## Acta Orthopaedica et Traumatologica Turcica 53 (2019) 301-305

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Acta Orthopaedica et Traumatologica Turcica

journal homepage: https://www.elsevier.com/locate/aott

# Behaviour and knowledge skill levels of orthopedic surgeons about radiation safety and fluoroscopy use: A survey analysis



т т ОА

Fırat Fidan <sup>a, \*</sup>, Mehmet Ümit Çetin <sup>b</sup>, Cengiz Kazdal <sup>c</sup>, Feyzi Kılıç <sup>c</sup>, Ufuk Özkaya <sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Sancaktepe Şehit Prof.Dr.İlhan Varank Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, İstanbul, Turkey

<sup>b</sup> Tekirdağ Namık Kemal üniversitesi Ortopedi veTravmatoloji Anabilim Dalı, Tekirdağ, Turkey

<sup>c</sup> Gaziosmanpaşa Taksim Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Ortopedi ve Travmatoloji Kliniği, İstanbul, Turkey

<sup>d</sup> Memorial Bahçelievler Hastanesi, İstanbul, Turkey

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 September 2018 Received in revised form 23 February 2019 Accepted 23 April 2019 Available online 9 May 2019

Keywords: Orthopedic surgery Fluoroscopy Radiation protection C-arm Operating room safety

# ABSTRACT

*Objective:* The aim of this study was to evaluate the behaviour and knowledge skill levels of Turkish orthopedic surgeons about fluoroscopy usage and radiation safety.

*Methods:* The questionnaire, consisting of nineteen questions, was sent to orthopaedic surgeons and requested by a total of 323 surgeons online. The questions were about personal information, training and behaviours related to radiation and fluoroscopy usage, and the use of protective equipment.

*Results:* A total of 277 individuals completed the questionnaire. The answers of 180 surgeons whose working duration was more than 1 year and also who participated in at least one fluoroscopy requiring operation per week, were analysed. 22 (12%) participants answered that they were trained on fluoroscopy usage. Sixty people (33.3%) reported that they did not use any protective equipment regularly. The most commonly used protection methods were lead aprons 123 (68.3%). Thyroid protectors were used by 92 participants (52.1%). There was no significant difference between the groups when comparing the use of protective equipment according to the academic title. Only 19 (10.6%) of the surgeons noted that they used dosimeter regularly, and 15 (83.3%) of them reported that they controlled their dosimeters.

*Conclusion:* In this study, Orthopedic surgeons were found not to be adequately trained about use and risks of fluoroscopy and also not to be equipped about methods for preventing radiation damage. © 2019 Turkish Association of Orthopaedics and Traumatology. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is

an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/ 4.0/).

# Introduction

Radiation is currently used in the diagnosis and treatment of many diseases in medicine. In addition to the benefits of the use of ionizing radiation in medicine, health workers are exposed to radiation during health practise.<sup>1</sup>

Orthopedic surgeons also use fluoroscopy, which is an X-ray source, frequently.<sup>2</sup> Despite frequent use in everyday practice, the inexperience of the use of fluoroscopy and radiation safety methods

are leading harmful consequences of radiation exposure.<sup>3</sup> Fundamentals of fluoroscopy use help to reduce exposure to radiation and therefore harmful effects.<sup>3</sup>

The purpose of this study is to determine behaviour and knowledge levels of Turkish orthopedic surgeons about fluoroscopy usage and radiation safety.

# Material and methods

The questionnaire, consisting of nineteen questions, was sent to orthopedic surgeons and requested by a total of 323 surgeons online. The survey was about personal information, training and behaviours related to radiation and fluoroscopy usage, and the use of protective equipment.

All questions were answered with one option except the eighth question. This question was about which equipments were used during operation. So, eighth question was answered more than one

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aott.2019.04.008

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Sancaktepe Şehit Prof.Dr.İlhan Varank Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Turkey. Tel.: +05077479904.

*E-mail addresses*: drfiratfidan@gmail.com (F. Fidan), drumitcetin@gmail.com (M.Ü. Çetin), zzengizz@gmail.com (C. Kazdal), drkilicfeyzi@gmail.com (F. Kılıç), ufukozkaya2004@yahoo.com (U. Özkaya).

