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#### Abstract

An efficient five steps, the protection-deprotection synthetic a novel synthetic routes to $( \pm$ ) noruleine ( $\pm$ )-uleine, are reported starting from tetrahydrocarbazole fused monoalkyl nitrile at C-2 position that is prepared on multigram scale from 2-(3-ethyl-1-oxo-2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1H-carbazol-2-yl)acetonitrile (1) as well as the key azocino [4,3-b] indole skeleton is constructed via the tetrafluoro-1,4-benzoquinone (TFB)-mediated cyclization of a tetrahydrocarbazole derivative possessing direct amide synthesis from nitrile. As a result, Total synthesis of noruleine and uleine has been developed, which is accomplished in 4 and 5 - steps synthesis of the ABCD tetracyclic of the strychnos alkaloids with an overall yield of $44 \%$ and $39 \%$, respectively. The DFT computations were performed with B3LYP/6-311g(d,p) level to determine inter and intramolecular interactions and reactivity features of the compound 3-6. Also, TD-DFT computations were performed to characterize the electronic absorption spectra of all compounds. Last, the interactions of compounds 3-6 with selected targets AChE, BuChE, and HSA were evaluated in light of the molecular dockings. The bioactivity and drug-likeness scores revealed that compound 6 3-6 can be proper candidate for future drug-design studies more than the other compounds.


## 1. Introduction

Uleine-type alkaloid represents a class of the subgroup strychnos alkaloids, and its congeners are defined by the being of the
methanoazocino[4,3-b]indole carriage an ethyl group at the bridged carbon atom (Scheme 1). Their core structure is also present in some apidospermatan and uleine-type indole alkaloids, including uleine, dasycarpidone, and tubifolidine [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].
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Scheme 1. Structure of uleine-type alkaloids.

Uleine was first isolated from a methanol extract of the rootbarkof Aspidosperma uleine Mgf [6, 7, 8]. After the isolation of uleine, Considerable efforts have been devoted to its total synthesis of these structures reported a variety of approaches continuing for a long time [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, $9,10,11]$. The tetracyclic ring system is believed to be primarily responsible for their drug therapy in Alzheimer's disease, acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activity of uleine, and antimalarial $[12,13,14,15,16$, 17]. Furthermore, the methanoazocino[4,3-b]indole ring system (ABCD) rings represents an important scaffold in pharmaceutical chemistry, especially for those strychnos-type alkaloids with AIDS [18], anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects [19]. Developing a new method for these structures and bringing them to the literature The development of efficient strategies. To meet such new strategies, we have investigated an approach involving a new approach and a key cyclization reaction tetrafluoro-1,4-benzoquinone (TFB) with a new reagent containing a tetracyclic structure. Uleine and noruleine are a member of strychnos alkaloids including the tetracyclic ring system which are based on the construction of a 1,5-methanoazocino[4,3-b]indole skeleton [20, 21, 22, 24, 24] and the presence of the 1,5-methanoazocino[4,3-b]indole framework within other similar alkaloids has also prompted the more general development of approaches to this framework [25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30]. we have previously completed the synthesis of these structures with different reagents for D-rings from the tetrahydocarbazole different
substituted groups via an acid catalyst, the DDQ (2,3-Dichloro-5, 6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone)-mediated ring closure, intramolecular aldol cyclization, and tetrachloro-1,4-benzoquinone (TCB) as reported previously [31, 32, 33, 34]. Inspired by the results of our work, we used different strategies and developed a new method, that involved direct monoalkylation of tetrahydrocarbazole, that involved a synthesis of D-ring by a different method.

Alternatively, 2-(3-ethyl-1-oxo-2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1H-carbazol-2-yl) acetonitrile (1) allows direct synthetic route to the amide (2) [35, 36]. Thus the amide was obtained in one step from the nitrile, due to its simplicity and only in a one-step, this synthesis of the amide improves those previously reported [37]. Such a strategy has never been applied in the synthesis of noruleine and uleine. Firstly, treatment of the keto-amide provided the corresponding alcohol which was subsequently converted into the methylidene-amide (4). Finally, the conversion of noruleine (5) into uleine (6) proved a straightforward matter and simply involved.

This study has two advantages: 1) we directly synthesized the monoalkyl nitrile of the C-2 position of tetrahydrocarbazole and 2) Synthesis of amide directly from nitrile by selecting suitable reagents. Therefore, we planned synthesis of the 1,5-methanoazocino[4,3-b]indole (3) compound 3 without the dithiolane protecting group starting from 2-(3-ethyl-1-oxo-2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1H-carbazol-2-yl)acetonitrile 1 (Scheme 2). The effective structure of the starting cyclization substrates is critical for


Scheme 2. Synthetic pathway to ( $\pm$ )noruleine and ( $\pm$ )uleine. a. TFA- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 91 \%$; b. TFB (Tetrafluoro-1,4-benzoquinone), $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 83 \%$; $\mathbf{c}$. MeLi solution in THF, TFA, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 65 \%$; d. tris(triphenylphophine)rhodium(1) carbonyl hydride, diphenylsilane, r.t., $93 \%$; e. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ (aq), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, r.t., $\% 88$.
the achievement of our synthetic plan. For this purpose, this method could be beneficial to the similar synthesis of uleine-type alkaloids, Besides, it is remarkable that we can prepare tetracyclic 1,5 -meth-anoazocino[4,3-b] indole 3 to produce in two steps and $74 \%$ overall yield. Significantly, our approach does not require protection and deprotection steps in two steps. Transformation of 2-(3-ethyl-1-oxo-2,3,4,9-tetrahy-dro-1H-carbazol-2-yl)acetonitrile 1 into 2-(2-(aminooxy)-2-oxoethyl)-3-ethyl-2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1H-carbazol-1-one 2 took place TFA- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in high yield $91 \%$ at room temperature followed by treatment of TFB led to the generation of the azocino[4,3-b]indole skeleton 3 [38, 39]. Treatment of the methanoazocino[4,3-b]indole $\mathbf{3}$ with MeLi solution in THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ provided the corresponding alcohol which was subsequently converted into 12 -ethyl-6-methyliden-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexahydro-1,5-methanoazocino [4,3-b] indole-3-one (4),n $73 \%$ yield by adding trifluoroacetic acid [40]. Reduction of 4 with tris(triphenylphosphine)rhodium(I) carbonyl hydride to ( $\pm$ )-noruleine followed by treatment $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}$ in acetonitrile led to ( $\pm$ )-uleine. The conversion of noruleine (5) into uleine (6) proved straightforward matter and simply involved [41, 42, 43]. The work reported here, when considered in conjunction with our previous studies [44, 45, 46], serves to emphasize the considerable utility of both alkylations of tetrahydrocarbazoles (1) and certain cyclization processes, especially when these are applied together within a given synthetic sequence. This kind of method reported has never been applied in the synthesis of uleine-type alkaloids and strychnos alkaloids and this synthetic methodology will endure inherent virtues with the accomplishment of our new synthetic method such as with shorter reaction steps and percent efficiency advantage but also a new synthetic pathway routes to azocino[4,3-b] indole (3).

