous, 2007a).

Ezine cheese, which is one of the traditional cheeses in Turkey, is a full fat, white cheese. It is produced from a mixture of goat milk, sheep milk, and cow milk provided from the towns and villages located in the north and west of the Mount Ida. It has a protected geographical indication. Ezine cheese is manufactured without any starter culture and is aged, in brine, in 20 kg tinplate containers for about twelve months. It is estimated that 4,000 tons of Ezine cheese is produced annually. It has made a major contribution to the local and regional economy

(Karagül Yüceer et al. 2007; Karagül Yüceer et al. 2009; Diler et al. 2011).

Analysis of consumers' behavior is a more sophisticated and complex phenomenon. It allows for a very effective approach for companies to create marketing strategies for their products (Anonymous, 2011; Blackwell et al. 2001; Solomon et al. 2006). Consumer studies on several food products such as edible fats/oils (Daştan et al. 2009), sharp Cheddar cheese (Drake et al. 2009), whole and refined wheat bread (Bakke and Vickers, 2007), traditional Spanish cheeses (Barceñas et al. 2001), and processed meats (Huang and Fu, 1995) were conducted by many researchers. The main objectives of these consumer studies were to understand and determine product properties (price, sensory attributes, quality etc.) and consumer characteristics (sociodemographic situation, choices, attitudes etc.). Consumer studies on traditional foods are limited because of their economical status. However, nowadays traditional foods have become commercial and strong branded foods. Consumers often consider traditional foods as healthy foods so consumer demands for traditional foods have increased (Anonymous, 2007b). The objective of this study was to determine consumer behaviors related to consumer preference and purchase attitudes for Ezine cheese.

Materials and Method

Cheese samples

Five cheeses in 5 kg tinfoil packages were obtained from different dairy plants located in Ezine-Çanakkale. The samples were aged for 12 months. Two out of five cheeses were used for sensory evaluation. Representative two cheese samples were selected by three expert panelists. The samples were stored at +10°C and analyzed in our laboratory in terms of chemical properties.

Chemical analysis

General composition of Ezine cheese was determined. For this purpose, titratable acidity (lactic acid, %), pH, dry matter (%), salt (%) and ash (%) content of the cheeses were determined (Bradley et al., 1992). Fat content was determined by Gerber-van Gulik method (NEN 1969). Total nitrogen contents (TN) of the samples were determined by micro-Kjeldahl method (IDF, 1993).

Consumer acceptance test

The cheese samples were evaluated for sensory properties of appearance, aroma, taste, firmness, salty and fatty flavors on a 7 point hedonic scale anchored on the left with "1: dislike extremely" and on the right with "7: like extremely" (Meilgaard et al. 1999). The cheese samples (2 x 2 x 2 cm) were served on disposable plates labeled with random 3-digit codes. Besides consumer acceptance tests, consumers also answered a survey consisting of fifteen questions about demographic information, purchasing, and consumption habits of Ezine cheese.

Analysis of consumer behavior

Consumer behavior for Ezine cheese was evaluated by face to face interview. The face to face survey was with 422 consumers. The participants of survey were volunteers living in Çanakkale-Turkey. The number of participants was determined based on the method recommended by Bitinas (1974) which depends on the population of Çanakkale. The survey consisted of two different parts, with a total of 27 questions. While the first part of the survey was about demographic and socioeconomic status of consumers, the second part was related to demands, preferences, and consumer expectations for Ezine cheese. The participants answered questions in the survey by using likert scale (Likert, 1932). The questionnaire form was shown in Figure 1.

DEMOGRAPHIC QUESTIONS

- How old are you?
- How many people are living in your family?
- What is your education degree? (1) Primary (2) Elementary (3) High (4) Bachelor (5) Master, PhD
- What is your occupation status? (1) Full time (2) Part time (3) Retired (4) Student (5) Unemployed
- What is your family income monthly? (1) <300 Euro (2) 300-650 Euro (3) 650-1300 Euro (4) >1300 Euro