Peer review under responsibility of Turkish Association of Orthopaedics and Traumatology.

<sup>1017-995</sup>X/© 2019 Turkish Association of Orthopaedics and Traumatology. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

choice, and it was predicted during analysing that the answers given to this question may be more than 100%.

The results were analysed using SPSS v.11.5.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) program. Pearson chi-square test, t-test, and Pearson correlation test were used for the analysis. p<sup>•</sup>0.05 level was considered to be statistically significant.

#### Results

The questionnaire was sent to 323 orthopedic surgeons. 277 individuals completed the questionnaire, and 180 of these 277 surgeons', whose working duration was more than 1 year and also who participated in at least one fluoroscopy requiring operation per week, answers were analysed. Of the participants, 84 (46,7%) were residents, 74 (41,1%) were specialist doctors, and 22 (12,2%) were associate professors and professors (Fig. 1). It was determined that 57 (31,7%) were working in university hospitals, 57 (31,7%) were in training and research hospitals, 32 (17,8%) were in state hospitals and 34 (18,8%) were in private hospitals(Fig. 2).

When participants were questioned about their training of fluoroscopy use, 22 (12%) of all participants were trained. It was found out that 8 (9,5%) of the residents, 10 (13,5%) of the specialist doctors and 4 (18,2%) of associate professors and professors were trained. The number of people who were not trained was statistically significantly higher. When these values were compared according to the academic title, no significant difference was found (p = 0.439).

While 47 (26,2%) participants used fluoroscopy in 6–10 operations per week, 65 (36,1%) participants stated that they used fluoroscopy in 2–5 operations and 68 (37,7%) participants used more than in 10 operations per week.

Seventy-two of 180 (40%) reported that the x-ray tube was positioned at the bottom and the image receptor at the top, 41 participants (22,8%) reported that the X-ray receiver was positioned at the bottom, the X-ray tube at the top. While 67 participants (37,2%) reported that they did not pay attention to the positions of the receiver and the tube(Table 1). No significant difference was found between the groups when the answers were evaluated according to the academic title (p = 0,170).

While 106 participants (58,9%) were 1-2 steps away and 18 participants (10%) were 3 m away from fluoroscopy during the procedure; 56 participants (31,1%) were not careful about the distance from fluoroscopy. Considering these values, again, there was no significant difference between the academic title and distance to the device during shooting (Table 2).

Sixty (33,3%) of the surgeons participating in the study said that they did not use protective equipment. The most commonly used protection methods were lead aprons 123 (68,3%). Thyroid protectors were used by 92 participants (52,1%) (Table 3). There was no



Fig. 1. Distribution of participants according to academic title (%).

significant difference between the groups when comparing the use of protective equipment according to the academic title (p = 0.178).

Although only 19 (10,6%) of the surgeons participating in the study that they used dosimeter regularly, 15 (83,3%) of these 19 surgeons were reported that they got controlled their dosimeters. Eleven participants (13,1%) of dosimeter users were residents, 6 participants (8,1%) of were specialists and 2 participants (9,1%) were professors.

One hundred fifty-seven participants (87,2%) were worried about radiation exposure, while 7 participants (3,9%) were not worried, and 16 participants were sometimes worried. There was no significant difference between the groups when they were evaluated between the academic title (p = 0,190).

The question asking the safety of the operating rooms was replied by thirty-four (18,9%) of the participants as the lead block was used. But 74 (41,1%) participants stated that lead block was not used and 72 (40%) participants did not know about this issue. In response to the question whether there was a warning sign on the door of the operating room, 40 responders (22,2%) answered that there was, 130 responders (72,2%) answered that there was not and 10 responders (5,6%) answered that they did not pay attention to this sign (Table 4). When the answers were evaluated according to the institution where the participants were working, almost all the physicians (34 of 180 participants) working in the private hospital stated that there were no warning signs on the door of the operating room. Twelve (21,1%) persons from university hospitals, seven (21,9%) persons from state hospitals and 21 (36,8%) persons from training and research hospitals indicated that there were warning signs in their hospitals' operating rooms (Table 5).

