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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ denote a Euclidean space of dimension 3 with the canonical metric $\langle$,$\rangle and (x, y, z)$ the rectangular coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. A surface $M$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is called translation surface if it can be written as the sum of two curves. Then, a local parametrization $\sigma$ on $M$ follows $\sigma\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=\gamma_{1}\left(s_{1}\right)+\gamma_{2}\left(s_{2}\right)$, for $\gamma_{i}: I_{i} \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}, i=1,2$, [8]. In the special case that the curves $\gamma_{i}$ lie in orthogonal planes, up to a change of coordinates, the surface $M$ can be locally expressed by the explicit form $z=f(x)+g(y)$ for smooth functions $f, g$ of single variable. If such a surface is minimal, i.e. its mean curvature vanishes identically, then it is either a plane or describes the Scherk surface [48].

While this sort of surfaces has been studied in classical manner since the former half of nineteenth century (see $[5,12-14,17,25-33,36,40,41,48-51,53-56,59,60]$ ), their complete classification/characterization in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ emposing natural curvature conditions (e.g. minimality, flatness and having nonzero constant mean or Gaussian curvatures) have been recently found, see [20-22]. Yet, in higher dimensions and different ambient spaces, there are still numerous unsolved problems.

On the other hand, a semi-symmetric metric (resp. non-metric) connection on a Riemannian manifold was defined by Hayden [23] (resp. Agashe [1]) and since then has been studied by many authors. For example, see [2, 3, 7, 9, 15, 18, 24, 42-45, 52, 57, 58, 61].

As can be seen from the great number of published studies, the notions of translation surfaces and semi-symmetric (non-) metric connection have great interest and very recently Wang [54] combined these seperate research areas into one, which came up with a new perspective. In the cited paper, the author introduced and obtained minimal translation surfaces in 3-dimensional space forms endowed with a certain semi-symmetric (non-)metric connection.

In this study we mainly concern with singular minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, namely those surfaces satisfying an equation of mean curvature type (see [11]). The notion of singular minimal surface is a generalization of two-dimensional analogue of the catenary which is known as a model for the surfaces with the lowest gravity center, in other words, one has

[^0]minimal potential energy [6]. In this context, the present study aims to contribute to Wang's perspective by considering singular minimal translation surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ endowed with a certain semi-symmetric (non-)metric connection.

In order to explicitly initiate the notion of singular minimality, we begin with the one-dimensional case: let $\gamma: I \subset$ $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a parametrized curve and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ a fixed unit vector and $\alpha$ some real constant. Then the curve $\gamma$ is called $\alpha$-catenary (see [11]) if the following holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(s)=\alpha \frac{\langle\mathbf{n}(s), \mathbf{u}\rangle}{\langle\gamma(s), \mathbf{u}\rangle} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa$ and $\mathbf{n}$ are the curvature and principle unit normal vector field of $\gamma$. Up to a change of coordinates, one can assumed as $\mathbf{u}=(0,1)$ and $\gamma$ a graph locally given by $\gamma(s)=(s, f(s))$, for $f: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Thereby, for $\alpha=1$, Eq. (1.1) writes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{f} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $s \in I$. The solution of Eq. (1.2) is the catenary $f(s)=\frac{1}{\lambda} \cosh (\lambda s+\mu), \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \neq 0$, see [39].
As its two-dimensional analogue, Eq. (1.2) has a remarkable a physical point of view, which can be initiated as follows: let the direction of gravity be choosen as $y$-axis. Then Eq. (1.2) defines a configuration in which a uniform chain, whose two ends are fixed and hanged under its own weight, is in balance with the effect of the gravitational field. So, a catenary actually minimizes potential energy under the influence of gravity force, in other words has the lowest center of gravity (e.g. [16]).

Let us now consider the smooth immersion $\sigma: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}^{3}(\mathbf{u})$ of an oriented surface $M$ in the halfspace

$$
\mathbb{R}_{+}^{3}(\mathbf{u})=\left\{p \in \mathbb{R}^{3},\langle p, \mathbf{u}\rangle>0\right\}
$$

for a fixed unit vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then, the potential $\alpha$-energy of $\sigma$ in the direction of $\mathbf{u}$ is defined by ( $[37,38]$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\sigma)=\int_{M}\langle\sigma(q), u\rangle^{\alpha} d M, q \in M \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d M$ refers to the measure on $M$ with respect to the induced metric tensor from $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. If $\sigma$ is a critical point of Eq. (1.3), it then follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 H=\alpha \frac{\langle\xi, \mathbf{u}\rangle}{\langle\sigma, \mathbf{u}\rangle}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ and $\xi$ are the mean curvature and unit normal vector field on $M$. A surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ fulfilling Eq. (1.4) is called singular minimal surface or $\alpha$-minimal surface see $[10,11]$.