CONSUMPTION AND PURCHASING BEHAVIOUR QUESTIONS

- Do you eat Ezine cheese? (0) Yes (1) No
- How many people do you purchase Ezine cheese in your family?
- How many people consume Ezine cheese in your family? (1) 1 person (2) 2 people (3) 3 people (4) 4 people (5) >5 people
- Do you prefer only Ezine cheese for your cheese consumption? (1) Yes (2) No, I can prefer others cheeses
- Which frequency do you purchase Ezine cheese? (1) Once a week (2) More than once per month (3) Once a month (4) More than once per year
- What is your consumption reason for Ezine cheese?
- (1) Eating habit (2) Liking and taste (3) Enhancing meal choices (4) Suggestion by a friend (5) Nutritional value for child and younger
- What is your purchasing portion of Ezine cheese? (1) 100-250g (2) 251-500g (3) 501-1000g (4) 2-3 kg (5) 5 kg (6) 20kg
- Which mealtime do you prefer to consume Ezine cheese?
- (1) Only Breakfast (2) Only Lunch (3) Only Appetizer (4) Breakfast and Lunch (5) Breakfast and Diner (6) Every Mealtimes
- What is your preference of price (Euro/kg) for Ezine cheese?
- (1) <3.38 (2) 3.91-4.66 (3) 5.08-5.94 (4) 6.35-7.20 (5) >7.62
- Where do you purchase Ezine cheese?
- (1) Local market (2) Dairy farm (3) Familiar Dairy Farmer (4) Grocer market (5) Shopping center
- What kind of packaging do you prefer when you buy Ezine cheese?
- (1) Plastic bag (Nylon) (2) Shrink wrapped (3) Tinplate (4) Plastic package (5) Vacuum package

CONSUMER LIKING AND FACTORS INFLUENCING PURCHASE

- Salty taste liking (1) Dislike very much (2) Dislike (3) Neither like nor dislike (4) Like (5) Like very much
- Fatty flavor liking (1) Dislike very much (2) Dislike (3) Neither like nor dislike (4) Like (5) Like very much
- Cheese flavor liking (1) Dislike very much (2) Dislike (3) Neither like nor dislike (4) Like (5) Like very much
- Texture liking (firmness) (1) Dislike very much (2) Dislike (3) Neither like nor dislike (4) Like (5) Like very much
- Overall liking (1) Dislike very much (2) Dislike (3) Neither like nor dislike (4) Like (5) Like very much

Figure 1. The questionnaire form for Ezine cheese

Reason for eating cheese: nutritional value :				
(1) Not at all important reason	(2) Not important reason	(3) Neither important nor unimportant reason	(4) Important reason	(5) Very important reason
Adequacy of price:				
(1) Not at all important reason	(2) Not important reason	(3) Neither important nor unimportant reason	(4) Important reason	(5) Very important reason
Confidence for hygiene:				
(1) Not at all important reason	(2) Not important reason	(3) Neither important nor unimportant reason	(4) Important reason	(5) Very important reason
Confidence for health property:				
(1) Not at all important reason	(2) Not important reason	(3) Neither important nor unimportant reason	(4) Important reason	(5) Very important reason
Trust for quality:				
(1) Not at all important reason	(2) Not important reason	(3) Neither important nor unimportant reason	(4) Important reason	(5) Very important reason
<u>CONSUMPTION AND PURCHASING DRAWBACKS</u>				
Salty taste				
(1) Dislike very much	(2) Dislike	(3) Neither like nor dislike	(4) Like	(5) Like very much
Cheese flavor				
(1) Dislike very much	(2) Dislike	(3) Neither like nor dislike	(4) Like	(5) Like very much
Fatty flavor				
(1) Dislike very much	(2) Dislike	(3) Neither like nor dislike	(4) Like	(5) Like very much
Overall liking				
(1) Dislike very much	(2) Dislike	(3) Neither like nor dislike	(4) Like	(5) Like very much
Health property:				
(1) Not at all important reason	(2) Not important reason	(3) Neither important nor unimportant reason	(4) Important reason	(5) Very important reason
Price:				
(1) Not at all important reason	(2) Not important reason	(3) Neither important nor unimportant reason	(4) Important reason	(5) Very important reason
Unconfidence for hygiene:				
(1) Not at all important reason	(2) Not important reason	(3) Neither important nor unimportant reason	(4) Important reason	(5) Very important reason
Unconfidence for health property:				
(1) Not at all important reason	(2) Not important reason	(3) Neither important nor unimportant reason	(4) Important reason	(5) Very important reason
Lack of quality:				
(1) Not at all important reason	(2) Not important reason	(3) Neither important nor unimportant reason	(4) Important reason	(5) Very important reason

Figure 1. The questionnaire form for Ezine cheese

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (One way ANOVA) and Mann-Whitney U tests were applied for consumer acceptance data to determine the differences and preferences. Duncan's Multiple Range test was performed for mean separations. Furthermore, K-Means Cluster Analysis was used for consumer survey to evaluate behavior of consumer purchase and attitudes for Ezine cheese (Sheskin, 2004). SPSS for Windows (version 13.0 version 13; SPSS Institute Inc., Chicago, IL) was used for all statistical analysis.