Fifty-nine (32,8%) of orthopedic surgeons get help from radiology technicians, 96 (53,3%) of the surgeons get help from operating room personnel, and 25 (13,9%) of the surgeons get help from another orthopedic doctor for fluoroscopy shooting. All participants in state hospitals gave the same answer that the fluoroscopy was not taken by the doctor (Table 6).

# Discussion

The popularity of minimally invasive surgery among orthopedic surgeons and the widespread use of intramedullary nails have also increased the need for fluoroscopic imaging.<sup>4,5</sup> This, in turn, leads to more radiation exposure. In this study, we aimed to evaluate behaviour and knowledge levels of Turkish orthopedic surgeons about fluoroscopy use and radiation safety.

The results of this questionnaire showed both that the rules that must be followed to avoid harmful effects of radiation during fluoroscopy are not given sufficient importance and that the protection methods are not used sufficiently by orthopedic surgeons. It has come to the conclusion that the surgeons have not adequately fulfilled the measures that can be taken to reduce radiation exposure. It has come to the conclusion that physicians, independently



Fig. 2. Distribution of participants according to their hospitals (%).

# Table 1

Position of the C-ARM device during the sequence.

	X-ray tube at the bottom, the X-ray receiver at the top		X-ray receiv the X-ray tu	X-ray receiver at the bottom, the X-ray tube at the top		No attention to the position	
Residents	38	%45,2	16	%19	30	%35,7	
Specialist	27	%36,5	19	%25,7	28	%37,8	
Professor and As.Professor	7	%31,8	6	%27,3	9	%40,9	
Total	72	%40	41	%22,8	67	%37,2	

#### Table 2

Distance from Fluoroscopy in the sequence.

	1-2 steps awa	iy	At least 3 m	At least 3 m away		Not care	
Residents	47	%56	9	%10,7	28	%33,3	
Specialist	47	%63,5	4	%5,4	23	%31,1	
Professor and As.Professor	12	%54,5	5	%22,7	5	%22,7	
Total	106	%58,9	18	%10	56	%31,1	

### Table 3

Use of protective equipment.

	The prot	ective apron	Thyroid	Gland Shields	Radio-P	rotective glasses	Radio-p	rotective gloves	Neve	r use
Residents	60	%71,4	43	%51,2	0	%0	0	%0	34	%40,4
Specialist	48	%64,9	37	%50	3	%4,1	1	%1,4	26	%35,1
Professor and As.Professor	15	%68,2	12	%54,5	0	%0	0	%0	0	%0
Total	123	%68,3	92	%52,1	3	%1,7	1	%0,5	60	%33,3

## Table 4

Presence of lead block in the operating room.

	Yes		No		I don't care	
University Hospital	9	%15,8	27	%47,4	21	%36,8
Research Hospital	10	%17,5	22	%38,6	25	%43,9
State Hospital	7	%21,9	14	%43,8	11	%34,4
Private Hospital	8	%23,5	11	%32,4	15	%44,1
Total	34	%18,8	74	%41,1	72	%40

# Table 5

Presence of a warning sign at the door of the rooms where Fluoroscopy is used.

	Yes		No		I don't know	
University Hospital	12	%21,1	43	%75,4	2	%3,5
Research Hospital	21	%36,8	30	%52,6	6	%10,5
State Hospital	7	%21,9	24	%75	1	%3,1
Private Hospital	0	%0	33	%97,1	1	%2,1
Total	40	%22,2	130	%72,2	10	%5,6

# Table 6

Person using fluoroscopy.

	Radiology Techniciar	15	Operating Room Staff		Doctor	
University Hospital	20	%35,1	32	%56,1	5	%8,8
Research Hospital	22	%38,6	16	%28,1	19	%33,3
State Hospital	12	%37,5	20	%62,5	0	%0
Private Hospital	5	%14,7	28	%82,4	1	%2,9
Total	59	%32.8	96	%53.3	25	%13.9

of the academic title, have not adequately implemented the applications in order to reduce radiation exposure.