Alzheimer's Disease (AD) which is possibly associated with a deficiency in cholinergic transmission, is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder that affects the central nervous system [47, 48]. A restricted number of FDA-approved drugs are available for the treatment of AD, which often influences old people and is the most common form of dementia [49]. Inhibition of a certain enzyme is a frequently used strategy in controlling and improving the clinical status of a disease. Managing the amount of Acetylcholine (ACh) which is a neurotransmitter in cholinergic synapses, by using Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitors is one of the most important therapeutic strategies in the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases [50]. Some drugs currently used in AD treatment (galantamine, donepezil, tacrine, rivastigmine) are AChE inhibitors [51]. Also, when the AChE level is reduced in acute patients, Butyrylcholinesterase (BuChE) could compensate for the AChE to maintain the normal cholinergic pathways [52]. Therefore, dual inhibition of AChE and BuChE is beneficial for the patients.

Nowadays, computational methods have been successfully applied to different kinds of molecular systems because of the importance of understanding the main reasons underlying their observable physical properties of them. Especially, computational tools have been used for looking for the possible power of bio-important molecules as well as the electronic and structural properties [53, 54, 55]. Recently, the azo shiff base molecules like as 2-(((5-mercapto-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl)imino)-me-thyl)-4-(p-tolyldiazenyl)phenol [56] and 4-((4-hydroxy-3-((pyr-idine-2-ylimino)methyl)phenyl)diazenyl)benzonitrile [57] were tested on the carbon steel surface to explore the organic corrosion inhibition potency, and the results were supported by quantum chemical computations. Also, the triazole derivatives [58] were studied to explore their possible potency for selective naked-eye sensors for acetate anion, and UV-Vis characteristics were enlighted by TD-DFT computations. In recent work, the possible usage in non-linear optical-dependent technology of triazole derivatives was investigated by computational tools in addition to the electronic and spectroscopic properties [59].

In the present age, organic-based molecules have attracted more and more attention and found application areas, especially in material design, due to their eco-friendliness, in terms of economic and financial management, and the use of natural resources sparingly. For this reason, we aimed to find a short and effective way to synthesize the uleine and
noruleine and to explore their possible reactivity features to provide the necessary information in material design. After synthesis and characterization of the compounds studied, DFT-based computations to determine the activity behaviors, and molecular dockings against AChE, BuChE, and HSA were evaluated to explore the alternative molecules being important in bio-medicinal chemistry.

## 2. Experimental and computational methods

### 2.1. Material and measurement

${ }^{1}$ NMR spectra were recorded on 400 MHz a Bruker instrument DPX400 MHz High-Performance Digital FT-NMR spectrometer using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and DMSO- $d_{6}$ with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the international Standard at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra recorded on 100 MHz instruments. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) and the coupling constants are given in Hz. IR spectra were obtained as KBr pellets using a Matson 1000 FT-IR spectrometer. Melting points were determined in a capillary tube on a Gallenkamp apparatus and are uncorrected. Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (silica gel 60 F254). All solvents were used after purification according to international standards.

### 2.2. Synthesis of the reagents, and products

## (3-ethyl-1-oxo-2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1H-carbazol-2-yl)acetamide

(2). A stirred solution of nitrile $1(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 19.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 30 mL of TFA $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(8: 2, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ and then the mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h under a nitrogen atmosphere and then cooled to rt and the mixture was poured into ice-cold water. The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layer separated and was extracted with $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$. The organic layer was separated and concentrated to give a residue which was purified by column chromatography ( $n$-hexane-EtOAc, $4: 1$ ) to give an oil, and then the organic layer dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to give a residue which was then recrystallization from diethyl ether to produce compound $2(4.9 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%), \mathrm{mp} 184-186{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.71$ ( $n$-hexane). IR spectrum ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \nu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3423, 3151, 2970, 2924, 2830, 1693, 1617, 1549, 1410, 1341, 1280, 1132, 1071, 927, 744. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, $\delta$, ppm, $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{Hz}): 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.14-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.4(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.2,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.2,4.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.1,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $16.3,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{td}, J=5.8,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $5.7,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{td}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{td}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 11.44 (br s, 1 H , NH-indole). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): 11.7, 22.9, 25.6, 31.7, 42.8, 48.1, 110.4, 117.5, 122.5, 124.3, 124.9, 127.1, 132.2, 137.6, 174.2, 191.8.

Found, \%: C, 67.21; H, 6.42; N, 9.84. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. Calcd, \%: C, 67.12; H, 6.34; N, 9.78 .

