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Evren CABİ ${ }^{1 \boldsymbol{} \neq}$, Robert John SORENG ${ }^{2}$, Lynn Judith GILLESPIE ${ }^{3,4}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Department of Biology, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Namık Kemal University, Tekirdağ, Turkey<br>${ }^{2}$ Department of Botany, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC, USA<br>${ }^{3}$ Research Division, Canadian Museum of Nature, Ottawa, ON, Canada<br>${ }^{4}$ Department of Biology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

Received: 11.11.2016 • Accepted/Published Online: 23.02.2017 • Final Version: 18.07.2017


#### Abstract

Poa jubata A.Kern. is an ephemeral, southeastern European species of which little is known. A molecular phylogenetic analysis of Poa L., including all previously identified major lineages, shows this species to be isolated, with a genotype here designated as $\mathbf{J}$ (plastid) $\mathbf{J}$ (nrDNA). It is assigned to the monotypic P. sect. Jubatae sect. nov. The section is differentiated from other Poa sections in having 5-nerved upper glumes, very narrow palea flanges, an annual habit, and erect solitary culms. Poa jubata occurs along coastal regions of the Balkans and is rather rare. Two new collections were made in 2015 in Thrace, Turkey, in vernal pool habitats with clay soils, thus expanding its known habitat. The species is described in detail and illustrated, and its relationships are discussed.
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## 1. Introduction

Poa L., with about 550 species, is a large genus of grasses. Edmondson (1985) recognized 24 species of Poa in Turkey. He noted one imperfectly known species as P. hackelii Post, and two doubtful species as P. iberica Fisch \& C.A.Mey and $P$. palustris L. He also placed $P$. eigii Feinbrun under $P$. bulbosa L. s.l. In subsequent years, three more Poa species (P. akmanii Soreng, P.Hein \& H.Scholz; P. asiae-minoris H.Scholz \& Byfield; and P. bussmannii H.Scholz) were described from Turkey. In the checklist of the Poaceae of Turkey, Cabi and Doğan (2012) reported 30 species, including P. densa Troitsky, and the three questionably present taxa P. palustris, P. iberica, and P. hackelii. Cabi and Soreng (2016) accepted P. eigii as a separate species occurring in southern Turkey and confirmed $P$. palustris for northeastern Turkey. Cabi et al. (2016) documented $P$. dens $a$ as widespread in Turkey. The present paper is the third in a series of papers relevant to the revision of Poa in Turkey.

Many species of Poa from Africa and southwestern Asia to India, Japan, and New Zealand have not been formally placed in a modern infrageneric classification. The affinities of many of these species are unknown, while others are considered to belong to several informal species groups (Gillespie et al., 2007; Soreng et al., 2009).

Most species of Poa in Turkey have been, or can readily be, placed in sections that were accepted in Fl. Europaea (Edmondson, 1980), in Zlaki SSSR (Tzvelev, 1976), or in their correct equivalents (see Soreng, 1998; Soreng et al., 2009, 2010; Cabi et al., 2016). Poa jubata is the only one of the 44 species native to Europe that Edmondson (1980) left unplaced.

Poa jubata is an uncommon and enigmatic species of southeastern Europe. According to Euro+Med PlantBase (Valdés and Scholz, 2009), P. jubata is confined to Albania, Bulgaria, Croatia, Greece, and the European part of Turkey. The Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF; http://www.gbif.org/) reports seven georeferenced collections, five from Greece (from three independent locations) and two from Turkey (ours), among 20 unique collections from 14 different cities.

The Flora Europaea and Flora of Turkey accounts for the genus Poa (Edmondson, 1980, 1985) consider P. jubata to be a species of sandy, mostly coastal habitats, and to be of uncertain affinity. It has not been included in previous DNA phylogenetic studies. Our two new gatherings in Turkey in 2015 allow us to evaluate its phylogenetic relationships, morphology, and habitat preferences. Here we describe P. jubata in detail, provide illustrations, and place it in a new section of Poa.
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## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Plant material

We studied two populations of Poa jubata from Turkey (Soreng, Kaya \& Kurt 9266; Soreng, Cabi \& Kaya 9029) and additional specimens from Greece (Sterneck 300) and Turkey (A. Baytop, ISTE 31783). Herbarium acronyms follow Thiers (2015+) and authors of plant names follow Brummitt and Powell (1992).