Results and Discussion

Chemical properties

Chemical composition of the cheese samples was shown in Table 1. In general, cheeses had similar composition, but some differences were observed between cheeses. Sample B had higher fat and salt contents, while sample A had higher lactic acid (%) and pH values. Chemical compositions of the cheeses were within the normal ranges stated in previous studies for Ezine cheese (Karagül Yüceer et al. 2009; Tuncel et al. 2010; Karagül Yüceer et al. 2008). Tuncel et al. (2010) indicated that the chemical composition of Ezine cheese aged 12 months was 1.17 % lactic acid, 5.03 pH, 23.75 % fat, 48.13 % dry matter, 4.63 % salt, and 5.66 % ash. In another study by Karagül Yüceer et al. (2008), the chemical composition and sensory properties of Ezine cheeses were determined. The researchers determined that titratable acidity, dry

matter, fat in dry matter, salt in dry matter of a representative sample of twenty two Ezine cheeses ranged between 0.73-2.13%, 43.10-51.78%, 49.29-58.78%, and 5.61-11.87%, respectively.

Consumer Acceptance

Consumer acceptance tests were conducted on 106 consumers aged between 15 to 69 years old in the present study. 51% of the participants were female. The demographic data for consumers surveyed in the consumer acceptance tests, in addition to, purchasing and consumption percentages of Ezine cheese were shown in Table 2.

It was determined that 55.7% of the participants consumed Ezine cheese mostly at breakfast, while 22.6% of the participants consumed Ezine cheese at breakfast and dinner. In other words, most of the Turkish families prefer to consume white cheese at breakfast. A total of 1.9% of the participants consumed Ezine cheese as appetizer. When the purchasing and consumption frequency were examined, it was found that 54.8% of the participants consumed Ezine cheese once a week and more than once a month. However, 19.8% of the participants consumed Ezine cheese more than once per year. 44.3% of participants reported that they purchased Ezine cheese in shopping centers in Çanakkale, 20.8% of the participants purchased the cheese from local markets or dairy farms. In general, 500 g-1.0 kg of Ezine cheese was purchased by 52.8% of the participants.

Table 1. Chemical properties of Ezine cheese samples

Chemical Properties (Mean± Standard Deviation)	Cheese Samples	
	A	B
pH	5.26±0.01	4.75±0.01
Lactic acid %	1.12±0.02	1.07±0.05
Fat (%)	24.75±0.35	27.10±0.14
Dry matter (%)	50.82±0.35	50.00±0.00
Total nitrogen (%)	2.76±0.01	2.66±0.10
Salt (%)	4.46±0.42	5.97±0.67
Ash (%)	4.87±0.01	4.80±0.02

Table 2. Ezine cheese purchasing and consumption data for the consumer participated in consumer acceptance test (n=92).

Demographic Data	Percent (%)	Purchasing and Consumption Behavior	Percent (%)
Gender		Number of Person who consumed Ezine cheese in the Family	
Male	49.1	1	9.4
Female	50.9	2	34.0
		3	20.8
		4	26.4
		≥5	9.4
Education		Purchasing Frequency of Ezine cheese	
Primary School	6.6	Once a week	27.4
Elementary School	0.9	More than once per month	27.4
High School	9.4	Once a month	25.5
Bachelor	49.1	More than once per year	19.8
Master	34.0		
Occupation		Purchasing portion	
Full-time	44.3	100-250 g	5.7
Part-time	0.9	251-500 g	32.1
Retired	9.4	501-1000 g	52.8
Student	44.3	2-3 kg	8.5
Unemployed	0.9	5 kg	0.9
Income (Monthly)		Consumption time of Ezine Cheese	
<300 Euro	14.2	Only Breakfast	55.7
300-650 Euro	30.2	Only Lunch	0.9
650-1300 Euro	33.0	Only appetizer	1.9
>1300 Euro	22.6	Breakfast and Lunch	4.7
		Breakfast and dinner	22.6
		Every Mealtimes	14.2
		Purchasing of Place	
		Local Market	20.8
		Dairy Farm	20.8
		Familiar Dairy Farmer	2.8
		Grocer Market	11.3
		Shopping Center	44.3

It was determined that 13.4% of participants did not have any idea about the price of Ezine cheese, while 52.8% of participants bought Ezine cheese for 4-5 Euros/kg cheese. Çelik-Ates and Ceylan (2010) investigated the effects of socioeconomic factors on the consumption of some dairy products in Van (Eastern Turkey). They reported that traditional herby cheese (Van Otlu Cheese) was consumed by 91.62 % of the population in urban and rural areas of Van and consumption of herby cheese was not affected by socioeconomic differences in rural and urban areas. It was determined that the average consumption of herby cheese was 8.55 kg/month in both areas. Rural consumers cited price as reason for purchasing the herby cheese, while quality and

cleanliness of herby cheese were the most important factors for urban consumers. In a study by Teng et al (2004), it was determined that 56% of the consumers lived in Ontario-Canada preferred to purchase cheese at the farmers market and 34% of consumers purchased cheese at a grocery store. Consumers who purchased cheese from farmers' market indicated that the reasons of preference were the market's selection (60 %), freshness (28 %) and flavor (28 %) of the cheeses. In addition, consumers purchased cheese from the farmers' market weekly and monthly at the rate of 32% and 26%, respectively. Hysen et al. (2008) investigated consumer behaviors for dairy products in Kosovo.