Eq. (1.4) is clearly an equation of mean curvature type and reduces to the classical minimal surface equation when $\alpha=0$ [34, p. 17]. If we take $\mathbf{u}=(0,0,1)$ and $\alpha=1 \mathrm{in}$ Eq. (1.4), then the surface $M$ is said to be two-dimensional analogue of the catenary [6].

López [37] proved that a singular minimal translation surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with respect to a horizontal or a vertical direction is a $\alpha$-catenary cylinder, namely a generalized cylinder (see [19, p. 439]) whose base curve is a $\alpha$-catenary. This result was generalized to higher dimensions by the present authors [4].

Noting that the mean curvature $H$ in Eq. (1.4) is given with respect to the Levi-Civita connection on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, we modify it by considering the mean curvatures arising via special semi-symmetric metric and non-metric connections $\nabla$ and $D$ given by Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2). We call the modified concepts $\nabla$ - and $D$-singular minimality and these allow us non-trivial and new problems. One of the problems is to find $\nabla$ - and $D$-singular minimal translation surfaces with respect to a horizontal direction and we solve it completely.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $(\tilde{M}, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold of dimension 3 and $\tilde{\nabla}$ an affine connection on $\tilde{M}$. Let us denote the set of sections of a vector bundle $E \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ by $\Gamma(E)$ and the set of tensor fields of type $(r, s)$ on $\tilde{M}$ by $\Gamma\left(T \tilde{M}^{(r, s)}\right)$. Then the torsion tensor field $T \in \Gamma\left(T \tilde{M}^{(1,2)}\right)$ of $\tilde{\nabla}$ is defined by

$$
T(X, Y)=\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y-\tilde{\nabla}_{Y} X-[X, Y]
$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(T \tilde{M})$. Then the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is called (see $[42,58])$
(1) a (resp. non-) symmetric connection if $T$ (resp. does not) vanishes identically;
(2) a (resp. non-) metric connection if $g$ is (resp. not) parallel;
(3) a semi-symmetric connection if the following holds

$$
T(X, Y)=\pi(Y) X-\pi(X) Y
$$

for $\pi(X)=g(X, W), \pi \in \Gamma\left(T \tilde{M}^{(0,1)}\right), W \in \Gamma(T \tilde{M})$;
(4) a Levi-Civita connection if it is both symmetric and metric.

Let $\tilde{M}=\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $\left\{\partial_{x}, \partial_{y}, \partial_{z}\right\}$ the standard basis on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Consider the certain semi-symmetric metric and non-metric connections, respectively [54]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} Y=\nabla_{X}^{L} Y+g\left(Y, \partial_{z}\right) X-g(X, Y) \partial_{z} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{X} Y=\nabla_{X}^{L} Y+g\left(Y, \partial_{z}\right) X, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla^{L}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma\left(T \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. For Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2), the nonzero derivatives are given by

$$
\nabla_{\partial_{x}} \partial_{x}=-\partial_{z}, \nabla_{\partial_{x}} \partial_{z}=\partial_{x}, \nabla_{\partial_{y}} \partial_{y}=-\partial_{z}, \nabla_{\partial_{y}} \partial_{z}=\partial_{y},
$$

and

$$
D_{\partial_{x}} \partial_{z}=\partial_{x}, D_{\partial_{y}} \partial_{z}=\partial_{y}, D_{\partial_{z}} \partial_{z}=\partial_{z}
$$

Let $M$ be an oriented immersed surface into $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. For any $X, Y \in \Gamma\left(T \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma\left(T \mathbb{R}^{3^{\perp}}\right)$, the Gauss formulae with respect to $\nabla$ and $D$ follow

$$
\nabla_{X} Y=\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{\top}+h^{\nabla}(X, Y) \xi
$$

and

$$
D_{X} Y=\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{\top}+h^{D}(X, Y) \xi
$$

where T is the projection on the tangent bundle of $M$ and $h^{\nabla}$ and $h^{D}$ are so-called the second fundamental forms with respect to $\nabla$ and $D$, respectively. Let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ be an orthonormal tangent frame on $M$. Then the mean curvatures of $M$ with respect to $\nabla$ and $D$ are defined by

$$
H^{\nabla}=\frac{1}{2}\left[h^{\nabla}\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)+h^{\nabla}\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)\right]
$$

and

$$
H^{D}=\frac{1}{2}\left[h^{D}\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)+h^{D}\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)\right] .
$$