### 2.2. Molecular analysis

Forty-nine samples were included in the molecular analysis: 44 Poa and five outgroup samples (Table). Fortytwo species of Poa, including two samples of $P$. jubata and two subspecies of $P$. trivialis, were chosen to represent all subgenera of Poa (classification following Gillespie et al., 2007; Soreng et al., 2009, 2010, 2015a; Refulio et al., 2012; Peterson and Soreng, 2016). The Poa species included in our study represent selections from all 10 plastid and 11 nrDNA genotype groups previously identified (Soreng et al., 2010), including the x-clade (Gillespie et al., 2009). Milium L. (subtribe Miliinae), Phleum L. (subtribe Phleeae; placed in Poinae s.l. by Soreng et al., 2015b), Arctagrostis Griseb., and Nicoraepoa Soreng \& L.J.Gillespie (subtribe Poinae) were included as outgroups based on recent phylogenetic analyses (Gillespie et al., 2010; Soreng et al., 2015a). Genotype designations are represented by letters, with large capitals for plastid groups and small capitals for nrDNA groups (e.g., YY), as in Soreng et al. (2010), but leaving off the additional leading letters for subgenera that they employed.

Three plastid ( $M a t \mathrm{~K}, r p o \mathrm{~B}-\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{C}$, and $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{T}-\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{L}-\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{F}$ [TLF]) and two nuclear ribosomal (nr) DNA markers (internal transcribed spacer - ITS 1 and 2, and external transcribed spacer - ETS) were sequenced. DNA extraction methods were described by Gillespie et al. (2008). Primers, amplification, and sequencing protocols were described in our previous studies (ITS and TLF, Gillespie et al., 2008; ETS, Gillespie et al., 2009, 2010; MatK and rpoB-trnC, Soreng et al., 2015a). Sequences were assembled and edited using Geneious ver. 6.1.5 (Biomatters Ltd., http:// www.geneious.com), aligned using the MAFFT ver. 7.017 plugin (Katoh and Standley, 2013), and then manually adjusted. The five alignments (ITS, ETS, $M a t \mathrm{~K}, r p o \mathrm{~B}-t r n \mathrm{C}$, and TLF) were concatenated in Geneious.

Maximum parsimony (MP) analyses were performed in PAUP* 4.0 b 10 (Swofford, 2002) on separate and combined alignments using the heuristic search command with default settings, including tree-bisection reconnection (TBR) swapping and saving all multiple shortest trees (Multrees). Strict consensus trees were computed in PAUP ${ }^{\star}$ and viewed in FigTree v1.4.0 (Rambaut, 20062014). Branch support was assessed using MP bootstrap analysis performed in PAUP* with a heuristic search strategy, default settings except Multrees option turned
off, and 10,000 bootstrap replicates. Bootstrap (BS) values of $90 \%-100 \%$ are interpreted as strong support, $70 \%-89 \%$ as moderate, and $50 \%-69 \%$ as weak. Bayesian trees were inspected for conflicting topologies prior to performing analyses on combined alignments. No conflict was detected among the separate Bayesian plastid trees (MatK, $r p o \mathrm{~B}-\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{C}$, and TLF), or between Bayesian ITS and ETS trees, but there was incongruence between plastid and nrDNA trees. Although one node in the plastid analyses collapsed in the parsimony strict consensus tree (SCT), the majority-rule (MR) consensus tree branching pattern matched the Bayesian tree. Both the parsimony SCT and the MR trees were less resolved than the Bayesian tree for ITS plus ETS analyses. As deep branch arrangements differed substantially between plastid and nuclear trees, our final analyses were performed on separate nrDNA and plastid datasets.