12-ethyl-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexahydro-1,5-methanoazocino
[4,3-b]
indole-3,6-dione (3). A stirred solution of the amide $2(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 13.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 50 mL of THF. After being stirred for 3 h at rt under nitrogen atmosphere and then the reaction mixture was treated with TFB (Tetrafluoro-1,4-benzoquinone) ( $3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 20.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in one portion. After being stirred for 6 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was quenched with 5 ml of $\% 10 \mathrm{NaOH}$ and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under pressure. The oil obtained, which was then purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc), to give a residue was then recrystallized from diethyl ether to produce compound 3 ( $2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ ), mp $251^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.73$ (EtOAc). IR spectrum ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3241, 3073, 2961, 2917, 2850, 1664, 1611, 1578, 1530, 1429, 1351, $1325,1242,1236,1155,1132,980,618 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$, $\mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{Hz}): 0.964(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 9.51 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$-indole). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): 11.3,
23.5, 35.6, 44.1, 45.3, 47.9, 112.3, 121.2, 121.8, 124.6, 127.4, 128.3, 128.8, 138.5, 172.2, 191.4.

Found, \%: C, 71.72; H, 6.01; N, 10.37. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. Calcd, \%: C, 71.62; H, 6.01; N, 10.44.

12-Ethyl-6-methyliden-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexahydro-1,5-methanoazocino [4,3-b]indole-3-one (4).

A solution of methylithium ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.0 \mathrm{M}$ MeLi solution in THF) maintained at cooled temperature was treated with a solution of compound $3(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 30 mL of anhydrous THF under a nitrogen atmosphere at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ensuing solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then cooled to rt for 1 h , and then treated with $30 \mathrm{~mL} 10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution and extracted chloroform, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under pressure, and then the resulting mixture of solution in 40 mL dichloromethane was treated with 10 mL of trifluoroacetic acid. After being stirred for 6 h at rt , the reaction mixture was quenched with 30 mL of $10 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under pressure to give a residue which was then purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, and was recrystallized from diethyl ether-ethyl acetate (2:1), yielding compound 4 ( $941 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp} 218-220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.56$ (EtOAc). IR spectrum (KBr, v, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3254, 3051, 2966, 2913, 2887, 2881, 1654, 1621, 1580, 1544, 1482, 1453, 1404, 1358, 1251, 1222, 1077, 975, 783. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{Hz}$ ): 0.88 (t, $J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.83 (dd, $J=18.4,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{td}, J=5.6,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{td}, J=5.7$, $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}\right.$-indole). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$, $\delta$, ppm): 11.4, 22.6, 38.8, 41.5, 43.6, 44.9, 109.2, 112.5, 117.7, 118.1, 118.9, 121.7, 123.7, 130.3, 135.4, 139.1, 172.2.

Found, \%: C, 76.57; H, 6.89; N, 10.44. $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Calcd, \%: C, 76.66; H, 6.81; N, 10.52.

Noruleine (5). To a mixture of compound 4 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 0.45 g 0.5 mmol ), tris(triphenylphophine)rhodium(1) carbonyl hydride was dissolved in 40 mL anhydrous THF. To this solution 0.12 g ( 0.5 mmol ) diphenylsilane added. The whole mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt . The reaction mixture was quenched with EtOAc $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under pressure to give a residue which was then purified by column chromatography (EtOAc-acetone$\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}, 4: 1: 1$ ), and was recrystallized from diethyl ether-ethyl acetate ( $2: 1$ ), yielding compound 5 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.43$ (EtOAc). IR spectrum ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \nu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3241, 2963, 2922, 1671, 1619, 1457, 1329, $1201,1166,1134,906,781,738 .{ }^{1} H$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{J} /$ $\mathrm{Hz}): 0.79(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 11.9,24.6,33.8,38.5,42.3,46.2,47.7,108.7,111.4$, 112.1, 118.5, 119.2, 122.8, 127.1, 133.6, 136.3, 138.7.

Found, \%: C, 80.86; H, 7.81; N, 11.19. $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$. Calcd, \%: C, 80.91; H, 7.99; N, 11.10.

Uleine (6). A mixture of noruleine ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 30 mL of acetonitrile. After 15 min at rt , and then the mixture was treated with formaldehyde ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 35 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ aqueous solution, 7.8 mmol ) and $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}$ ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After stirring at room temperature for 1 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under pressure to give a residue which was then purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 10: 2$ ) and was evaporated to give the title 6 ( $91 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) as a white amorphous solid, $\mathrm{mp} 165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.5$ (EtOAc-n-hexane, 1:1). IR spectrum ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \nu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3415, 3071, 2926, 2881, 1624, 1611, 1537, 1461, 1098, 1011, 887, 778, $751,618 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{Hz}$ ): $0.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$
$8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.56 (d, J = $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.25 (s, 1H, NH-indole). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): 11.7, 24.6, 34.7, 39.4, 44.2, 46.1, 46.4, 56.8, 107.1, 107.4, 110.7, 119.3, 120.1, 122.7, 129.2, 135.4, 136.7, 138.7.

Found, \%: C, 81.22; H, 8.39; $\mathrm{N}, 10.46 . \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$. Calcd, \%: C, 81.16; H, 8.32; N, 10.52.

### 2.3. DFT and TD-DFT calculations

The compounds 3-6 (Scheme 1) were optimized B3LYP [60, $61] / 6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})[62,63,64]$ level of theory in both the gas and chloroform phases. PCM "polarized continuum model" [65, 66, 67] was used to simulate the chloroform $(\varepsilon=4.71)$ media at the same level of theory. In all computations, the default convergence criteria for both geometry optimization (ref) by Berny algorithm using GEDIIS [68] and SCF procedure with no damping or Fermi broadening [69] have been applied. All optimized structures were confirmed by the absence of the imaginary frequency. All DFT computations and analyses of the results were utilized by using the packages of G09 [70] and GaussView 6.0.16 [71].