The surface $M$ is said to be minimal with respect to $\nabla$ (resp. $D$ ) if $H^{\nabla}$ (resp. $H^{D}$ ) vanishes.
Let $g_{i j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2$, denote the components of the induced metric tensor on $M$ from the canonical metric. Then the mean curvatures $H^{\nabla}$ and $H^{D}$ are respectively given by

$$
H^{\nabla}=\frac{g_{22} h_{11}^{\nabla}-g_{12}\left(h_{12}^{\nabla}+h_{21}^{\nabla}\right)+g_{11} h_{22}^{\nabla}}{2 \operatorname{det} g_{i j}}
$$

and

$$
H^{D}=\frac{g_{22} h_{11}^{D}-g_{12}\left(h_{12}^{D}+h_{21}^{D}\right)+g_{11} h_{22}^{D}}{2 \operatorname{det} g_{i j}}
$$

where $h_{i j}^{\nabla}=\left\langle\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{j}, \xi\right\rangle$ and $h_{i j}^{D}=\left\langle D_{e_{i}} e_{j}, \xi\right\rangle$, for some basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ of $\Gamma(T M)$.
We utterly enable to introduce the notion of singular minimality with respect to the connections $\nabla$ and $D$ : let $\sigma: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a smooth immersion of an oriented surface $M$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ a fixed unit vector. The surface $M$ is called $\nabla$-singular minimal surface with respect to the vector $\mathbf{u}$ if it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 H^{\nabla}=\alpha \frac{\langle\xi, \mathbf{u}\rangle}{\langle\sigma, \mathbf{u}\rangle},\langle\sigma, \mathbf{u}\rangle \neq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ is the unit normal vector field on $M$ and $\alpha$ a real constant. Accordingly the surface $M$ is called $D-\operatorname{singular}$ minimal surface with respect to the vector $\mathbf{u}$ if it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 H^{D}=\alpha \frac{\langle\xi, \mathbf{u}\rangle}{\langle\sigma, \mathbf{u}\rangle},\langle\sigma, \mathbf{u}\rangle \neq 0 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that these notions coincide with the usual minimality with respect to $\nabla$ and $D$ when $\alpha=0$ and so $\alpha \neq 0$ is assumed throughout the study.

## 3. $\nabla$-singular Minimal Translation Surfaces

In this section, we characterize $\nabla$-singular minimal translation surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. As the translation property of the surface changes, Eq. (2.3) generates different tasks. Because there are three types of translation surfaces as follows

$$
z=f(x)+g(y), y=f(x)+g(z), x=f(y)+g(z)
$$

we state three separate results.
Theorem 3.1. A $\nabla$-singular minimal translation surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ of type $z=f(x)+g(y)$ with respect to a horizontal vector $\mathbf{u}$ is a generalized cylinder and one of the following occurs
(1) $f(x)=c_{1}$ and $g(y)=-\frac{1}{2} \ln \left|\cos \left(2 y+c_{2}\right)\right|+c_{3}$,
(2) $g(y)=c_{4}+c_{5} y$ and $f$ is a solution of the ordinary differential equation (ODE)

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}=\frac{-\alpha}{\left(1+c_{5}^{2}\right) x}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{3}+\frac{2}{1+c_{5}^{2}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{\alpha}{x} f^{\prime}+2 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{5} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f^{\prime}=\frac{d f}{d x}$, etc.
Proof. The unit normal vector field and mean curvature are computed by

$$
\xi=\frac{-f^{\prime} \partial_{x}-g^{\prime} \partial_{y}+\partial_{z}}{\sqrt{1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}
$$

and

$$
H^{\nabla}=\frac{\left[1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] f^{\prime \prime}+\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime \prime}-2\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]}{2\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}
$$

where $g^{\prime}=\frac{d g}{d y}$ and so. Without of loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathbf{u}=\partial_{x}$. Then Eq. (2.3) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left[1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] f^{\prime \prime}+\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime \prime}-2\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]}{1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=-\alpha \frac{f^{\prime}}{x} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To solve Eq. (3.2), we distinguish several cases: the first case is that $f(x)=f_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, Eq. (3.2) reduces to $g^{\prime \prime}=2\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2$. Solving this implies the first statement of the theorem. The second case is that $f^{\prime}=f_{0} \neq 0$. Hence, Eq. (3.2) reduces to the following polynomial equation on $x$

$$
\left\{g^{\prime \prime}\left(1+f_{0}^{2}\right)-2\left[1+f_{0}^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} x+\alpha f_{0}\left[1+f_{0}^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]=0
$$

which means that this case is false because the constant term of the polynomial equation cannot be zero. The last case is that $f^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. Then taking partial derivative Eq. (3.2) with respect to $y$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(-2+f^{\prime \prime}\right) g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime \prime \prime}=-2 \alpha g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} \frac{f^{\prime}}{x} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