Optimal models of molecular evolution for individual markers were determined using the Akaike information criterion (AIC; Akaike, 1974) and the Bayesian information criterion (BIC; Schwarz, 1978), conducted through likelihood searches in jModeltest v2.1.4 with default settings (Darriba et al., 2012). Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo analyses were conducted in MrBayes v3.2.2 (Ronquist et al., 2012) on the combined partitioned nrDNA and plastid datasets. Models were set at GTR $+\Gamma$ for ETS and rpoB-trnC partitions, and at GTR $+\mathrm{I}+\Gamma$ for the ITS, MatK, and TLF partitions, based on the above AIC and BIC scores. For each dataset two independent runs of four-chained searches were performed for 1.5 (plastid) or 2 million generations (nrDNA), sampling every 500 generations, with default parameters. A $25 \%$ burn-in was implemented prior to summarizing a maximum clade credibility tree and calculating Bayesian posterior probabilities (pp).

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Plastid phylogenetic analyses

The plastid data matrix has 4260 characters, of which 313 are variable and parsimony-informative. The MP analysis generated 149 trees of length 471, consistency index (CI) 0.75 , retention index (RI) 0.92 , and rescaled consistency index (RC) 0.69. Figure 1 presents the Bayesian analysis of the plastid data with pp and MP bootstrap statistics, and branches detected in the MP strict consensus tree are in bold.

Poa has good support (BS 94, pp 1). Of the 11 major genotype lineages identified in Figure 1, $\mathbf{N}$ has moderate support (BS 76, pp 1), whereas the other 10 have strong support (BS 94-100, pp 1). The following collective clades of genotypes have strong support (BS 99-100, pp 1.): R, M, and $\mathbf{A}$, with $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ as sister to $\mathbf{A} ; \mathbf{P}$ as sister to $\mathbf{H}$; and $\mathbf{N}$ as sister to $\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{V}$, and $\mathbf{S}$. E is strongly supported as sister
Table. Collections of Poa and outgroup taxa used in the phylogenetic analysis, with section or higher group if no section, country of origin, voucher information, and GenBank accession numbers for the five markers sequenced (nrDNA ITS and ETS; plastid $\operatorname{trnT}-\operatorname{trnL}-\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{F}$ (TLF), MatK, and $r p o \mathrm{~B}-\operatorname{trnC}$ ).

