

Guideline for Antimicrobial Prophylaxis in Breast Surgery

Sema Bağhaki¹, Gürsel Remzi Soybir², Atilla Soran³

¹İstanbul Bilim University Faculty of Medicine, İstanbul, Turkey ²Department of General Surgery, Namık Kemal University Faculty of Medicine, Tekirdağ, Turkey ³Magee-Womens Hospital, Pittsburgh University, Pittsburgh, USA

ABSTRACT

The American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP) published the 2012/2013 edition of the book entitled "Best Practices for Hospital & Health-System Pharmacy: Position and Guidance Documents of ASHP" with Bruce Hawkins as the editor. (ISSN: 15558975). Pages 582-667 of this book contain the section: "Therapeutic Guidelines on Antimicrobial Prophylaxis in Surgery". This section includes current clinical developments, evidence and recommendations on the application of standard and effective antimicrobial prophylaxis in adult and pediatric patients, and has significant differences compared to the previous 1999 edition. On pages 632-633, antimicrobial prophylaxis in breast and plastic surgery practice is addressed in detail. This article contains a summary of the recommendations made in ASHP 2012/2013 Report regarding the antimicrobial prophylaxis in breast and plastic surgery applications.

Key words: Breast, antibiotic prophylaxis, surgery

Introduction

Currently breast surgery has a wide range of procedures including plastic surgery operations. The risk of wound infection is below 5% in surgical procedures including breast reduction and reconstruction surgery, in a patient without additional risk factors for infection (1-12). In addition to patient specific conditions that are known to increase the risk of infection in all kinds of surgical wounds in general, the use of implants in breast surgery (13) and preoperative radiotherapy application (14, 15) further increase the risk of wound infection.

Antimicrobial prophylaxis should be applied in clean wounds at high risk of wound infection, clean-contaminated wounds and contaminated wounds. In clean wounds without a risk, there is no indication for prophylaxis (16). In dirty-infected wounds treatment is planned, not infection prophylaxis.

Factors Increasing the Risk of Surgical Wound Infection

Antimicrobial prophylaxis has an important role in reducing surgical wound infection rates. Besides prophylaxis; basic infection control mechanisms implemented in the clinic (17), the surgeon's experience and technique, duration of operation, hospital and operating room conditions, instrumentation, preoperative preparation including body-washing, skin antisepsis and shaving, peri-operative management of temperature and blood glucose regulation, and the patient's existing co-morbidities all play an important role (16, 18). Patient-related risk factors for surgical wound infections are advanced age, negative nutritional status, obesity, diabetes mellitus, cigarette smoking, presence of infection, immunodeficiency or immunosuppressive use, steroid use, recent surgery, long preoperative hospitalization and colonization with microorganisms.

Microorganisms

In breast and plastic surgery procedures, usually S. aureus is responsible for the wound infection (2, 6, 7, 10, 11, 15, 19, 20). In axillary region procedures, obese patients prone to maceration, procedures at sweating areas P. aeruginosa, Serratia marcescens, Enterobacteriaceae including E. coli and gram-negatives like Klebsiella can be isolated (20, 21).

Atilla Soran, Magee-Womens Hospital, Pittsburgh University, Pittsburgh, USA Phone: 412 641 13 16 e-mail: asoran@upmc.edu

Efficiency

In retrospective placebo-controlled trials it has been shown that antimicrobial prophylaxis did not significantly reduce rate of infection in surgical applications with clean wounds such as augmentation mammoplasty (9), reduction mammoplasty, lumpectomy, mastectomy, and axillary lymph node dissection (19, 22-24). However, a Cochrane review evaluating 7 randomized controlled trials and including 1984 patients with primary non-reconstructive breast surgery and axillary dissection due to breast cancer (25), showed that there was a significant reduction in infection rates with prophylaxis when groups with (995 patients) or without (989 patients) prophylaxis were compared (8% versus 10.5%, RR 0.72, 95% CI: 0.53-0.97). In this study, it

Table 1. Recommended doses and dose interval for antimicrobial agents frequently used in surgical prophylaxis