That $g^{\prime}=g_{0}, g_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$, is clearly a solution of Eq. (3.3). Then Eq. (3.2) reduces to

$$
\left[-2-2 g_{0}^{2}+\left(1+g_{0}^{2}\right) f^{\prime \prime}-2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] x+\alpha f^{\prime}\left[1+g_{0}^{2}+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]=0
$$

or

$$
f^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\alpha}{\left(1+g_{0}^{2}\right) x}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{3}-\frac{2}{1+g_{0}^{2}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{x} f^{\prime}-2=0
$$

which gives the second statement of the theorem. Then the proof finishes if we show that Eq. (3.3) has no solution for $f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. By contradiction, assume that $f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. Dividing Eq. (3.3) with $2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] \frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}+f^{\prime \prime}+\alpha \frac{f^{\prime}}{x}-2=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 c g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}, c \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating Eq. (3.5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime}=c\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+d, d \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (3.6) to Eq. (3.2) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}+\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]\left(-2+d+\alpha \frac{f^{\prime}}{x}\right)=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqs (3.4) and (3.7), we conclude two equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(-2+\alpha \frac{f^{\prime}}{x}\right)\left(-2+d+\alpha \frac{f^{\prime}}{x}\right)}{2+c-d-\alpha \frac{f^{\prime}}{x}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-2-c+d)\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\alpha \frac{\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{x}+d-c=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the denominator in Eq. (3.8) is not zero because the contradiction $f^{\prime \prime}=0$ is obtained otherwise. Taking derivative of Eq. (3.9) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[2(-2-c+d)+\frac{3 \alpha f^{\prime}}{x}\right] f^{\prime \prime}=\alpha\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{x}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering Eq. (3.8) to Eq. (3.10) gives a polynomial equation of $\frac{f^{\prime}}{x}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha^{2}(1+3 \alpha)\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{x}\right)^{3}+\alpha[\alpha(-16-2 c+5 d)-2-c+d]\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{x}\right)^{2}  \tag{3.11}\\
2 \alpha[(-4+d)(-2-c+d)-3(-2+d)] \frac{f^{\prime}}{x}-4(-2-c+d)(-2+d)=0,
\end{gather*}
$$

in which the fact that the leading coefficient vanish yields $\alpha=\frac{-1}{3}$ and therefore Eq. (3.11) reduces

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{-10+c+2 d}{9}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{x}\right)^{2}-\frac{2}{3}[(-4+d)(-2-c+d)-3(-2+d)] \frac{f^{\prime}}{x}  \tag{3.12}\\
-4(-2-c+d)(-2+d)=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

The constant term must be zero and for this reason assume that $d=2$. Then Eq. (3.12) gives the contradiction $c=6$ and $c=0$. This means that $d \neq 2$ and $-2-c+d=0$ and therefore the coefficient of the term of degree 1 cannot vanish, a contradiction.

The ODE (3.1) admits a reduction of order

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}=\frac{-\alpha}{\left(1+c_{5}^{2}\right) x} u^{3}+\frac{2}{1+c_{5}^{2}} u^{2}-\frac{\alpha}{x} u+2 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=u(x)=f^{\prime}(x)$ and $u^{\prime}(x)=f^{\prime \prime}(x)$. Due to $\alpha \neq 0$, the ODE (3.13) is an Abel equation of the first kind given by

$$
u^{\prime}=p_{3}(x) u^{3}+p_{2}(x) u^{2}+p_{1}(x) u+p_{0}(x)
$$

and no admits a general solution in terms of known functions, excepting very special cases depending on the functions $p_{0}(x), \ldots, p_{3}(x)$. We refer to $[46,47]$ for more details. Notice also that the surface given by the first statement of Theorem 3.1 is both $\nabla$-singular minimal and $\nabla$-minimal.