| Taxon | Section (unless otherwise stated) | Country of origin | Voucher | ITS | ETS | TLF | MatK | $r p o \mathrm{~B}-\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arctagrostis latifolia (R.Br.) Griseb. |  | Canada, Nunavut | Gillespie et al. 6586 CAN | EU792351 | GQ324245 | DQ353969 | KM523924 | KM523954 |
| Milium effusum L . |  | Sweden | Soreng 7771 US | KM523785 | KM523711 | KM524072 | KM523870 | KM523983 |
| Nicoraepoa andina (Trin.) Soreng \& L.J.Gillespie |  | Chile | Soreng \& Soreng 7182 US | EU792354 | GQ324275 | DQ353971 | KM523874 | KM523987 |
| Phleum montanum K.Koch |  | Turkey | Gillespie et al. 10614-2 CAN | KM523793 | KM523720 | KM524081 | KM523883 | KM523996 |
| Ph. pratense L. |  | Russia | Soreng 7943 US | KM523796 | KM523723 | KM524084 | KM523886 | KM523999 |
| Poa alpina L. | Alpinae | USA, Colorado | Gillespie 6299 CAN | GQ324483 | GQ324287 | DQ353985.2 | KM523888 | KM524001 |
| P. arctica R.Br. subsp. arctica | Malacanthae | Canada, Nunavut | Gillespie \& Aiken 5701 CAN | GQ324487 | GQ324291 | DQ354009 | KY378860 | KY378826 |
| P. autumnalis Elliott | Sylvestres | USA, Maryland | Soreng 4680 US | EU792379 | GQ324294 | DQ353979 | KM523889 | KM524002 |
| P. badensis Haenke ex Willd. | Alpinae | Bulgaria | Hajkova et al. 2004-12 US | GQ324490 | GQ324295 | GQ324402 | KY378861 | KY378827 |
| P. bucharica Roshev. | Macropoa | Kyrgyz Republic | Soreng et al. 7662 US | KX118735 | KX118717 | KX118752 | KY378862 | KY378828 |
| P. bulbosa L. | Bolbophorum | Spain | Catalan 13-2000 UZ | EU792388 | GQ324297 | DQ354034, DQ354035 | KY378863 | KY378829 |
| P. calycina (J.Presl) Kunth | Supersect. Homalopoa | Peru | Peterson et al. 17923 US | EU792425 | KU763395 | EU792467 | KY378864 | KY378830 |
| P. chaixii Vill. | Homalopoa s.s. | Russia | Soreng 4677 US | EU792404 | GQ324299 | EU854590 | KM523890 | KM524003 |
| P. compressa L. | Tichopoa | Canada, Quebec | Gillespie 6457 CAN | EU792395 | KY378818 | DQ354003 | KY378865 | KY378831 |
| P. cookii (Hook.f.) Hook.f. | Paradiochloa | Subantarctic islands, Crozet Islands | Hennion Genl P | EU792383 | GQ324306 | EU792454 | KY378866 | KY378832 |
| P. curtifolia Scribn. | Secundae | USA, Washington | Soreng \& Soreng 6347c-1 US | EU792394 | KY378819 | DQ353994 | KY378867 | KY378833 |
| P. diaphora Trin. | subg. Pseudopoa | Turkey | Soreng \& Güney 4165 US | EU792400 | GQ324311 | DQ353988 | KY378868 | KY378834 |
| P. dolosa Boiss. \& Heldr. | Oreinos | Greece | Soreng et al. 7495-1 US | GQ324502 | GQ324312 | GQ324414 | KM523891 | KM524004 |
| P. fendleriana (Steud.) Vasey | Madropoa | USA, Colorado | Gillespie 6292 CAN | EU792403 | GQ324319 | DQ354027 | KY378869 | KY378835 |
| P. flabellata (Lam.) Raspail | Paradiochloa | South Georgia Islands | Wright 9NSG | EU792381 | GQ324321 | EU792453 | KM523892 | KM524005 |
| P. glauca Vahl | Stenopoa | Canada, Nunavut | Gillespie 5804 CAN | AY237839 | GQ324324 | GQ324421 | KY378870 | KY378836 |
| P. infirma Kunth | Micrantherae | Spain | Catalan 3-2000 UZ | GQ324516 | GQ324334 | GQ324427 | KY378871 | KY378837 |
| P. irkutica Roshev. | Poa subsect. Nivicolae | Russia, Irkutsk | Kasanovskiy 2002-7 CAN | EU792402 | GQ324335 | DQ354007 | KY378872 | KY378838 |
| P. jubata A.Kern. | Jubatae | Turkey | Soreng et al. 9029-2 US | KY378810 | KY378820 | KY378814 | KY378873 | KY378839 |

Table．（Continued）．

| E | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ̃} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \text { g్ర } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ $\stackrel{n}{n}$ $\sum_{i}^{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { 炭 } \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { on } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathbf{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\tilde{u}} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ $\underset{\sim}{n}$ $\sum_{i}^{n}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\mathbf{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 等 } \\ & \text { む } \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\mathbf{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { on } \\ & \text { N} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { f } \\ & \text { 第 } \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { 合 } \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { 筑 } \\ & \text { 亿 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { N} \\ & \text { ̃} \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ | N N N St |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{1}{5} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { si } \\ & \text { I } \\ & \text { on } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s } \\ & \text { N} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 空 } \\ & \text { 荅 } \end{aligned}$ | 茲 | 誊 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{8}{x} \\ & \frac{\pi}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{y}}{3}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{3} \frac{3}{3} \\ & \frac{3}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { en } \\ & \text { 总 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㐍 } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { 岂 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey } \\ & \frac{\ddot{y y}}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{シ} \\ & \text { むั } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\text { d }}{\stackrel{y}{3}}$ | 沯 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mü } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#\#\# } \\ & \text { 気 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ğ } \\ & \text { 荡 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# } \\ & \frac{\pi}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  | 发 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g. } \\ & \text { 范 } \\ & \text { है } \end{aligned}$ |  | 苭 |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 若 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 芑 |  |  |  |  |  |  | vวuqqu！dsqns Aวчsoy wourqus d |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 哥 } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { g } \\ & \text { \# } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Figure 1. Bayesian maximum clade credibility tree of Poa and outgroups based on plastid MatK, rpoB-trnC, and TLF sequences. Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown above branches, maximum parsimony bootstrap values below branches; branches detected in the MP strict consensus tree are in bold.
to the collective clade of $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{P}$, and $\mathbf{H}$ (BS 99, pp 1), and this larger clade is strongly supported as sister to the
clade including $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{M}$, and $\mathbf{A}$ (BS 97, pp 1). Y is moderately supported as the sister to all other Poa clades (BS 86, pp 1).