It was determined that the price of the product was a very important evaluation criteria for consumers in the case of white cheese and yogurt. The researchers found that trust, quality, price, and origin of the product were more effective factors for the consumption of dairy products for consumer. Sensory scores of Ezine cheese samples in terms of consumer groups were shown in Table 3.

It was determined that liking and consumer acceptance of Ezine cheese was related to consumer ages. Consumers aged 60-69 years old liked both Ezine cheeses compared to other age groups. Consumers aged 60-69 years gave higher taste and flavor scores for Ezine cheese than younger consumers (15-19 ages). These results may be related to the milk source of Ezine cheese. Ezine cheese is made from 45-55% sheep milk, at least 40 % goat's milk, and at most 10-15% cow milk; and, it has a sharp flavor and taste (Karagül Yüceer et al. 2009). Therefore, most younger people may not prefer these types of sheep milk cheeses due to their flavor profile. Similar results were reported by Murray and Delahunty (2000), Ryffell et al. (2008) and Murphy et al. (2004). Murray and Delehunty (2000) investigated consumer preference for the sensory and packaging attributes of Cheddar cheese. They found that consumers' age, maturation of cheese, firmness, saltiness, and sour attributes were

significant on consumer preference of Cheddar cheese. Ryffell et al. (2008) evaluated consumer acceptability of Swiss goat and sheep cheeses with 688 consumer participants. They found that over 70% and 80% of consumers did not appreciate strong animalic flavors in goat and sheep cheeses, respectively. It was determined that the buying frequency of goat cheeses was higher than that of sheep cheeses by consumers due to traditional eating habits in Switzerland. Ritvanen et al. (2005) determined the acceptance of Havarti-type, Edam, and Emmental cheeses by consumers in Finland. The researchers found that the pleasantness of mouth feels and flavor had more effect on consumer liking of the cheeses than pleasantness of appearance. It was also found that there were no differences in liking full fat and reduced fat types of the cheeses by consumers.

Consumer behavior

In the second part of this study, a consumer behavior survey without sensory evaluation was conducted by 422 consumers who lived in Çanakkale. 48.6% of respondents were female and 51.4% were male. The demographic data for consumers, purchasing, and consumption percentages of Ezine cheese were shown in Table 4.

Table 3. Sensory scores of Ezine cheese samples in terms of consumer groups

Cheese Samples	Sensory Properties	Consumer Groups (Mean ± Standard Deviation)				P value
		1	2	3	4	
A	Appearance	6.00±0.84	5.34±1.12	5.61±0.96	6.00±1.61	0.122
	Firmness	5.93±0.79 ^A	4.96±1.12 ^B	5.97±1.04 ^A	6.00±1.26 ^A	0.001
	Taste	4.80±1.78 ^B	5.00±1.53 ^B	5.73±1.30 ^{AB}	6.18±1.25 ^A	0.024
	Aroma/Flavor	4.47±1.72 ^B	4.87±1.74 ^{BC}	5.61±1.24 ^{AB}	6.18±1.168 ^A	0.001
	Fatty flavor	4.47±1.80	5.09±1.48	5.39±1.47	5.64±1.12	0.209
	Salty	4.47±1.40	4.83±1.52	5.45±1.39	5.27±1.42	0.118
	Overall	5.13±1.30 ^B	5.17±1.25 ^B	5.82±1.18 ^{AB}	6.00±1.08 ^A	0.032
	B	Appearance	5.53±0.99 ^{AB}	5.13±1.01 ^B	5.67±0.99 ^{AB}	6.18±1.08 ^A
Firmness		5.80±1.01	5.06±1.35	5.39±1.11	5.45±0.93	0.192
Taste		4.73±1.66	5.06±1.58	5.18±1.40	5.82±1.32	0.312
Aroma/Flavor		3.80±1.52 ^B	4.60±1.66 ^B	4.76±1.41 ^B	5.91±1.04 ^A	0.002
Fatty flavor		5.27±1.38 ^B	5.06±1.43 ^B	5.64±1.19 ^{AB}	6.18±0.75 ^A	0.009
Salty		4.00±1.69	4.83±1.59	4.52±1.58	5.18±1.60	0.271
Overall		4.93±1.38	5.15±1.35	5.27±1.25	5.73±0.90	0.288

A-C Means followed by different superscript letters represents significant differences in the groups for each chemical properties of Ezine cheese samples (P<0.05). Group 1:15-19 ages, Group 2:20-39 ages, Group 3: 40-59 ages, Group 4:60-69 ages