Theorem 3.2. A $\nabla$-singular minimal translation surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ of type $y=f(x)+g(z)$ with respect to a horizontal vector $\mathbf{u}$ is either a plane parallel to $\mathbf{u}$ or a generalized cylinder satisfying one of the following
(1) $f(x)=c_{1}$ and

$$
g(z)= \pm \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left(\frac{1}{c_{2}} \sqrt{e^{4 z}-c_{2}^{2}}\right)+c_{3},
$$

(2) $g(z)=c_{4}+c_{5} z$ and $f$ is a solution of the ODE

$$
f^{\prime \prime}=\frac{-\alpha}{\left(1+c_{5}^{2}\right) x}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{3}-\frac{2 c_{5}}{1+c_{5}^{2}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{\alpha}{x} f^{\prime}-2 c_{5},
$$

where $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{5} \in \mathbb{R}, c_{2} \neq 0$, and $f^{\prime}=\frac{d f}{d x}$, etc.

Proof. The unit normal vector field and mean curvature are given by

$$
\xi=\frac{f^{\prime} \partial_{x}-\partial_{y}+g^{\prime} \partial_{z}}{\sqrt{1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}
$$

and

$$
2 H^{\nabla}=-\frac{\left[1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] f^{\prime \prime}+\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime \prime}+2\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime}}{\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}
$$

where $g^{\prime}=\frac{d g}{d z}$ and so. Without of loss of generality we may assume that $\mathbf{u}=\partial_{x}$. Therefore, Eq. (2.3) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left[1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]+g^{\prime \prime}\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]+2\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime}}{1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=-\alpha \frac{f^{\prime}}{x} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To solve Eq. (3.14), we distinguish several cases: the first case is that $f(x)=f_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, Eq. (3.14) reduces to $g^{\prime \prime}=-2\left[1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime}$. That $g^{\prime}=0$ is a trivial solution of this equation and leads the surface to a plane parallel to $\mathbf{u}$. Otherwise, $g^{\prime} \neq 0$, after solving it, we obtain the first statement of the theorem. The second case is that $f^{\prime}=f_{0} \neq 0$. Then Eq. (3.14) reduces to a polynomial equation on

$$
\left\{\left[1+f_{0}^{2}\right] g^{\prime \prime}+2\left[1+f_{0}^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime}\right\} x+\alpha f_{0}\left(1+f_{0}^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)=0
$$

which implies that this case is false because the constant term of the polynomial equation cannot be zero. The last case is that $f^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. Taking partial derivative Eq. (3.14) with respect to $z$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
6\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2} g^{\prime \prime}+2\left(f^{\prime \prime}+\alpha \frac{f^{\prime}}{x}\right) g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]\left(2 g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

That $g^{\prime}=g_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ is clearly a solution to Eq. (3.15). So, Eq. (3.14) reduces to

$$
f^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\alpha}{\left(1+g_{0}^{2}\right) x}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{3}+\frac{2 g_{0}}{1+g_{0}^{2}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{x} f^{\prime}+2 g_{0}=0,
$$

which gives the second statement of the theorem. Then the proof finishes if we show that Eq. (3.15) has no solution for $f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. By contradiction, assume that $f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. Dividing Eq. (3.15) with $2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]+f^{\prime \prime}+\alpha \frac{f^{\prime}}{x}+3 g^{\prime}=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking partial derivative of Eq. (3.16) with respect to $x$ and $z$ leads to

$$
f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

or, owing to $f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$, the term $g^{\prime \prime \prime} /\left(2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\right)+1 / g^{\prime}$ becomes a constant. Therefore, the partial derivative of Eq. (3.16) with respect to $z$ gives $g^{\prime \prime}=0$, a contradiction

Note that, as in previous result, the surface given by the first statement of Theorem 3.2 is both $\nabla$-singular minimal and $\nabla$-minimal.
Theorem 3.3. A $\nabla$-singular minimal translation surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ of type $x=f(y)+g(z)$ with respect to a horizontal vector $\mathbf{u}$ is a generalized cylinder and one of the following occurs
(1) $f(y)=c_{1}$ and $g$ is a solution of the autonomous ODE

$$
g^{\prime \prime}=\left(\frac{\alpha}{c_{1}+g}-2 g^{\prime}\right)\left[1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right], g^{\prime \prime} \neq 0
$$

(2) $g(z)=c_{2}$ and

$$
y= \pm \int\left[c_{3}\left(f+c_{2}\right)^{2 \alpha}+c_{4}\right]^{-1 / 2} d f
$$

for $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{4} \in \mathbb{R}, c_{3} \neq 0$, and $g^{\prime}=\frac{d g}{d z}$, etc.