Poa jubata (BS 100, pp 1) appears in a strongly supported clade (BS 99, pp 1). The $\mathbf{J}$ clade is moderately (BS 79) to weakly (pp 0.57) supported as sister to the clade of $\mathbf{V}$ ( $P$. trivialis) plus $\mathbf{S}$. This clade, comprising the $\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{V}$, and $\mathbf{S}$ lineages, collapses in a polytomy in the strict consensus tree (SCT).

## 3.2. nrDNA phylogenetic analyses

The nuclear data matrix (ETS plus ITS) has 1252 characters, of which 305 are variable and parsimony-informative. The MP analysis generated 59,401 trees of length 748 , CI 0.56 , RI 0.80 , and RC 0.45 .

Figure 2 presents the Bayesian analysis of the nrDNA data with pp and MP bootstrap statistics, and branches detected in the MP strict consensus tree are in bold. Poa has moderate support (BS 76, pp 1). Of the 12 major genotype lineages identified in Figure 2, most have strong support (BS 94, pp 1), and $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ are not resolved as independent. $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ lineages are joined with strong support (BS 92, pp 1), but the taxa are intermingled, and the resolved subsets, with one exception, are not, or only weakly to moderately, supported ( $\mathrm{BS}<50-74$, pp $0-1$ ). Poa arctica R.Br., which has a $\mathbf{P}$ plastid genotype (sister to $P$. pratensis), but which represents the $\mathbf{X}$-clade here, is moderately supported as sister to the $\mathbf{N}$ clade (BS 83, pp 0.99). The $\mathbf{X}$-clade does not appear in the plastid tree. The relationships among the major clades are mostly not supported or poorly to moderately supported. $\mathbf{M}$ is sister to $\mathbf{Y}(\mathrm{BS}<50, \mathrm{pp} .58)$, but this clade collapses in the SCT. $\mathbf{E}$ has strong support as sister to the set of $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{X}$, and $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{H}$ (BS 89, pp 1), and the latter set has moderate support (BS 84, pp 0.99).

Poa jubata (J, BS 100, pp 1) has strong support as part of the clade including the $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{V}$, and $\mathbf{A}$ genotypes (BS 85, pp 1). $\mathbf{V}$ is moderately supported as sister to $\mathbf{A}$ (BS 74, pp 0.91 ), but the relationship of $\mathbf{J}$, as sister to $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{V}$, and $\mathbf{A}(\mathrm{BS}<$ 50, pp 0.89), collapses in the SCT. The clade of J, S, V, and $\mathbf{A}$ is sister to $\mathbf{R}$ with moderate support (BS 67, pp 0.97).

## 4. Discussion

Soreng et al. (2010) presented a simple scheme for naming ten consistently detected well-supported clades resolved within Poa in molecular phylogenetic studies (e.g., Gillespie et al., 2007, 2009). These clades often have minimal internal variation among the species and among the sections applied to the species within those clades. They employed a capital letter for the plastid genotype and a small capital letter for the nrDNA genotype (e.g., Yy for the clade including Poa sect. Sylvestres V.L.Marsh ex Soreng). The rationale for this scheme was to get away from having to list all sections within these clades, especially as the sections were frequently found to be polyphyletic, and authors differed in their circumscriptions of them. The practicality and simplicity
of the system is noted, particularly for indicating hybrids (e.g., SH, for Poa abbreviata R.Br., a stable hybrid arising from a cross of parents from $P$. sect. Abbreviatae Nannf. ex Tzvelev Ss and sect. Madropoa Soreng Hh; superscript numbers were used for hybrids within lettered lineages). The system was also adopted by Nosov et al. (2015) for characterizing Poa species and hybrids in Russia. Soreng et al. (2010) sometimes used an additional leading letter to identify a collective larger clade ( $\mathbf{O M}, \mathbf{O A}$, in the $\mathbf{O}$ clade; SV and SS, in the larger $\mathbf{S}$ clade, etc.). However, the larger clade assemblages, while consistent among studies in the plastid data, have varied among nrDNA studies, especially once ETS nrDNA sequence data are introduced. Here we use only single (the second) letter codes for consistently resolved clades (e.g., $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V}$, and $\mathbf{S}$ ).