It was determined that 72.7% of respondents (n=307) consumed Ezine cheese, while 27.3% of respondents (n=115) indicated that they did not consume Ezine cheese because of its flavor characteristics. Most of the respondents (62.5%) mentioned that they consumed Ezine cheese at breakfast, while 18.2% of respondent consumed Ezine cheese in every meal. It was indicated that 37.8% of respondents consumed Ezine cheese because of eating habits, but 28% of the respondents reported that they consumed Ezine cheese due to its flavor (Table 4). Among

consumers' liking scores, several linear relationships were observed (Spearman rank's correlation, $P < 0.01$). Overall liking was correlated with cheese flavor liking ($r = 0.65$), salty taste ($r = 0.55$) and texture liking ($r = 0.51$). Good linear correlation was observed between fatty flavor liking and cheese flavor liking ($r = 0.54$) (data not shown). Similar results were observed for sharp Cheddar cheese (Drake et al. 2009), mild Cheddar cheese (Drake et al. 2008), and Swiss type cheese (Liggett et al. 2008).

Table 4. Purchasing and consumption behavior of consumer surveyed (n=422)

Demographic Data	Percent (%)	Purchasing and Consumption Behavior	Percent (%)
Gender		Purchasing Frequency of Ezine cheese	
Male	48.6	Once a week	43.0
Female	51.4	More than once per month	32.6
		Once a month	19.5
		More than once per year	4.9
Education		Purchasing portion	
Primary School	21.6	100-250 g	7.5
Elementary School	10.9	251-500 g	20.5
High School	34.8	501-1000 g	52.4
Bachelor	23.9	2-3 kg	14.3
Master	8.8	5 kg	4.2
		20kg	1.0
Occupation		Consumption time of Ezine Cheese	
Full-time	53.1	Only Breakfast	62.5
Part-time	5.9	Only Lunch	0.3
Retired	15.9	Only appetizer	1.6
Student	15.4	Breakfast and lunch	7.8
Unemployed	9.7	Breakfast and dinner	9.4
		Every Mealtimes	18.2
Income (Monthly)		Purchasing Place	
<300 Euro	17.1	Local Market	21.5
300-650 Euro	43.4	Dairy Farm	15.6
650-1300 Euro	28.2	Familiar Dairy Farmer	2.0
>1300 Euro	11.4	Grocer Market	15.3
		Shopping Center	45.6
Ezine Cheese Consumption		Packaging preferences	
Consume	72.7	Plastic bag (Nylon)	15.6
Do not consume	27.3	Shrink wrapped	23.7
Consumption Reason of Ezine Cheese		Tinplate	19.7
Eating habit	37.8	Plastic package	12.3
Liking flavor and taste	28.0	Vacuum package	1.4
Enhancing meal varieties	14.0		
Suggestion by a friend	10.1		
Nutritional value for child and younger	2.6		
Others	7.5		

In the present study, 43% of respondents purchased Ezine cheese once a week and 32.6% of respondents expressed that their purchasing frequency of Ezine cheese was more than once a month. 45.6% of participants reported that they purchased Ezine cheese in shopping centers, while 21.5% of them purchased Ezine in local markets. Similar to consumer acceptance tests, 500 g-1.0 kg of Ezine cheese was purchased by most of the respondents (52.4%). This result showed that consumers prefer to purchase cheese at their weekly consumption rate as a traditional consumer habit and they did not desire to keep cheese in refrigerator for a long time. In addition, shrink wrapped, tinplates, and plastic bags as packaging materials were preferred by 23.7%, 19.7% and 15.6% of respondents, respectively. Murphy et al. (2004) identified that packaging is the most important factor for Irish farmhouse cheese for consumers. However, Hysen et al. (2008) reported that packaging did not have any effect on the cheese purchasing behavior of consumers in Kosovo.

According to K-Means cluster analysis results, four consumer (n=307) clusters were identified for Ezine cheese. The characteristics of the consumer clusters were shown in Table 5. The cluster relationships were diverse in terms of the sociodemographic situation of consumers. When socioeconomic situations of clusters were examined, clusters one and three consisted of younger and older consumers, respectively. Specifically, clusters one, two, and four include consumers who have higher or graduate level education; cluster three includes consumers at the primary or secondary level education. Clusters two and three consisted of middle aged consumers and, clusters two and four include high-middle socioeconomic level consumers; while, lower-middle and low socioeconomic level consumers coincided in clusters one and three, respectively.