Proof. The unit normal vector field and mean curvature are

$$
\xi=\frac{\left(\partial_{x}-f^{\prime} \partial_{y}-g^{\prime} \partial_{z}\right)}{\sqrt{1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}
$$

and

$$
2 H^{\nabla}=\frac{\left[1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] f^{\prime \prime}+\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime \prime}+2\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime}}{\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}},
$$

where $f^{\prime}=\frac{d f}{d y}$ and so. Without of loss of generality we may assume that $\mathbf{u}=\partial_{x}$. Hence Eq. (2.3) turns to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left[1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] f^{\prime \prime}+\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime \prime}+2\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime}}{1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=\alpha \frac{1}{f+g} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which both $f$ and $g$ cannot be constant simultaneously because the situation $\alpha=0$ is obtained otherwise. We distinguish the remaining cases: the first case is that $f(y)=f_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Eq. (3.17) writes

$$
g^{\prime \prime}+2\left[1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime}=\alpha \frac{1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f_{0}+g}
$$

in which $g$ must be non-linear because the situation $\alpha=0$ is obtained otherwise. This concludes the first statement of the theorem. The second case is that $f(y)=d+c y, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, c \neq 0$. Then Eq. (3.17) turns to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(1+c^{2}\right) g^{\prime \prime}}{1+c^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+2 g^{\prime}=\alpha \frac{1}{d+c y+g} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking partial derivative of Eq. (3.18) with respect to $y$, we obtain the contradiction $\alpha c=0$. The third case is that $f^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$ and $g=g_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then Eq. (3.17) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=\alpha \frac{1}{f+g_{0}} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By multiplying Eq. (3.19) with $2 f^{\prime}$ and taking first integral, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}= \pm \sqrt{c\left(f+g_{0}\right)^{2 \alpha}-1}, c \in \mathbb{R}, c \neq 0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of Eq. (3.20), we can conclude

$$
f^{\prime \prime}=\alpha c\left(f+g_{0}\right)^{2 \alpha-1}
$$

which is known as Emden-Fowler equation (see [47]) and the solution follows

$$
y= \pm \int\left[c\left(f+g_{0}\right)^{2 \alpha}+d\right]^{-1 / 2} d f+e, d, e \in \mathbb{R}
$$

which proves the second statement of the theorem. The fourth case is that $f^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$ and $g(z)=d+c z, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, c \neq 0$. Then Eq. (3.17) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left[1+c^{2}\right] f^{\prime \prime}}{1+c^{2}+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+2 c=\alpha \frac{1}{d+c z+f} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking partial derivative of Eq. (3.21) with respect to $z$, we obtain the contradiction $\alpha c=0$. Therefore the proof finishes if we show that Eq. (3.17) has no solution for $f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. By contradiction, assume that $f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. Then Eq. (3.17) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{gather*}
(f+g)\left\{\left[1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] f^{\prime \prime}+\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime \prime}+2\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime}\right\}  \tag{3.22}\\
=\alpha\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

If we take partial derivative of Eq. (3.22) with respect to $y$ and $z$ and afterwards divide the generated equation with $f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}$, we can deduce

$$
\begin{gather*}
4+\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{g^{\prime \prime}}+2 g\right]+\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{2}{g^{\prime}}+\left[\frac{1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f^{\prime \prime}}+2 f\right]\right.  \tag{3.23}\\
+\frac{2 f f^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{2 g g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{4 g}{g^{\prime}}+\frac{4 g^{\prime}}{g^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{6 g^{\prime}}{f^{\prime \prime}}=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

The partial derivative of Eq. (3.23) with respect to $y$ and $z$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{\prime}\left[\frac{1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{g^{\prime \prime}}+2 g\right]^{\prime}+\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{2}{g^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\left[\frac{1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f^{\prime \prime}}+2 f\right]^{\prime}-\frac{6 g^{\prime \prime} f^{\prime \prime \prime}}{\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}}=0 . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have subcases:
(1) $f^{\prime \prime}=f_{0} \in \mathbb{R}, f_{0} \neq 0$. Then the partial derivative of Eq. (3.23) with respect to $y$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{2}{g^{\prime}}=0 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore Eq. (3.23) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2+\frac{2 g^{\prime}}{g^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{3 g^{\prime}}{f_{0}}=0 \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, a first integration of Eq. (3.25) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime}=-2 g^{\prime}+c, c \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting Eq. (3.27) into Eq. (3.26), we obtain a polynomial equation on $g^{\prime}$ whose leading coefficient coming from the term $\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ is $\frac{-6}{f_{0}}$, a contradiction.
(2) $f^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 c f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}, c \in \mathbb{R}, c \neq 0$. A first integration yields $f^{\prime \prime}=c\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+d, d \in \mathbb{R}$. Then Eq. (3.24) reduces to