Our phylogenetic analysis resolved Poa jubata as an isolated lineage on a long branch within Poa that we here designate as JJ. This lineage appears to be allied to the Vv ( $P$. trivialis) and Ss lineages, and may be sister to these, but it is not clear beyond that what its affinities are, especially as the arrangement of the set of $\mathbf{V v}, \mathbf{S s}$, and $\mathbf{J} \mathbf{J}$ clades is not stable between plastid and nrDNA analyses, and support values are poor to moderate in the nrDNA trees.

Poa jubata is unique morphologically and molecularly among species of the genus in Europe and around the world. We therefore describe a new section of the genus to accommodate it. There are about 30 annual species in Poa, most having HH (8), HX (6), EE (5), MM (3), RR (1), and JJ (1) genotypes, and six are not yet characterized (RJS and LJG, unpublished data). No annual Poa other than P. jubata have solitary culms (some rhizomatous species have isolated flowering shoots), or a palea with appressed flanges. A 5-nerved upper glume is absent among the annuals and rare in Poa [3 (<15 spp. sometimes 5, or 7)-veined]. Breeding systems vary among the annuals. Most are perfect-flowered, although monoecism occurs in all perennial and annual species of $P$. sect. Micrantherae $\operatorname{Stapf}(\mathbf{M M})$ and a few other annual species; P. chapmaniana Scribn. (RR) has one tiny anther [0.1-0.2 (0.3) mm] per flower rather than the normal complement of three mostly larger ones.

### 4.1. Taxonomy

Poa sect. Jubatae Cabi, L.J. Gillespie \& Soreng, sect. nov. Type: Poa jubata A.Kern.

### 4.2. Diagnosis

Differing from other sections of Poa by the annual habit with mostly solitary erect culms, upper glume 5-nerved, lemma with broadly scarious upper margins with cell-lines distinctly arched outward, palea flanges absent or very narrow and appressed.

### 4.3. Description

Annuals, culms erect, solitary or few together, slender; vegetative branching intravaginal. Upper culm sheaths


Figure 2. Bayesian maximum clade credibility tree of Poa and outgroups based on nuclear ribosomal ITS and ETS sequences. Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown above branches, maximum parsimony bootstrap values below branches; branches detected in the MP strict consensus tree are in bold.
closed 25-35 (50) percent their length, lightly scabrous; ligules 2-4 mm long. Panicles 2-7 cm long, open; branches 1-3 per node, fairly strict, spreading to patent, moderately scabrous, weakly angled, with spikelets clustered in the distal halves. Spikelets broadly ovoid, laterally compressed, upper glume 5-nerved; lemmas 5-nerved, densely silky
pubescent on the keel and marginal veins, and sometimes on the intermediate veins; callus with a well-developed dorsal tuft of long, silky, tangled hairs; palea keels finely scabrous, sometimes a bit silky haired medially, lateral flanges absent or very narrow and appressed. Flowers perfect; anthers $0.4-0.8(-1) \mathrm{mm}$ long.

Poa jubata A.Kern., Oesterr. Bot. Z. 23: 6. 1873. Type specimen: Dalmatia, in sylvis et sub dumetis in Zuppas pr. Cattaro [Montenegro; Kotor], May 1872, T. Pilcher [s.n.]. Holotype: WU 0061933 (image seen); IT: WU 0061932. (image seen). Figures 3 and 4.
=Poa grimbergii Hack., Oesterr. Bot. Z. 48: 12. 1898. Type specimen: In arenosis submarinis prope ostium fluminis Potamos Corcyrae, 12 Apr 1897, K. Grimberg [s.n.]. Holotype: W 1916-0014329 (image seen); isotype: US fragm. ex W!.