In the present study, 43% of respondents purchased Ezine cheese once a week and 32.6% of respondents expressed that their purchasing frequency of Ezine cheese was more than once a month. 45.6% of participants reported that they purchased Ezine cheese in shopping centers, while 21.5% of them purchased Ezine in local markets. Similar to consumer acceptance tests, 500 g-1.0 kg of Ezine cheese was purchased by most of the respondents (52.4%). This result showed that

consumers prefer to purchase cheese at their weekly consumption rate as a traditional consumer habit and they did not desire to keep cheese in refrigerator for a long time. In addition, shrink wrapped, tinplates, and plastic bags as packaging materials were preferred by 23.7%, 19.7% and 15.6% of respondents, respectively. Murphy et al. (2004) identified that packaging is the most important factor for Irish farmhouse cheese for consumers. However, Hysen et al. (2008) reported that packaging did not have any effect on the cheese purchasing behavior of consumers in Kosovo. There are also significant differences between clusters in terms of some consumption and purchasing behavior, consumer preference, and factors influencing purchase of Ezine cheese ($P<0.05$, Table 5). In regards to consumption and purchasing behavior of the consumers, clusters were differentiated by reasons for consumption of Ezine cheese, as well as, number of people consuming Ezine within the family, purchasing portions, and consumption time of Ezine cheese. Consumers in cluster three indicated that they consumed Ezine cheese due to their family cheese consumption habits; and, consumers in clusters one, two, and four expressed that the consumption reasons for Ezine cheese were the benefits of dairy products and enhancing breakfast choices. It was found that the purchasing portion of Ezine cheese in shopping was higher for consumers in clusters two and three than in clusters one and four. Consumers in cluster four indicated that they consumed Ezine cheese for breakfast or as an appetizer. In other clusters, Ezine cheese was consumed at dinner as well as breakfast. In relation to consumer liking and factors influencing purchase and consumption drawbacks of Ezine cheese, clusters were differentiated by five factors: fatty flavor liking, adequacy of cheese price, cheese flavor drawback, overall liking drawback, and cheese price drawback. It was found that consumers in cluster two, three and four liked the fatty flavor of Ezine cheese more than cluster one. Cheese flavor and overall liking are the most important disincentive factors in the consumption of Ezine cheese with high proportions for clusters two and three than clusters one and four. It was determined that cheese price was the key factor for Ezine cheese purchase among consumers in cluster three than in other clusters. Masias et al. (2003) investigated market segmentation of cheese in Extremadura-Spain.

Table 5. Characteristics of consumer clusters for Ezine cheese (n=307)

Variables	Clusters				P value
	1 (96)	2 (69)	3 (37)	4 (105)	
Demographic Data					
Gender	1	2	1	2	0.02
Age (mean)	22	49	64	36	0.01
Family Size	3.60	3.49	3.22	3.44	0.41
Education	3.35	2.91	2.08	3.21	0.01
Occupation	2.46	2.01	3.22	1.49	0.01
Income-Monthly	2.44	2.58	1.92	2.52	0.01
Consumption and Purchasing Behavior					
Number of Person who purchase Ezine cheese in family	1	1	1	1	0.01
Number of person who consumed Ezine cheese in family	3.20	3.25	3.19	3.12	0.94
Preferences for only Ezine cheese	0	0	0	0	0.24
Purchasing period	3.0	2.9	3.1	2.9	0.50
Reason for eating Ezine cheese	2.4	2.4	2.1	2.9	0.01
Purchasing frequency of Ezine cheese	1.9	1.8	2.1	1.8	0.45
Purchasing portion	2.9	3.0	3.2	2.7	0.01
Consumption time	3.3	3.6	3.5	2.5	0.04
Preferences of price	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.1	0.33
Consumer Liking and Factors Influencing Purchase					
Salty taste liking	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.7	0.41
Fatty flavor liking	4	4	4	4	0.04
Cheese flavor liking	3.8	4.1	4.1	4.1	0.36
Texture liking (firmness)	3.9	4.0	4.3	4.1	0.05
Overall liking	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	0.50
Reason for eating cheese: nutritional value	3.8	3.6	3.9	4.0	0.05
Adequacy of price	3.2	3.4	4.0	3.6	0.01
Confidence for hygiene	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3	0.31
Confidence for health property	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	0.73
Trust for quality	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.2	0.62
Consumption and Purchasing Drawbacks					
Salty taste	3.5	3.7	3.9	3.6	0.17
Cheese flavor	3.8	4.0	4.2	3.7	0.02
Fatty flavor	3.6	4.0	4.0	3.8	0.06
Overall liking	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.0	0.02
Health property	3.0	2.9	3.1	3.0	0.84
Price	3.0	3.5	4.2	3.9	0.01
Unconfidence for hygiene	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.2	0.62
Unconfidence for health property	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.2	0.84
Lack of quality	3.3	3.1	2.89	3.4	0.30