The thermodynamic quantities and physical properties of the compounds were investigated considering the quantum mechanics [72, 73]. Accordingly, the total partition function of a specific system, based on the freedom degrees of translational, rotational, vibrational, and electronic, is defined as below:
$Q=Q_{\text {trans. }} \times Q_{\text {rot. }} \times Q_{\text {vib. }} Q_{\text {elec. }}$.
For the asymmetric top molecules, the vibrational partition function depending on the normal modes is calculated by the following equation [74, 75]:
$Q_{v i b .}=\prod_{j=1}^{3 N-6} \frac{e^{-\theta_{v, j} / 2 T}}{\left(1-e^{-\frac{\theta v j}{T}}\right)}$
Then, the vibrational part of the thermodynamic quantities $E_{\text {vib }}$ "vibrational thermal energy", $S_{\text {vib }}$. "vibrational entropy", and $C v_{\text {vib }}$. "vibrational heat capacity" is defined as [72, 73, 74, 75].
$E_{v i b .}=N k \sum_{j=1}^{3 N-6}\left(\frac{\Theta_{v, j}}{2}+\frac{\Theta_{v j} e^{-\Theta_{v, j} / T}}{\left(1-e^{-\frac{\theta v j}{T}}\right)}\right)$
$S_{v i b}=N k \sum_{j=1}^{3 N-6}\left[\frac{\Theta_{v, j} / T}{\left(e^{\Theta_{v, j} / T}-1\right)}-\ln \left(1-e^{-\Theta_{v, j} / T}\right)\right]$
$C v_{v i b .}=N k \sum_{j=1}^{3 N-6}\left[\left(\frac{\Theta_{v j}}{T}\right)^{2} \frac{e^{\Theta_{v j / T}}}{\left(e^{\Theta_{v j} / T}-1\right)^{2}}\right]$
The terms are expressed as $\Theta_{v j}=\frac{h v_{j}}{k}$ "the vibrational temperature", $\mathrm{h} \rightarrow$ "Planck constant", $\mathrm{k} \rightarrow$ "Boltzmann constant", and $\nu_{j} \rightarrow$ " $j$ th fundamental frequency".

In addition, the chemical reactivity properties were evaluated in light of the conceptual DFT. Accordingly, the values $I$ "ionization energy" and A "electron affinity" [76] are determined, and then these values are used for calculating further reactivity parameters as follows;
$I=-$ Е $_{\text {Номо }}$
$A=-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{LUMO}}$
$\chi=-\left(\frac{I+A}{2}\right)$
$\eta=\frac{I-A}{2}$
$\omega=\frac{\mu^{2}}{2 \eta}$
$\Delta N_{\max }=\frac{I+A}{2(I-A)}$
$\omega^{+} \approx(I+3 A)^{2} / 16((I-A))$
$\omega^{-} \approx(3 I+A)^{2} / 16((I-A))$
$\Delta \varepsilon_{\text {back-donation }}=-\frac{\eta}{4}$
Terms are defined as $\chi \rightarrow$ "electronic chemical potential" $\eta \rightarrow$ "global hardness", $\omega \rightarrow$ "electrophilicity", $\Delta \mathrm{N}_{\max } \rightarrow$ "the maximum charge transfer capability index" [77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82], $\omega$ - "the electrodonating power" and $\omega+$ "the electroaccepting power" [83], and $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {back-donat. "back-donation energy" [84]. }}$

Last, the possible electronic transitions that contributed to the lowering stabilization energy $\left(E^{(2)}\right)$ were determined by performing NBO [85, 86, 87, 88] analyses. For a specific molecule, the value of $E^{(2}$ is a function of $q i \rightarrow$ "the donor orbital occupancy"; $\varepsilon i$ and $\varepsilon j \rightarrow$ "donor and acceptor orbital energies (diagonal elements)"; Fij $\rightarrow$ "the off-diagonal NBO Fock matrix element" and is defined as
$E^{(2)}=\Delta E_{i j}=q i \frac{(F i j)^{2}}{(\varepsilon j-\varepsilon i)}$
The NMR shifts of compounds 3-6 were calculated by using GIAO "Gauge-Independent Atomic Orbital" [89, 90] approach to the shift constant of TMS (tetramethylsilane), in CHCl 3 . Last, molecular properties [91], bioactivity parameters [91], and drug-likeness model scores [92] of compounds 3-6 were explored by using online tools.

### 2.4. Molecular docking methods

The molecular docking procedures were performed with AutoDock 4.2 against AChE, BuChE, and HSA crystal structures. All the structures were downloaded from RCSB protein data bank (https://www.rcsb.org/) (PDB ID: 3lii for AChE, 1p0i for BuChE, and 1bm0 for HSA) [93, 94, 95]. The maximum torsion number with fewest atom was set for the ligand molecules. Kollman charges were regarded, and only polar hydrogens were employed in all target crystal structures [96]. Water molecules in the targets were extracted and also Gasteiger charges, and Randomized starting positions were utilized during the processes. Lamarckian genetic algorithms were employed with 150 genetic algorithm populations for 10 runs [97, 98]. The grids in 0.375 spacing were selected as $40 / 40 / 40$ npts with $29.607 / 31.782 / 23.488(\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{z})$ in $1 \mathrm{bm0}$; 90/68/70 npts with $139.891 / 117.013 / 45.220(x / y / z)$ in 1 p 0 i ; and $56 / 50 / 74 \mathrm{npts}$ with 94.160/87.917/-4.492 ( $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{z}$ ) in 3lii for all ulein type molecules. The standart program defaults were used during the running. All the illustrations were performed with Discovery Studio 4.1.0.

## 3. Result and discussion

### 3.1. Synthesis and spectroscopic characterization

In this work, the effectiveness of this route has been demonstrated by the efficient short synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-noruleine and ( $\pm$ )-uleine. This methodology was extended to other alkaloids and the broad family of natural products. This system is the first example of a one-pot construction of the tetracyclic 12-ethyl-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexahydro-1,5-methanoazocino [4,3-b]indole-3,6-dione (3) using TFB starting with 2-(3-ethyl-1-oxo-2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1H-carbazol-2-yl)acetonitrile 1. Further, the work could be applied in a novel approach to the synthesis of strychnos
alkaloids. The measured FT-IR and NMR spectra of the reagents and products (compounds 2-6) were given in Figures S1, S2, S3, S4, S5 (suppl.data). .