### 4.4. Description

Hermaphroditic. Annuals; without rhizomes or stooling branches, tufted; tufts tiny, slender, violaceous; unbranched or with branching intravaginal. Culms 12-45 cm tall, erect (or weakly geniculate at base), solitary or few together, slender (to 1 mm diam.), terete, smooth, glabrous, with 1-2 nodes exerted. Leaf sheaths keeled, weakly compressed, smooth or sparsely to moderately retrorsely scabrous, glabrous, bases of basal sheaths glabrous; flag-leaf sheaths $4-8.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, margins fused


Figure 3. P. jubata: (a) habit, (b) inflorescence, (c) ligule, and (d) lemma.


Figure 4. P. jubata photo taken at the Kırklareli locality.

25-35(-50) percent the length, much longer than the blade, not inflated; throats and collars smooth, glabrous; ligules $2-4(-5) \mathrm{mm}$ long, abaxially smooth, glabrous, milkywhite, narrowly decurrent, apices acute, sometimes erose; sterile shoots absent; culm blades $0.3-4 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, $0.6-1$ mm wide (expanded), folded, moderately thin, withering in age, abaxially smooth, adaxially smooth or sparsely scabrous, margins sparsely to moderately scabrous, apices abruptly prow-tipped, flag-leaf blades sub-erect. Panicles 2-7 cm long, ellipsoid, rhomboid, or pyramidal, erect or slightly lax, open, sparse, with 6-40 spikelets; axis with $1-2(-3)$ branches per node; primary branches, ascending to spreading, spreading to patent (at and after flowering), weakly angled, sparsely to moderately scabrous mainly over the weak angles, longest branches $1-4 \mathrm{~cm}$, with 3-7 spikelets clustered in 2 s and 3 s near branch tips; pedicels $0.5-1 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, mostly less than $1 / 4$ as long as the spikelet. Spikelets $3.5-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, broadly ovate, laterally compressed, slightly plump; not bulbiferous, green or violaceous, with 3-6(-11) florets; rachilla internodes short (ca 0.4 mm long), terete, smooth or sparsely muriculate; glumes, lanceolate to broadly ovate, subequal to equal, slightly shorter than adjacent lemmas, distinctly veined, distinctly keeled, slightly thinner than lemmas, keel
smooth or apically slightly scabrous, surfaces smooth or obscurely sparsely papillate, margins broadly hyaline, edges smooth; lower glumes ca $2.5-3.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, 3 -veined, lanceolate to broadly ovate; upper glumes $2.5-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, 5 -veined, broadly ovate; calluses webbed, hairs sparse or denser, elongated, more or less plicate; lemmas 2.7-3.5 mm long, obscurely 5 -veined, obovate to subflabellate, green or violaceous, distinctly keeled, keels and marginal veins densely villous on lower $3 / 5$, hairs often over 0.5 mm long, slender, blunt-tipped, between veins smooth, glabrous, intermediate veins glabrous (infrequently sparsely sericate), intermediate veins moderately distinct, running to the hyaline zone, margins and edges smooth, broadly scarious with distal cell-lines slightly curved outward as in Briza, apices obtuse, blunt, broadly hyaline; palea keels long scabrous along at least $1 / 2$ the length or sericate medially, intercostal zone broad, white, scarious, smooth, glabrous, flanges absent or very narrow, appressed. Flowers bisexual; lodicules 0.5 mm long, lanceolate, unlobed, glabrous; anthers $0.4-0.8(-1) \mathrm{mm}$ long; styles slender lanceolate, sparsely plumose. Caryopses 1.7 mm long, ovate, subtrigonous, hilum 0.3 mm long, elliptical, sulcus broad and shallow, loosely adherent to the floret.

### 4.5. Habitat

Flora Europaea indicates the habitat as sandy places near the coast (Edmondson, 1980). A newly discovered population in Turkey from near Enez (Edirne) and a rediscovered population in Kırklareli, which are ca. 200 km apart, suggest that the species may be found on clay soils of vernal swales in two differing habitats (Figures 5a and 5b).