Four cheese consumer clusters were identified. The clusters were major cured cheese consumers, medium consumers, major fresh cheese consumers, and major fresh and cured cheese consumers. The researchers indicated that the clusters were differentiated by the level of consumption of fresh cheese and cured cheese, the family unit size, the price paid for cheese, type of fresh cheese eaten, and cheese eating as a

dietary habit. In a study by Murphy et al. (2004), two consumer clusters were identified for Irish farmhouse cheese. It was determined that packaging was highly important for some consumers (cluster one), while cheese flavor, milk pasteurization, and the availability of nutritional and other information of cheese were more important for other consumers (cluster two). Price and texture properties of Irish farmhouse cheese

were less important attributes for consumers in both clusters. Moreover, Drake et al. (2008), evaluated consumer preferences for mild Cheddar cheese flavors with sensory panels and consumer tests (n=215). They identified four consumer clusters for mild Cheddar cheese. The researchers indicated that flavor and color influenced the preference of mild Cheddar cheese by consumers. For example, some consumers (cluster two) liked the moderate whey flavor, sour taste, and orange color of Cheddar cheese. Consumers in cluster three preferred mild Cheddar cheese with cooked/milky, whey, milk fat flavor, low level of brothy or sulfur flavor, and sour taste. Moreover, white colored Cheddar cheese was also preferred by some consumers (cluster four).

Conclusion

In this research, the relationship between consumer behaviors and attitudes, as well as, consumer preference and purchasing, of Ezine cheese was studied. It was determined that there were some differences between cheeses in terms of some chemical properties such as fat and salt contents. This may be related to differences in milk source and traditional production steps of Ezine cheese that applied to local producers. It was determined that most consumers consumed

Ezine cheese at breakfast. Consumers purchased it once a week and more than once a month. Liking and consumer acceptance of Ezine cheese related to consumer ages. Consumers aged 60-69 years old liked both Ezine cheese samples more than younger consumer groups. It was found that overall liking of Ezine cheese was correlated with liking cheese flavor, saltiness, and texture. According to consumer behavior analysis, four consumer clusters were identified for Ezine cheese. Significant differences were observed between consumer clusters regarding some consumption and purchasing behavior, consumer liking, and factors influencing purchase of Ezine cheese. Consumers indicated that cheese flavor and overall preference are the most important disincentive factors in the consumption of Ezine cheese in high proportions. Cheese consumption habits, benefits of dairy products, and enhancing breakfast choices also influenced consumers' decisions for purchasing Ezine cheese.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by The Scientific Research Fund of Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Project No: 2009/10. The authors would like to thank to respondents who participated in the survey.

References Cited

- Anonymous. (2007a). Dokuzuncu kalkınma planı gıda sanayi özel ihtisas komisyonu raporu, No: DPT: 2720-OIK: 673, Ankara, Turkey.
- Anonymous 2007b. European research on traditional foods Web sites. <http://ec.europa.eu/research/rtdinfo> (Date of retrieval: 28/01/2012).
- Anonymous 2011. Consumer behavior: the psychology of marketing. web site: <http://www.consumerpsychologist.com/> (Date of retrieval: 30/01/2012).
- Bakke, A. and Z. Vickers. 2007. Consumer liking of refined and whole wheat breads. *Journal of Food Science* 72: S473-480.
- Barcenas P, Perez de San Roman R, Perez Elortodo FJ, Albu M. 2001. Consumer preference structures for traditional Spanish cheeses and their relationship with sensory properties. *Food Quality and Preference* 12:269-279.
- Bitinas, B. 1974. Statistiniai metodai pedagogikoje ir psichologijoje. Kaunas: Sviesa.
- Blackwell, RD., PW. Miniard and JF. Engel. 2001. Consumer behavior. Harcourt College Publishers, Orlando 570 p.
- Bradley, JRL., JE. Arnold, DM. Barbano, RG Semerad and DE. Smith, BK. Vines. 1992. Chemical and physical methods. In: Marshal RT, Editor. Standard methods for the examination of dairy products. American Public Health Association, Washington, DC. p. 433-531.
- Celik Ates, H. and M. Ceylan. 2010. Effects of socio-economic factors on the consumption of milk, yoghurt, and cheese Insights from Turkey. *British Food Journal* 112: 234-250.
- Dastan, H., M.S. Aday and E. Yilmaz. 2009. Edible fats/oils consumption patterns of Turkish families. *Academic Food Journal* 7: 6-13.
- Diler, M., E. Akbag, V. Isik, E. Avsar and H. Erkayacan. 2011. Farklı ısıl işlemlerin ve depolama sürelerinin Ezine peynirinde biyogen amin oluşumu üzerine etkilerinin araştırılması. Gıda, Tarım ve Hayvancılık Bakanlığı, TAGEM, 09/03/01/156 Project No: 220. Turkey.
- Drake, SL., P.D., Gerard and M.A. Drake. 2008. Consumer preferences for mild Cheddar cheese flavors. *Journal of Food Science* 73: 449-455.
- Drake S.L., K. Lopetcharat, S., Clark, H.S., Kwak, S.Y. Lee, M.A. Drake. 2009. Mapping differences in consumer perception of sharp Cheddar cheese in the United States. *Journal of Food Science* 74: 276-285.