$$
\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{2}{g^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\left[\frac{2 d}{c}-1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]-6 g^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

which implies $\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{2}{g^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}=0$. This gives from Eq. (3.24) the contradiction $g^{\prime \prime} f^{\prime \prime \prime}=0$.
(3) $\left(f^{\prime \prime \prime} / f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime} \neq 0$. Eq. (3.24) can be rewritten by dividing $g^{\prime \prime}\left(f^{\prime \prime \prime} / f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(y) B(z)=C(y)+D(z), \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A(y)=\frac{\left[\left\{1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right\} / f^{\prime \prime}+2 f\right]^{\prime}}{\left(f^{\prime \prime \prime} / f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}}, B(z)=\left(g^{\prime \prime \prime} / g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+2 / g^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} / g^{\prime \prime}
$$

and

$$
C(y)=6 \frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime} /\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}}{\left(f^{\prime \prime \prime} / f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}}, D(z)=-\left[\left\{1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right\} / g^{\prime \prime}+2 g\right]^{\prime} / g^{\prime \prime}
$$

The functions $A, B, C, D$ from Eq. (3.28) must be all constant. Let us put $B(z)=B_{0}$ and $D(z)=-D_{0}$, $B_{0}, D_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{2}{g^{\prime}}\right]^{\prime}=B_{0} g^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 g^{\prime}-\left[1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] \frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{\left(g^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}}=D_{0} g^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

A first integration of Eq. (3.29) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{2}{g^{\prime}}=B_{0} g^{\prime}+d_{1} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $d_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Multiplying Eq. (3.31) with $g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}$ and then taking first integration the generated equation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime}=\frac{B_{0}}{3}\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{3}+\frac{d_{1}}{2}\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}-2 g^{\prime}+d_{2} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $d_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. By considering Eq. (3.32) into Eq. (3.31), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{B_{0}^{2}}{3}\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{5}+\text { rest terms } \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eqs. (3.32) and (3.33) into Eq. (3.30), we have a polynomial equation on $g^{\prime}$ whose coefficient coming from $\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{7}$ is $\frac{B_{0}^{2}}{9}$, yielding $B_{0}=0$. It follows from Eq. (3.31)

$$
g^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left(-2+d_{1} g^{\prime}\right) g^{\prime \prime}
$$

and plugging it into Eq. (3.30)

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}-\left[1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]\left(-2+d_{1} g^{\prime}\right)=D_{0}\left(g^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering Eq. (3.32) into Eq. (3.34) leads to a polynomial equation on $g^{\prime}$ whose coefficient coming from $\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{3}$ is $d_{1}$ which must vanish. Therefore Eqs. (3.32) and (3.34) reduce to

$$
g^{\prime \prime}=-2 g^{\prime}+d_{2}
$$

and

$$
4 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+2\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2=D_{0}\left(g^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}
$$

respectively. From these two equations, we can conclude a polynomial equation on $g^{\prime}$

$$
\left(4 D_{0}+6\right)\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}-4\left(D_{0}+d_{2}\right) g^{\prime}+D_{0} d_{2}^{2}-2=0
$$

which gives a contradiction because the constant term cannot vanish.

## 4. D-singular Minimal Translation Surfaces

As in previous section, we characterize translation surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ of each type to be $D$-singular minimal through the following results.
Theorem 4.1. A $D$-singular minimal translation surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ of type $z=f(x)+g(y)$ with respect to a horizontal vector $\mathbf{u}$ is either a plane parallel to $\mathbf{u}$ or a generalized cylinder satisfying $g(y)=c_{1}+c_{2} y$ and

$$
f(x)= \pm\left|c_{3}\right| \sqrt{1+c_{2}^{2}} \int\left(x^{2 \alpha}-c_{3}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} d x
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3} \in \mathbb{R}, c_{3} \neq 0$.
Proof. The unit normal vector field and mean curvature follow

$$
\xi=\frac{-f^{\prime} \partial_{x}-g^{\prime} \partial_{y}+\partial_{z}}{\sqrt{1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}
$$

and

$$
H^{D}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left[1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]+g^{\prime \prime}\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]}{2\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}
$$