The selected functional vibrations for compounds 3-6 were presented in Table 1. The observed peaks at 3241 (3 and 5), 3254 (2), and 3415 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (6) were associated with the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ elongation mode assigned at 3443 (3), 3442 (4), 3376 (5), and $3533 \mathrm{~cm}-1$ (6), respectively. Recently, the vN-H bond vibration was observed at 3232, 3230, 3426 and assigned at $3543,3523,3447 \mathrm{~cm}-1$ [31, 44, 45], respectively. Very strong peaks appeared at 1664 and $1654 \mathrm{~cm}-1$ were markers of the carbonyl group $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ in compounds 3 and 4 and computed at 1704 and $1702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Recently, the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ vibrational mode for thiophene derivatives that were structurally similar molecules was observed at 1674, 1664, and 1663 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and calculated by B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level at 1731 and 1730 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ [99]. The $\nu \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}$ elongation mode for compound 3 appeared at $1429 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and was calculated at $1431 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. On the other hand, the $\mathrm{N}=$ C elongation mode for compound 4 was calculated at 1468, 1428, 1419, 1413, and $1296 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ accompanied by the $\mathrm{ipb}(\mathrm{HNC}+\mathrm{HCC})$ bending modes generally. For compounds 5 and 6 , the $\imath N=C$ vibrations were computed in the range of $1466-1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1466-1297 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the peaks calculated at 1466 for both compounds were very strong and mixed with ipbHNC mode. For compounds 4-6, the measured peaks at 1621,1671 , and $1624 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ were estimated at 1619,1616 , and 1615 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, respectively. On the other hand, the observed peaks at 1530, 1580, 1574 , and $1537 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ were associated with the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond stretching for the aromatic rings predicted at $1520,1591,1590$, and $1551 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and were contaminated with the ipbHCC bending modes. Here, the scaling factor 0.9619 [100] for the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level of theory was used to compatible the calculated vibrations with the measured data.

The observed and computed NMR shifts for compounds 3-6 were given in Tables 2 and 3. For compounds 3-6, the proton shift for the indole ring was measured at $9.51,11.23,8.16$, and 8.35 ppm , calculated at $8.16,7.81$, and 7.88 pm . For compound 3, the aromatic proton shifts (H30-H33) were observed in 7.73-7.35 ppm and calculated in 8.02-7.47 ppm . The counterpart carbon shifts for the compounds were observed in the range of $\sim 140-100 \mathrm{ppm}$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR shifts for the unsaturated carbon atoms of compounds $3-6$ were observed in the range of $138.5-112.3,135.4-109.2,136.3-108.7$, and $136.7-107.1 \mathrm{ppm}$, whereas they were assigned in 144.1-117.4, 143.0-110.3, 143.4-108.6, 142.9-108.7 ppm, respectively. As known well from previous reports, C and H atoms exhibit a higher chemical shift with the magnetic resonance beam, according to the electronegativity of the groups around a related atom. Here, the chemical shifts related to the carbon atoms (C8 and C9) for compounds 3 were recorded at 172.2 and 191.4 ppm and determined at 174.2 and 197.0 ppm because of the existence of the oxygen atom. From Tables 2, 3, the regression coefficients for compounds 3-6 revealed that the observed data are compatible with both the calculated and reported data, $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ values for both ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR shifts were calculated in $0.929-0.963$ and $0.997-0.999$, respectively.

### 3.2. Molecule geometry and physicochemical properties

The optimized structures and geometric parameters of compounds 3-6 were presented in Figure 1 and Table 4, respectively. Accordingly, the bond length N1-C4 was reported as $1.48 \AA$ [101] and predicted as $1.49 \AA$ for noruleine (5) and uleine (6). The bond lengths N2-C11 and N2-C13 were determined as $1.38 \AA$ and $1.37 \AA$ A for compound 3, reported as $1.37 \AA$ A [102] for structurally related compounds. besides, the C18-C19 bond on the aromatic ring was reported as $1.39 \AA[101,102]$ and calculated for compounds 3-6 as $1.41 \AA$. On the other hand, the bond angle C16-C18-C19 was observed at $121.7^{\circ}$ [101] and calculated at $121.0-121.1^{\circ}$ for compounds 4-6. As expected from $s p^{3}$ hybridization, the angles N1-C4-C3, N1-C4-C7, N2-C11-C7, C11-N2-C13, and C4-C3-C5 were observed at $109.2^{\circ}, 112.3^{\circ}, 110.5^{\circ}, 108.5^{\circ}$, and $107.7^{\circ}$, respectively, where the same angles for uleine were calculated as 107.7, $114.4,109.2,109.3$, and $107.0 \AA$. For compounds 3 and 4, the C8-N1-C4

Table 1. The observed and calculated vibrational frequencies (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of the compounds at B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level.