	Recomm	Recommended dose		Recommended 2.Dose administration interval (The preoperative dose
Antimicrobial	Adult (a)	Pediatric (b)	functions h (19)	accepted as the first dose) h (c)
Ampicillin-Sulbactam	3g (ampicillin 2 g/ sulbactam 1 g)	50 mg/kg of Ampicillin component	0.8-1.3	2
Ampicillin	2 g	50 mg/kg	1-1.9	2
Aztreonam	2 g	30 mg/kg	1.3-2.4	4
Cefazolin 2	g, 3 g for patients more tha	n 120 kg 30 mg/kg	1.2-2.2	4
Cefuroxime	1,5 g	50 mg/kg	1-2	4
Cefotaxime	1 g (d)	50 mg/kg	0.9-1.7	3
Cefoxitin	2 g	40 mg/kg	0.7-1.1	2
Cefotetan	2 g	40 mg/kg	2.8-4.6	6
Ceftriaxone	2 g (e)	50-75 mg/kg	5.4-10.9	NA
Ciprofloxacin (f)	400 mg	10 mg/kg	3-7	NA
Clindamycin	900 mg	10 mg/kg	2-4	6
Ertapenem	1 g	15 mg/kg	3-5	NA
Fluconazole	400 mg	6 mg/kg	30	NA
Gentamicin (g)	5 mg/kg (tek doz)	2.5 mg/kg	2-3	NA
Levofloxacin (f)	500 mg	10 mg/kg	6-8	NA
Metronidazole	500 mg	15 mg/kg Single 7.5 mg/kg dose for newborns <1200 g	6-8	NA
Moxifloxacin (f)	400 mg	10 mg/kg	8-15	NA
Piperacillin-Tazobactan	n 3.375 g a c old	80 mg/kg of piperacilin compon in 2-9 months infant; 100 mg/k of piperacilin component for infa ler than 9 months and less than	ent 0.7-1.2 g ints 40 kg	NA
Vancomycin	15 mg/kg	15 mg/kg	4-8	NA
Oral antibiotics used fo in colorectal surgery (fo bowel preparation)	r prophylaxis 1 g or mechanical	20 mg/kg	0.8-3	NA
Erythromycin				
Metronidazole	1 g	15 mg/kg	6-10	NA
Neomycin	1 g	15 mg/kg 2	2-3 (3% absorbed in the normal GI tract)	NA

h: Hour

(a) Adult doses are those stated for every system. In case of discrepancy, an experienced senior was consulted to determine the recommendation dose.

(b) The maximum pediatric dose should not exceed the adult dose.

(c) Antimicrobials with short half-life (cefazolin, cefoxitin etc.) should be applied prior to the surgical procedure, and should be repeated during the operation according to their half-life in patients with normal renal function. Reccommended interval stated as NA (not applicable) depends on the length of the procedure and repetition may be required in very long surgeries. (d) Although the FDA approved label states 1g, experts recommend 2g for obese patients.

(e) In colorectal procedures when used as a single dose in combination with metronidazol

(f) Although floroquinolons increase the risk of tendinitis/tenosynovitis in all ages, single dose administration is safe.

(g) In general, use of gentamicin in surgical prophylaxis is limited to preoperative single dose. Dose is adjusted according to the patient's weight. If the patient's weight is 20% more than his ideal body weight (IBW), the dose (D) is calculated with this formulation: D = IBW + 0.4 (actual weight - IBW)

was concluded that in order to reduce the risk of wound infection, antimicrobial prophylaxis should be used in breast cancer patients undergoing non-reconstructive surgery.

Antibiotic Choice

There is no consensus about the choice of antibiotics for antimicrobial prophylaxis in clean wounds with risk factors, and clean contaminated wounds in breast and plastic surgery procedures (12, 26). The general application is selecting the antibiotic that will cover gram positive organisms and common gram-negatives according to the surgical area. In most cases, cefazolin or ampicillin-sulbactam is sufficient. In case of beta-lactam allergy, clindamycin and vancomycin are alternatives. If vancomycin or clindamycin is being used and gram-negative organisms are suspected then aztreonam or gentamicin or the addition of a single dose fluoroquinolone is suggested. There isn't any high-level evidence for oral antimicrobial prophylaxis or different applications in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) infection (2, 3, 11, 27).

Dose Adjustment

Data regarding dose adjustment according to weight in overweight patients and dose repetition in long surgeries have been updated. Obesity poses a high risk for surgical wound infection. The pharmacokinetics of the drug may vary in obese patients. That is why, dose should be adjusted according to body weight in these patients. If the procedure continues 2 times longer than the half-life of the drug, or if there is a considerable amount of blood loss during the procedure, intraoperative dose repetition is required in all patients, to make sure that the serum and tissue concentrations of the drug are sufficient (Table 1).

Timing of Preoperative Dose

The best time for preoperative medication is 60 minutes before surgical incision. This is a more specific timeframe then the previous suggestion of application 'during induction of anesthesia'. Some agents, such as fluoroquinolones and vancomycin need to be applied 1-2 hours prior to the operation. Therefore, these agents should be initiated 120 minutes before the surgical incision.