where $f^{\prime}=\frac{d f}{d x}, g^{\prime}=\frac{d g}{d y}$ and so. Without of loss of generality we may assume that $\mathbf{u}=\partial_{x}$. Hence Eq. (2.4) turns to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left[1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] f^{\prime \prime}+\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime \prime}}{1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=-\alpha \frac{f^{\prime}}{x} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (4.1) has no solution if $g^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$, see [37, Theorem 5]. Therefore, we have $g^{\prime}=g_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and Eq. (4.1) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(1+g_{0}^{2}\right) f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}\left[1+g_{0}^{2}+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]}=-\frac{\alpha}{x} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where being $f$ a constant is a trivial solution, implying $M$ is a plane parallel to the vector $\mathbf{u}$. Assume that $f$ is no constant and then we can easily infer from Eq. (4.2) that $f$ cannot be a linear function, i.e. $f^{\prime \prime}=0$, due to $\alpha \neq 0$. Hence, a first integration of Eq. (4.2) yields

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1+g_{0}^{2}+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}=c x^{-\alpha}, c \in \mathbb{R}, c \neq 0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}= \pm|c| \frac{\sqrt{1+g_{0}^{2}}}{\sqrt{x^{2 \alpha}-c^{2}}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A first integration of Eq. (4.3) completes the proof.

For a translation surface of type $y=f(x)+g(z)$, the unit normal vector field and mean curvature follow

$$
\xi=\frac{f^{\prime} \partial_{x}-\partial_{y}+g^{\prime} \partial_{z}}{\sqrt{1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}
$$

and

$$
H^{D}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left[1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]+g^{\prime \prime}\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]}{2\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}
$$

where $f^{\prime}=\frac{d f}{d x}, g^{\prime}=\frac{d g}{d z}$ and so. Then, with respect to the horizontal vector $\mathbf{u}=\partial_{x}$, the $D$-singular minimality equation is similar to Eq. (4.1) up to a sign. Thereby, for such a surface, we can state a similar result to Theorem 4.1 without proof by replacing $\alpha$ with $-\alpha$.
Theorem 4.2. A $D$-singular minimal translation surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ of type $y=f(x)+g(z)$ with respect to a horizontal vector $\mathbf{u}$ is either a plane parallel to $\mathbf{u}$ or a generalized cylinder satisfying $g(z)=c_{1}+c_{2} z$ and

$$
f(x)= \pm\left|c_{3}\right| \sqrt{1+c_{2}^{2}} \int x^{\alpha}\left(1-c_{3}^{2} x^{2 \alpha}\right)^{-1 / 2} d x
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3} \in \mathbb{R}, c_{3} \neq 0$.
Theorem 4.3. A D-singular minimal translation surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ of type $x=f(y)+g(z)$ with respect to a horizontal vector $\mathbf{u}$ is a generalized cylinder satisfying $f(y)=c_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z= \pm \int\left[c_{2}^{2}\left(c_{1}+g\right)^{2 \alpha}-1\right]^{-1 / 2} d g \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}, c_{2} \neq 0$.
Proof. Without of loss of generality we may assume that $\mathbf{u}=\partial_{x}$. Then Eq. (2.4) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left[1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] f^{\prime \prime}+\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] g^{\prime \prime}}{1+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\alpha}{f+g} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the roles of $f$ and $g$ are symmetric. Eq. (4.4) has a solution provided that $f$ or $g$ is a constant, see [35, Theorem 4.1]. Thanks to the symmetry, we can assume $f=f_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Thereby, Eq. (4.5) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\alpha}{f_{0}+g} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}=q, q^{\prime}=\frac{d q}{d g} \frac{d g}{d z}=\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{g^{\prime}}, q=q(g) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By considering Eq. (4.7) into Eq. (4.6) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{q q^{\prime}}{1+q^{2}}=\frac{\alpha}{f_{0}+g} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A first integration of Eq. (4.8) with respect to $g$ yields $1+q^{2}=c^{2}\left(f_{0}+g\right)^{2 \alpha}$ or

$$
d z= \pm \frac{d g}{\sqrt{c^{2}\left(f_{0}+g\right)^{2 \alpha}-1}}
$$

and the proof is completed by a first integration.

## 5. Conclusions

In this study, new perspectives on the singular minimality of immersed surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ were introduced. These, in particular the $\nabla$-singular minimality, provided us non-trivial and different results from the obtained one with respect to the Levi-Civita connection on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, see $[35,37]$. Our results were found with respect to a horizontal vector and it will not make big difference when the vector is assumed to be vertical. Yet, the problem of finding $\nabla$ - and $D$-singular minimal translation surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with respect to an arbitrary vector is open.
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