|  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assignment | Exp. | Scal. | Exp. | Scal. | Exp. | Scal. | Exp. | Scal. |
| $\nu$ NH | 3241 | 3443 | 3254 | 3442 | 3241 | 3376 | 3415 | 3533 |
| $\nu_{\text {as }} \mathrm{CH} 2$ | - | - |  | 3092 |  | 3088 |  | 3088 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CH}$ (ar.) | 3073 | 3071 |  | 3067 |  | 3066 | 3071 | 3067 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{ar}$.) |  | 3061 | 3051 | 3056 |  | 3054 |  | 3057 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{ar}$.) |  | 3050 |  | 3045 |  | 3044 |  | 3046 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{ar}$.) |  | 3044 |  | 3040 |  | 3038 |  | 3039 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CH} 2$ |  | - |  | 3016 |  | 3013 |  | 3013 |
| $\nu_{\text {as }} \mathrm{CH} 2$ |  | 2975 |  | 2974 |  | 2972 |  | 2972 |
| $\nu_{\text {as }} \mathrm{CH} 2$ |  | 2971 | 2966 | 2970 | 2963 | 2966 |  | 2966 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CH}$ | 2961 | 2954 |  | 2951 | 2922 | 2951 |  | 2951 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CH}$ |  | 2946 |  | 2944 |  | 2942 |  | 2941 |
| $\nu_{\text {as }} \mathrm{CH} 2$ |  | 2941 |  | 2929 |  | 2938 | 2926 | 2928 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CH} 2$ | 2917 | 2923 |  | 2915 |  | 2937 |  | 2921 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CH} 3$ |  | 2910 | 2913 | 2908 |  | 2907 |  | 2906 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CH} 2$ | 2850 | 2907 | 2887 | 2904 |  | 2905 |  | 2905 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CH}$ |  | 2898 | 2881 | 2899 |  | 2904 |  | 2904 |
| $\nu \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 1664 | 1704 | 1654 | 1702 | - | - | - | - |
| $\nu \mathrm{C}=0$ | 1611 | 1670 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\nu(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH} 2)$ | - | - | 1621 | 1619 | 1619 | 1616 | 1611 | 1615 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CC}+\mathrm{ipb}$ HNC | 1578 | 1596 | 1580 | 1591 | 1574 | 1590 |  | 1589 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CC}+\mathrm{ipb}$ HNC |  | 1548 | 1544 | 1552 |  | 1551 | 1537 | 1551 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CC}+\mathrm{ipb} \mathrm{HNC}+\delta \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH} 2$ | 1530 | 1520 |  |  |  | 1505 |  | 1507 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CC}+\mathrm{ipb}$ HNC |  | 1473 | 1482 | 1468 |  | 1467 | 1461 | 1467 |
| 8CH2 |  | 1453 | 1453 | 1453 | 1457 | 1454 | 1440 | 1445 |
| 8CH2 | 1429 | 1435 |  | 1434 |  | 1433 |  | 1433 |
| $\nu \mathrm{NC}+\mathrm{ipb}$ HNC |  | 1430 | 1404 | 1404 |  | 1417 |  | 1417 |
| sbCH3 |  | 1364 | 1358 | 1363 |  | 1362 |  | 1361 |
| ipb (HNC + HCC) | 1351 | 1352 |  | 1353 |  | 1355 |  | 1349 |
| $(\omega+\tau) \mathrm{CH} 2$ |  | 1344 |  | 1344 |  | 1342 | 1330 | 1337 |
| $\nu \mathrm{NC}+\mathrm{ipb} \mathrm{HNC}+\tau \mathrm{CH} 2$ | 1325 | 1329 |  | 1330 | 1329 | 1335 |  | 1327 |
| ipb HNC |  | 1322 |  | 1321 |  | 1316 |  | 1234 |
| ipb HCC+ $+(\omega+\tau) \mathrm{CH} 2$ | 1242 | 1253 | 1251 | 1255 |  | 1258 |  | 1219 |
| $(\omega+\tau) \mathrm{CH} 2$ | 1236 | 1236 |  | 1241 |  | 1239 |  | 1216 |
| ipb (HNC + HCC) | 1155 | 1155 | 1222 | 1228 | 1201 | 1226 | 1127 | 1132 |
| $\tau$ CH2 | 1132 | 1138 |  | 1149 | 1166 | 1145 | 1098 | 1099 |
| ipb ( $\mathrm{HNC}+\mathrm{HCC}$ ) $+\nu \mathrm{CC}$ |  | 1104 | 1077 | 1092 | 1134 | 1131 |  | 1052 |
| $\beta$ CCC $+\nu$ CC | 980 | 992 |  |  |  | 996 |  | 995 |
| $(\omega+\rho) \mathrm{CH} 2$ |  | 953 | 975 | 969 |  | 966 |  | 983 |
| opb HCC |  | 947 | 783 | 774 |  | 935 |  | 935 |
| opb HNC |  | 760 |  | 645 | 738 | 749 | 751 | 739 |
| opb HNC | 618 | 634 |  | 624 |  | 612 |  | 559 |

*The abbreviation are $\nu$, symmetric stretching; $\nu_{\mathrm{as},}$ asymmetric stretching; $\omega$, wagging; $\tau$, twisting; $\rho$, rocking; $\delta$, scissoring; $\beta$, bending; ipb, in-plane bending; opb, outplane bending.
was calculated at $124.2^{\circ}$ and $123.4^{\circ}$ due to the effect of electron delocalization of the neighbor $-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group on this bond. On the other hand, the same angle of noruleine (5) and uleine (6) was calculated at $113.1^{\circ}$, and $112.8^{\circ}$ as expected from typical $s p^{3}$ hybridization. For compounds 3 and 4, the angle N1-C8-O38 was predicted as $121.9^{\circ}$ and $122.2^{\circ}$ and was reported as $122.3^{\circ}$ [102]. Furthermore, the angle C11-N2-C13 for compounds 5 and 6 was determined as $109.3^{\circ}$ and recorded [102] as $108.5^{\circ}$ in past. From Table 4, the dihedral angles N1-C4-C3-C5, N1-C4-C7-C11, and N1-C8-C6-C5 for uleine (6) were determined as $66.0^{\circ},-89.3^{\circ}$, and $-49.9^{\circ}$, whereas these angles for noruleine (5) were calculated as $65.7^{\circ},-89.1^{\circ},-50.9^{\circ}$. Furthermore, N2-C11-C9-C5, N2-C11-C9-C15, and N2-C11-C7-C4 angles were found to be -178.9 ${ }^{\circ}$, $1.3^{\circ}$, and $179.9^{\circ}$ for $\mathbf{6}$, whereas they were calculated for compound 5 as $-176.2^{\circ}, 3.7^{\circ}$, and $178.3^{\circ}$. There are some small deviations from the reported values of the calculated data because the experimental data of the
structurally related azocino-indole [101, 102] compounds were used for comparison purposes.