The Enez population was collected in late flower and seed ( 10 May 2015), within ca. 0.5 km of the Aegean Sea coast, at about 11 m elevation, in a swale, in an open thicket of Pyrus elaeagnifolia Pall., in deep, black, loamy, shrinkswell clay, with a species-rich, dense thatch of annual and perennial herbs and graminoids, including Ranunculus sp., Knautia sp. (or Scabiosa?), Trifolium resupinatum L., Alopecurus rendlei Eig, Juncus sp., Carex sp., Poa bulbosa L. var. vivipara Koeler, P. trivialis, and P. pratensis (Figure 5a). This population was included in the class Quercetea pubescentis (Oberd., 1948) Doing Kraft (1955) and its order Querco-Carpinetalia (Quezel, Barbero \& Akman 1980). In northwestern Anatolia, the Quercus pubescens Willd. scrubs were exploited by overgrazing and now these form very loose communities in patches. The species composition of this new P. jubata site can be recognized as part of the Quercus pubescens-Pyrus elaeagnifolia association. Main threats for the Enez population are selection cuttings by the local people, replacement by forest plantations with species not typical for the area, intensive grazing, general aridification of the climate, and construction of roads and buildings.


Figure 5. Habitat of P. jubata populations in (a) Enez (Edirne) and (b) Kırklareli locations (photos taken by RJ Soreng).

The Kırklareli population was collected in early inflorescence emergence (19 April 2015), ca. 50 km inland from the Black Sea, ca. 100 km N of the Marmara Sea, at ca. 190 m elevation, in a swale, in a Paliurus spina-christi Mill. thicket, in shallow wet depressions on a nearly level, low bedrock rise, in thin gray-brown clay soil, within a somewhat sparse, mossy, herb, and graminoid meadow including Cerastium sp., Muscari sp., Thinopyrum intermedium (Host) Barkworth \& D.R.Dewey, Poa annua L., Piptatherum miliaceum (L.) Coss., and Aira caryophyllea L. (Figure 5b). At both of these collection sites the species was locally common, suggesting these are primary habitats. Searches of sandy places near the coast and elsewhere in the Thrace region have not turned up any $P$. jubata populations, but new searches in the above habitats are likely to yield new localities. Paliurus spina-
christi grows on calcareous-clay soils and occurs from coastal areas up into the hills (to about 500 m a.s.l.), where it grows along sunny slopes.

### 4.6. Suggested conservational status

The status of Poa jubata is reevaluated here according to the IUCN (2016) criteria at the national level. It was previously considered Endangered (EN) in the Red List of Turkish Plants (Ekim et al., 2000) because it was known from one collection site and had not been reported since. After discovering a new site for the species, only two precise subpopulations are known in the northwestern part of the country. Given its actual restricted distribution and the drastic potential decline in the number of subpopulations, as well as the extent and quality of habitat, $P$. jubata is evaluated here as Critically Endangered under Blab(i,ii,iii,iv)+ $2 \mathrm{ab}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{ii}, \mathrm{iii}, \mathrm{iv})$. The above-mentioned
subpopulations and their environs were covered once by forest and maquis that have been completely cleared by human activities, such as road construction, establishment of new settlement areas, and overgrazing.

### 4.7. Distribution

Bulgaria, Croatia, Greece, Montenegro, Turkey.
Specimens examined: GREECE: Topotypes of $P$. grimbergii Hack., Sterneck 300 (US) and Kérkyra, 9 May 2000, W.Gutermann. et al. 34620 (W 2006-0015229; image seen); Aitolía kai Akarnanía, 10 May 2013, R.Willing \& E. Willing 235042 (B 10 0581970); Fokídos, 9 May 2013, R.Willing \& E.Willing 234561 (B 10 0581968). TURKEY: Trakya, Edirne, S of Enez, north side of Gaziömerbey, $40.68523^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 26.06508^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 11 \mathrm{~m}, 10$ May 2015, R.J.Soreng, M.Kaya \& E.Kurt 9266 (US); Kırklareli, 12 km east of Kırklareli on road to Demirköy, just west of Üsküpdere, $41.591944^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 27.358056^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 190 \mathrm{~m}, 19$ Apr 2015, R.J.Soreng, E.Cabi \& M.Kaya 9029 (US); 14 km north of Kırklareli on road to Dereköy, A.Baytop (ISTE 31783).

The location given on the label of the A. Baytop collection as 14 km north of Kırklareli toward Dereköy may be incorrect. That general vicinity was searched by us on several occasions and the plant was not found. Baytop would have followed the old road north from Kırklareli but the routes are overlapping or adjacent at this distance. However, we found the species quickly when we searched east of Kırklareli on the road to Demirköy at approximately the specified distance.
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