The areas that are likely to exceed the value of 1 mSv annually are considered as radiation field according to Radiation Safety Regulation which has posted on the Official Journal at 24/03/2003

based on Turkish Atomic Energy Agency law.<sup>6</sup> However, since the use of dosimeters is not widespread, there is no definitive data on the average annual dose exposed in orthopedic operating rooms. Previously, in a survey conducted in Turkey, similar to our study, they reported that the dosimeter usage rate of about 10%.<sup>7</sup>

According to the Radiation Safety Regulations, warning signs must be found in areas that are likely to be exposed to radiation.<sup>6</sup> In this study, only 22,2% of participants stated that there were warning signs in the operating room. Even, all participants who worked in private hospitals reported that were not warning signs. This data shows that inspections in this area are insufficient.

There are many studies in the literature about the precautions that should be taken to protect orthopedic surgeons from radiation and the radiation protection principles have been established. The most important of these are being at least 3 m away from fluoroscopy during shooting, use of protective equipment and presence of scoping technician.<sup>3,5,8</sup> Mohiuddin and colleagues have found that the dose of radiation exposure seriously diminishes with the principles they determine.<sup>8</sup>

It has been reported that the X-ray tube located upper from the table will cause ten times more radiation exposure than underneath position during fluoroscopy shooting.<sup>5</sup> In our study, it was determined that the surgeons did not pay enough attention to the fluoroscopic position, independently from the academic title. This suggests that the training on the use of fluoroscopy is inadequate and that this issue is not considered enough.

The more distant the patient is during the exposure, the less exposition to the radiation is.<sup>5</sup> At the time of the shooting, stopping at 2-3 m away from the tube and from the patient reduces the exposure to radiation 4-10 times.<sup>5</sup> Only 18 participants (10%) gave the answer that they stayed 3 m away from fluoroscopy, when we questioned the distance during the shooting sequence. This shows that orthopedists do not have enough knowledge of the technique of reducing radiation exposure.

The use of protective equipment during fluoroscopy has been reported to seriously reduce the exposure to radiation.<sup>9,10</sup> We found that lead protection was used by 68,3% of participants and thyroid shield was used by half of the participants as protective equipment. It was stated that only 3 (1.7%) of the participants used the protective eyewear and only 1 (0.6%) of the gloves were used by the participants. In a study conducted by Ismail and his colleagues,<sup>11</sup> resident doctors were most exposed to radiation in the operating room, while orthopedic and urologic specialists were second. Again, in a study conducted in Turkey, the amount of radiation in the region where orthopaedic surgeons worked was found to be higher than the amount of radiation in the area where the anesthetist worked.<sup>12</sup> But our study has shown that orthopedic surgeons do not adequately comply with the methods of protection. This suggests that we may face with radiation-induced problems.

The limitation of this study is the low participation rate when we consider that there are about 3500 orthopedic surgeons in Turkey. We think that more exact data can be obtained by a study with a larger sample. The strength of our work is that it is one of the few studies that have been done in our country about such an important issue.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, according to the information we received from our limited numbers of colleague who agreed to participate in the survey, orthopedic surgeons did not take adequate measures to use fluoroscopy for radiation safety. Although certain methods of radiation protection have been developed, perhaps the most important part of preservation is the provision of education at certain intervals. Increased training in the use of fluoroscopy and awareness of the risks created by radiation can reduce the time and the amount of radiation exposure for both the patient and the surgeon. We think that this survey can be a guide on the programming of orthopedic and traumatology specialization training.