In addition Table 5 summarized the calculated thermodynamic quantities and physical parameters of compounds 3-6. With increasing the dielectric constant of the simulation media, even not much great, thermodynamic quantities for all compounds decreased. Namely, the electronic energies $(\Delta \mathrm{E})$, enthalpy $(\Delta \mathrm{H})$, and free energy $(\Delta \mathrm{G})$ changing for 6 were calculated in the gas phase as $-808.496919,-808.478746$, and -808.540646 au , whereas they were determined in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ as $-808.502446,-808.484293$, and -808.546208 au, respectively. Besides, the $\mathrm{E}_{\text {therm. }} \mathrm{Cv}$, and S values of compound $\mathbf{6}$ were determined in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ as $239.011 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 71.020 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}, 130.310 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}$, and 130.310 $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}$ contributed by the vibrational motion freedom by 237.234 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 65.058 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}$, and $54.298 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}$. Especially, the contribution of the vibrational freedom to total quantities is remarkable

Table 2. The observed and calculated 13C NMR chemical shifts of the studied compounds relative to TMS, at B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level of the theory in CHCl3.

| 3 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atom | Exp. | Calc. | Atom | Exp. | Calc. | Atom | Exp. | Calc. | Atom | Exp. | Calc. |
| 3-C | 45.3 | 54.3 | 3-C | 41.5 | 50.6 | 3-C | 47.7 | 53.6 | 3-C | 46.4 | 54.5 |
| 4-C | 44.1 | 51.5 | 4-C | 44.9 | 51.4 | 4-C | 46.2 | 53.3 | 4-C | 56.8 | 59.7 |
| 5-C | 47.9 | 56.2 | 5-C | 43.6 | 50.9 | 5-C | 42.3 | 51.4 | 5-C | 46.1 | 50.4 |
| 6-C | 35.6 | 42.2 | 6-C | 38.8 | 47.1 | 6-C | 33.8 | 41.4 | 6-C | 34.7 | 41.4 |
| 7-C | 128.8 | 135.7 | 7-C | 118.1 | 123.8 | 7-C | 112.1 | 118.8 | 7-C | 110.7 | 115.4 |
| 8-C | 172.2 | 174.2 | 8-C | 172.2 | 176.2 | 8-C | 38.5 | 42.6 | 8-C | 44.2 | 50.3 |
| $9-\mathrm{C}$ | 191.4 | 197.0 | $9-\mathrm{C}$ | 139.1 | 150.2 | 9-C | 138.7 | 150.4 | 9-C | 138.7 | 150.3 |
| 10-C | 23.5 | 29.5 | 10-C | 22.6 | 29.4 | 10-C | 24.6 | 30.1 | 10-C | 24.6 | 29.7 |
| 11-C | 128.3 | 134.9 | 11-C | 130.3 | 138.0 | 11-C | 133.6 | 141.5 | 11-C | 135.4 | 141.7 |
| 12-C | 124.6 | 131.9 | 12-C | 123.7 | 132.7 | 12-C | 127.1 | 133.5 | 12-C | 129.2 | 136.1 |
| 13-C | 138.5 | 144.1 | 13-C | 135.4 | 143.0 | 13-C | 136.3 | 143.4 | 13-C | 136.7 | 142.9 |
| 14-C | 11.3 | 14.1 | 14-C | 11.4 | 14.2 | 14-C | 11.9 | 14.0 | 14-C | 11.7 | 14.0 |
| 15-C | 121.2 | 126.7 | 15-C | 109.2 | 110.3 | 15-C | 108.7 | 108.6 | 15-C | 107.1 | 108.7 |
| 16-C | 112.3 | 117.4 | 16-C | 117.7 | 123.6 | 16-C | 118.5 | 124.0 | 16-C | 120.1 | 125.3 |
| 17-C | 121.8 | 127.1 | 17-C | 112.5 | 115.5 | 17-C | 111.4 | 115.1 | 17-C | 107.4 | 114.9 |
| 18-C | 127.4 | 133.8 | 18-C | 118.9 | 125.8 | 18-C | 119.2 | 125.1 | 18-C | 119.3 | 125.0 |
|  |  |  | 19-C | 121.7 | 129.5 | 19-C | 122.8 | 128.7 | 19-C | 122.7 | 128.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38-C | 39.4 | 46.4 |
| $\mathrm{R} 2=0.999$ |  |  | $\mathrm{R} 2=0.997$ |  |  | $\mathrm{R} 2=0.997$ |  |  | $\mathrm{R} 2=0.998$ |  |  |

Table 3. The observed and calculated ${ }^{1} H$ NMR chemical shifts of the studied compounds relative to TMS, at B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level of the theory in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$.