Duration of Prophylaxis

In order to prevent the development of side effects and resistance, antimicrobial prophylaxis should be discontinued as soon as possible even if drains, catheters or implants have been used in breast and plastic surgery operations (4, 5, 11, 16, 19, 28). In breast surgery, any significant differences were not found between single dose antimicrobial prophylaxis regimens and extended protocols in terms of wound infection (5, 11, 19). In addition, side effects such as nausea, diarrhea, itching and skin rash were reported more in the group with more than a single dose of prophylaxis.

Summary Recommendations

- Unless there are no factors increasing the risk, antimicrobial prophylaxis is not required in clean wounds.
- Although no significant antimicrobial activity has been shown in studies, antimicrobial prophylaxis should be applied in clean wounds with risk factors based on expert opinion.
- In clean contaminated wounds, antimicrobial prophylaxis should be administered in breast cancer patients.

- The antimicrobial prophylaxis should be done with single-dose cefazolin or ampicillin-sulbactam, or in the presence of beta-lactam allergy, clindamycin or vancomycin.
- If there is risk of Gram-negative microorganisms, prophylaxis should be done with cefazolin, or in the presence of allergy with gentamicin or aztreonam or fluoroquinolone.
- The post-operative prophylaxis period should be kept less than 24 hours regardless of the presence of catheters, drains, or implants.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Author Contributions: Concept - A.S.; Design - A.S.; Supervision - A.S.; Funding - S.B.; Data Collection and/or Processing - S.B.; Analysis and/or Interpretation - G.R.S.; Literature Review - G.R.S.; Writer - G.R.S.; Critical Review - A.S.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

References

- Babcock MD, Grekin RC. Antibiotic use in dermatologic surgery. Dermatol Clin 2003; 21:337-348. (PMID: 12757256) [CrossRef]
- Messingham MJ, Arpey CJ. Updates on the use of antibiotics in cutaneous surgery. Dermatol Surg 2005; 31:1068-1078. (PMID: 16042931) [CrossRef]
- Wright TI, Baddour LM, Berbari EF, Roenigk RK, Phillips PK, Jacobs MA, Otley CC. Antibiotic prophylaxis in dermatologic surgery: advisory statement 2008. J Am Acad Dermatol 2008; 59:464-473. (PMID: 18694679) [CrossRef]
- Throckmorton AD, Boughey JC, Boostrom SY, Holifield AC, Stobbs MM, Hoskin T, Baddour LM, Degnim AC. Postoperative prophylactic antibiotics and surgical site infection rates in breast surgery patients. Ann Surg Oncol 2009; 16:2464-2469. (PMID: 19506959) [CrossRef]
- Khan UD. Breast augmentation, antibiotic prophylaxis, and infection: comparative analysis of 1,628 primary augmentation mammoplasties assessing the role and efficacy of antibiotics prophylaxis duration. Aesthetic Plast Surg 2010; 34:42-47. (PMID: 19841968) [CrossRef]
- Baran CN, Sensöz Ö, Ulusoy MG. Prophylactic antibiotics in plastic and reconstructive surgery. Plast Reconstr Surg 1999; 103:1561-1566. (PMID: 10323688) [CrossRef]
- Mekako AI, Chetter IC, Coughlin PA, Hatfield J, McCollum PT. Hull Antibiotic prophylaxis in varicose Vein Surgery Trialists (HARVEST). Randomized clinical trial of co-amoxiclav versus no antibiotic prophylaxis in varicose vein surgery. Br J Surg 2010; 97:29-36. (PMID: 20013927) [CrossRef]
- Stone JF, Davidson JS. The role of antibiotics and timing of repair in flexor tendon injuries of the hand. Ann Plast Surg 1998; 40:7-13. (PMID: 9464688) [CrossRef]
- LeRoy J, Given KS. Wound infection in breast augmentation: the role of prophylactic perioperative antibiotics. Aesthetic Plast Surg 1991; 15:303-305. (PMID: 1950803) [CrossRef]
- Stewart KJ, Stewart DA, Coghlan B, Harrison DH, Jones BM, Waterhouse N. Complications of 278 consecutive abdominoplasties. J Plast Reconstr Aesth Surg 2006; 59:1152-1155. (PMID: 17046623) [CrossRef]
- Rosengren H, Dixon A. Antibacterial prophylaxis in dermatologic surgery: an evidence-based review. Am J Clin Dermatol 2010; 11:35-44. (PMID: 20000873) [CrossRef]
- Landes G, Harris PG, Lemaine V, Perreault I, Sampalis JS, Brutus JP, Lessard L, Dionyssopoulos A, Nikolis A. Prevention of surgical site infection and appropriateness of antibiotic prescribing habits in plastic surgery. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2008; 61:1347-1356. (PMID: 18558522) [CrossRef]