The Questionnaire

1. What is your	a) Professor or
academic title?	As.Professor
	b) Specialist
	c) Resident
2. Which institution	a) University hospital
do you work for?	b) Training and research
do you work for.	hospitals
	c) State hospitals
	d) Private hospitals
	u) Private nospitals
. How many years	a) Less than I year,
have you been working	b) 1–3 years
in this profession?	c) 3–5 years
	d) 5–10 years
	<ul> <li>e) 11–15 years</li> </ul>
	<li>f) more than 15 years</li>
. How often are you	a) Once a week
exposed to fluoroscopic	b) 2–5 times a week
radiation?	c) 6–10 times a week
	d) More than 10 per week
Have you been trained	a) Yes
to use fluoroscopy?	h) No
Do you feel secure about	
fluoroscopy uso and	$a_{j}$ its
radiation avecaure?	D) NO
Tadiation exposure?	
. Have you been trained	a) Yes
for radiation safety?	b) No
3. Do you use any	<ul> <li>a) The protective apron</li> </ul>
protective equipments?	<ul> <li>b) Radio-Protective glasses</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>c) Radio-protective gloves</li> </ul>
	d) Thyroid Gland Shields
	e) I don't use
. Do you ever have	a) Yes
protective equipment	b) No
checked?	c) I Don't know
0 Do you use a dosimeter?	a) Yes
o. Do you use a dosinieter:	b) No
1 If you are using a	
1. Il you ale usilig a	d) Ies
dosimeter, do you	D) NO
routinely send for	c) I don't know
measurements?	
2. Is the radiation safety	a) Yes
of your operating	b) No
room taken?	<li>c) I don't know</li>
3. Are there any	a) Yes
warning signs on	b) No
the door of the rooms	c) I don't know
where the fluoroscopy	-,
is used?	
A Are you worried about	a) Ves
radiation exposure?	b) No
radiation exposure?	D) NO
5 Miles uses the G	c) sometimes
5. who uses the fluoroscopy	a) Radiology technicians
in the operating room?	b) Operating room staff
	c) Another doctor
6. How far away from the	a) 1–2 steps
device do you stay during	b) At least 3 m
fluoroscopy shots?	c) I do not care
7 At which position does	a) The x-ray tube at the
the c-arm device stand	bottom the X-ray
during shooting?	receiver at the top
during shooting?	b) The X ray receiver
	D) The X-ray receiver
	at the bottom, the
	X-ray tube at the top
	c) I do not care
<ol><li>Where do you stand</li></ol>	a) Near the x-ray tube
during shooting?	b) Near the X-ray
	receiver (detector)
	c) I do not care
19 Do vou make	a) Ves
	u, 103
fluoroscopic shots often?	b) No

# **Conflicts of interest**

None declared.

# References

- 1. Dewey P, George S, Gray A. (i) Ionising radiation and orthopaedics. *Curr Orthop.* 2005;19(1):1–12.
- Badman BL, Rill L, Butkovich B, Arreola M, Griend RAV. Radiation exposure with use of the mini-C-arm for routine orthopaedic imaging procedures. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2005;87(1):13–17.
- Kaplan Daniel J, Patel Jay N, Liporaceand Frank A, Yoon Richard S. Intraoperative radiation safety in orthopaedics: a review of the ALARA (As low as reasonably achievable) principle Kaplan et al. *Pat Safety Surg.* 2016;10(1):27.
- 4. Singer G. Occupational radiation exposure to the surgeon. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2005;13(1):69-76.
- Hatzis C, Brilakis AE, Efstathopoulos N. Practical rules for occupational radiation exposure protection in orthopaedic surgery. EEXOT Τόμος. 2012;63(1):41–46.

- 6. Radyasyon Güvenliği Yönetmeliği. Türkiye Cumhuriyeti Resmi gazetesi. 2003;3(23999):1-7.
- Tuncer N, Kuyucu E, Sayar S, Polat G, Erdil I, Tuncay I. Orthopedic surgeons' knowledge regarding risk of radiation exposition: a survey analysis. SICOT J. 2017;3(3):1–4.
- Mohiuddin Mohd Jalal, Kumar Chinnala Srujan. A Study to determine the average Number of X-Rays taken during an Orthopaedic surgery and approximate amount of radiation exposure. *Int J Adv Res.* 2016;4(8):801–804.
- Quinn AD, Taylor CG, Sabharwal T, Sikdar T. Radiation protection awareness in non-radiologists. Br J Radiol. 1997;70(289):102–106.
- Rehani MM, Ciraj-Bjelac O, Vañó E, et al. Radiological protection in fluoroscopically guided procedures performed outside the imaging department. *ICRP*. 2010;40(6):1–102.
- 11. Ismail S, Khan FA, Sultan N, Naqvi M. Radiation exposure of trainee anaesthetists. *Anaesthesia*. 2006;61(1):9–14.
- **12.** Cecen CS, Gulabi D, Pehlivanoglu G, Bulut G, Bekler H, Asil K. Radiation in the orthopedic operating theatre. *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc.* 2015;49(3): 297–301.