- Fox P., P.T. Guinee, T.M. Cogan and P.L.H. McSweeney. 2000. Fundamentals of cheese science. An Aspen Publisher, Inc., Maryland. 559 p.
- Hayaloglu, A.A, M. Guven and P. Fox. 2002. Microbiological, biochemical and technological properties of Turkish White cheese 'Beyaz Peynir', International Dairy Journal 12: 635-648.
- Huang, C.L. and J. FU. 1995. Conjoint analysis of consumer preferences and evaluations of a processed meat. Journal of International Food & Agribusiness Marketing 7: 35-53.
- Hysen, B, V. Mensur, G. Muje, M. Hajrip, G. Halim and B. Njazi. 2008. Analysis of consumer behavior in regard to dairy products in Kosova. Journal of Agricultural Research 46: 311-320.
- IDF. 1993. IDF Standard 20B Milk, Determination of the Nitrogen (Kjeldahl Method) and Calculation of the Crude Protein Content. Brussels, Belgium: International Dairy Federation.
- Karagul-Yuceer, Y., B. Tuncel, O. Guneser, B. Engin, M. Isleten, K. Yasar and M. Mendes. 2009. Characterization of aroma active compounds, sensory properties and proteolysis in Ezine cheese. Journal of Dairy Science 92: 4146-4157.
- Karagul-Yuceer, Y., M., Isleten, C. and Uysal-Pala. 2008. Sensory characteristics of Ezine cheese. Journal of Sensory Studies. 22: 49-65.
- Liggett, R.E., M.A. Drake and J.F. Delwiche. 2008. Impact of flavor attributes on consumer liking of Swiss cheese. Journal of Dairy Science 91: 466-476.
- Likert R. 1932. A technique for the measurement of attitudes. Archives of Psychology 140:1-55.
- Mesias F.J., M., Escribano, A.R. De Ledesma and F. Pulido. 2003. Market segmentation of cheese consumers: an approach using consumer's attitudes purchase behavior and sociodemographic variables. International Journal of Dairy Technology 56:149-155.
- Meilgaard, M., G.V. Civille and B.T. Carr. 1999. Sensory evaluation techniques. Boca Raton , FL: CRC Press, Inc., 377 p.
- Murphy, M., C. Cowan, H. Meehan and S. O'Reilly. 2004. A Conjoint Analysis of Irish Consumer Preferences for Farmhouse Cheese. British Food Journal 106: 288-300.
- Murray, J.M. and C.M. Delahunty. 2000. Mapping consumer preference for the sensory and packaging attributes of Cheddar cheese. Food Quality and Preference 11: 419-435.
- NEN. 1969. Netherlands Standard 3059. Butyrometric determination of the fat content of cheese (Gerber-van Gulik method). Netherlands Milk and Dairy Journal 23: 214-220.
- Ritvanen, T., S. Lampolahti, L. Lilleberg, T. Tupasela, M. Isoniemi, U. Appelbye, T. Lyytikainen, S. Eerola and E. Uusi-Rauva. 2005. Sensory evaluation, chemical composition and consumer acceptance of full and reduced fat cheese in the Finnish market. Food Quality and Preference 16: 479-492.
- Ryffel, S., P. Piccanali and U. Butikofer. 2008. Sensory descriptive analysis and consumer acceptability of selected Swiss goat and sheep cheese. Small Ruminant Research 79: 80-86.
- Sert, D. 2004. Pastörize ve çiğ süttten işlenen kaşar peynirlerinin olgunlaşma sırasında oluşan bazı özelliklerinin belirlenmesi. [Master of Science Thesis], Selcuk University, Konya, Turkey.
- Sheskin D.J. 2004. Handbook of parametric and nonparametric statistical procedures. New York: Chapman and Hall /CRCpress.1193 p.
- Solomon, M., G. Bamossy, S. Askegaard and M.K. Hogg. 2006. Consumer behavior: A European perspective, Pearson Education Limited, Essex. 645p.
- Teng, D., A. Wilcock and M. Aung. 2004. Cheese quality at framers markets: observation of vendor practices and survey of consumer perception. Food Control, 15: 579-587.
- Tuncel, N.B., O. Guneser, B. Engin, K. Yasar, N.N. Zorba and Y. Karagul-Yuceer. 2010. Ezine peyniri II. olgunlaşma süresince proteoliz düzeyi. Gıda 35: 21-26.