- Olsen MA, Lefta M, Dietz JR, Brandt KE, Aft R, Matthews R, Mayfield J, Fraser VJ. Risk factors for surgical site infection after major breast operation. J Am Coll Surg 2008; 207:326-335. (PMID: 18558522) [CrossRef]
- Dixon AJ, Dixon MP, Dixon JB. Prospective study of skin surgery in patients with and without known diabetes. Dermatol Surg 2009; 35:1035-1040. (PMID: 19438673) [CrossRef]
- Wahie S, Lawrence CM. Wound complications following diagnostic skin biopsies in dermatology inpatients. Arch Dermatol 2007; 143:1267-1271. (PMID: 17938340) [CrossRef]
- Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, Silver LC, Jarvis WR. Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1999; 20:250-278. (PMID: 10219875) [CrossRef]
- Page CP, Bohnen JM, Fletcher JR, McManus AT, Solomkin JS, Wittmann DH. Antimicrobial prophylaxis for surgical wounds: guidelines for clinical care. Arch Surg 1993; 128:79-88. (PMID: 8418785) [CrossRef]
- Anderson DJ, Kaye KS, Classen D, Arias KM, Podgorny K, Burstin H, Calfee DP, Coffin SE, Dubberke ER, Fraser V, Gerding DN, Griffin FA, Gross P, Klompas M, Lo E, Marschall J, Mermel LA, Nicolle L, Pegues DA, Perl TM, Saint S, Salgado CD, Weinstein RA, Wise R, Yokoe DS. Strategies to prevent surgical site infections in acute care hospitals hospitals. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2008; 29 (suppl 1):S51-61. (PMID: 18840089)
- Ahmadi AH, Cohen BE, Shayani P. A prospective study of antibiotic efficacy in preventing infection in reduction mammaplasty. Plast Reconstr Surg 2005; 116: 126-131. (PMID: 15988258) [CrossRef]
- Bertin ML, Crowe J, Gordon SM. Determinants of surgical site infection after breast surgery. Am J Infect Control 1998; 26:61-65. (PMID: 9503114) [CrossRef]

- Rey JE, Gardner SM, Cushing RD. Determinants of surgical site infection after breast biopsy. Am J Infect Control 2005; 33:126-129. (PMID: 15761414) [CrossRef]
- Serletti JM, Davenport MS, Herrera HR, Caldwell EH. Efficacy of prophylactic antibiotics in reduction mammoplasty. Ann Plast Surg 1994; 33:476-480. (PMID: 7857040) [CrossRef]
- Platt R, Zucker JR, Zaleznik DF, Hopkins CC, Dellinger EP, Karchmer AW, Bryan CS, Burke JF, Wikler MA, Marino SK. Perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis and wound infection following breast surgery. J Antimicrob Chemother 1993; 31(suppl B):43-48. (PMID: 8449845) [CrossRef]
- Kompatscher P, von Planta A, Spicher I, Seifert B, Vetter S, Minder J, Beer GM. Comparison of the incidence and predicted risk of early surgical site infections after breast reduction. Aesthetic Plast Surg 2003; 27:308-314. (PMID: 15058556) [CrossRef]
- Bunn F, Cunningham ME, Handscomb K. Prophylactic antibiotics to prevent surgical site infection after breast cancer surgery. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2006; 2:CD005360. (PMID: 22258962)
- Perrotti JA, Castor SA, Perez PC, Zins JE. Antibiotic use in aesthetic surgery: a national survey and literature review. Plast Reconstr Surg 2002; 109:1685-1693. (PMID: 11932619) [CrossRef]
- Edmiston CE, Krepel C, Kelly H, Larson J, Andris D, Hennen C, Nakeeb A, Wallace JR. Perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis in the gastric bypass patient: do we achieve therapeutic levels? Surgery 2004; 136:738-747. (PMID: 15467657) [CrossRef]
- Andrews PJ, East CA, Jayaraj SM, Badia L, Panagamuwa C, Harding L. Prophylactic vs. postoperative antibiotic use in complex septorhinoplasty surgery: a prospective, randomized, single-blind trial comparing efficacy. Arch Facial Plast Surg 2006; 8:84-87. (PMID: 16549733) [CrossRef]