| 3 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 |  | Calc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atom | Exp. | Calc. | Atom | Exp. | Calc. | Atom | Exp. | Calc. | Atom | Exp. |  |
| 19-H | 2,49 | 2,62 | 20-H | 2,19 | 2,37 | 20-H | 2,08 | 2,03 | 20-H | 2,11 | 2,04 |
| 20-H | 4,75 | 4,86 | 21-H | 4,33 | 4,68 | 21-H | 4,34 | 4,43 | 21-H | 4,13 | 4,14 |
| 21-H | 2,96 | 2,64 | $22-\mathrm{H}$ | 2,83 | 2,78 | 22-H | 2,60 | 2,45 | 22-H | 2,52 | 2,41 |
| 22-H | 2,96 | 2,89 | 23-H | 2,93 | 2,88 | 23-H | 2,08 | 2,05 | 23-H | 2,32 | 2,12 |
| 23-H | 2,49 | 2,31 | 24-H | 2,05 | 2,16 | 24-H | 2,08 | 1,55 | 24-H | 1,67 | 1,62 |
| 24-H | 1,38 | 1,30 | 25-H | 1,15 | 1,21 | 25-H | 1,26 | 1,15 | 25-H | 1,14 | 1,10 |
| 25-H | 1,38 | 1,57 | 26-H | 1,15 | 1,46 | 26-H | 1,63 | 1,21 | 26-H | 1,14 | 1,20 |
| 26-H | 9,51 | 8,16 | 27-H | 11,23 | 7,81 | 27-H | 8,16 | 7,81 | 27-H | 8,25 | 7,88 |
| 27-H | 0,96 | 1,01 | 28-H | 0,88 | 1,01 | 28-H | 1,26 | 1,04 | 28-H | 0,86 | 1,04 |
| 28-H | 0,96 | 0,94 | 29-H | 0,88 | 0,93 | 29-H | 0,79 | 0,85 | 29-H | 0,86 | 0,85 |
| 29-H | 0,96 | 1,06 | 30-H | 0,88 | 1,03 | 30-H | 0,79 | 0,94 | 30-H | 0,86 | 0,93 |
| 30-H | 7,73 | 8,02 | 31-H | 5,01 | 5,18 | 31-H | 4,93 | 5,04 | 31-H | 5,01 | 5,05 |
| 31-H | 7,37 | 7,63 | 32-H | 5,57 | 5,46 | 32-H | 5,25 | 5,42 | 32-H | 5,26 | 5,42 |
| 32-H | 7,35 | 7,47 | 33-H | 8,22 | 7,79 | 33-H | 7,55 | 7,75 | 33-H | 7,56 | 7,79 |
| 33-H | 7,47 | 7,66 | 34-H | 7,54 | 7,49 | 34-H | 7,31 | 7,49 | 34-H | 7,36 | 7,47 |
| 34-H | 7,15 | 5,34 | 35-H | 7,18 | 7,34 | 35-H | 7,01 | 7,29 | 35-H | 7,11 | 7,27 |
|  |  |  | 36-H | 7,36 | 7,45 | 36-H | 7,11 | 7,40 | 36-H | 7,18 | 7,39 |
|  |  |  | 37-H | 7,06 | 5,22 | 37-H | 0,79 | 0,87 | 37-H | 2,32 | 2,29 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 38-H | 2,60 | 2,58 | 39-H | 2,11 | 2,01 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 39-H | 2,60 | 2,64 | 40-H | 2,32 | 2,21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41-H | 2,68 | 2,62 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42-H | 2,11 | 2,02 |
| $\mathbf{R}^{2}=0.963$ |  |  | $\mathbf{R}^{2}=0.929$ |  |  | $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}=0.994$ |  |  | $\mathbf{R}^{2}=0.997$ |  |  |

as expected. For reagent 4, the thermodynamic state functions $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{tr}}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{rot}}$, and $\mathrm{S}_{\text {vib. ( }}$ (in $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}$ ) were calculated as $129.016,42.636,33.488$, and 52.893, respectively. The ordering of the dipole moment $\mu$ (D) was changed as $4.648(3)>4.264(4)>1.767(5)>1.623(6)$ in the gas and 5.749 (3) $>5.237$ ( 4 ) $>2.317$ (5) $>2.188$ (6) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Furthermore, the polarizability values $\alpha$ (au) changed in the order of 191.774 (3) $<$ 204.477 (4) < 204.384 (5) < 216.223 (6) in the gas phase and as 240.414 (3) < 257.498 (4) < 258.785 (5) < 274.042 (6) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Both dipole moment and polarizability were affected by the chloroform
dielectric simulation media. Also, reagents 3 and 4 have greater dipole moments than those of the noruleine and uleine, because of the existence of additional electronegative oxygen atoms.

### 3.3. NBO analysis

NBO method [85, 86, 87, 88] has been used for evaluating the non-covalent interactions such as anomeric, hyperconjugative, and cieplak as well as the resonances, and is increasingly applied to organic [23,


Figure 1. The optimized structures of the compounds 3-6 in the gas phase.
$29,45]$ and inorganic [103, 104] systems. We have summarized the possible resonance interactions in Table 6; full details of NBO computations were given in Table S1 (suppl. data).

As can be expected, the main contributions are due to the resonance interactions, the electron moving to antibonding orbital $\Pi^{*}$ from the lone pair of the nitrogen is remarkable. Namely, the energy of the resonance interaction LP (1) N2 $\rightarrow \Pi^{*} \mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 11$ for compounds $3-6$ was determined as $36.84,36.81,35.80$, and $36.20 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. In addition, the energy of the interaction LP (2) $\mathrm{N} 1 \rightarrow \Pi^{*} \mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13$ for compounds 3-6 was determined as $39.29,31.88,35.97$, and 36.11 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and fairly attractive. Also, the other $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \Pi^{*}$ interaction for reagent 3 was determined as LP (1) N1 ( $\left.\mathrm{ED}_{\mathrm{i}}=1.73079 \mathrm{e}\right) \rightarrow \Pi^{*}$ C8-O35 $\left(E_{j}=0.20345 \mathrm{e}\right)$ with the energy of $24.91 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (Table S1). Similarly, the energy of the resonance LP (1) N1 $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{\mathrm{i}}=\right.$ $1.73079 \mathrm{e}) \rightarrow \Pi^{*} \mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{O} 38\left(\mathrm{ED}_{\mathrm{j}}=0.20345 \mathrm{e}\right)$ for reagent 4 was determined as $23.98 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. However, the contribution of this interaction (LP (1) N1 $\rightarrow \Pi^{*} \mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{O} 38$ ) to $\mathrm{E}^{(2)}$ for compounds 5 and 6 was calculated with the energy of 1.32 and $1.21 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. Also, the interactions $\Pi$ C7-C11 ( $\left.\mathrm{ED}_{\mathrm{i}}=1.75093 \mathrm{e}\right) \rightarrow \Pi^{*} \mathrm{C} 9-036\left(\mathrm{ED}_{\mathrm{j}}=\right.$ 0.18019 e ) and $\Pi \mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 11\left(\mathrm{ED}_{\mathrm{i}}=1.75093 \mathrm{e}\right) \rightarrow \Pi^{*} \mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13\left(\mathrm{ED}_{\mathrm{j}}=\right.$ 0.49861 e ) for reagent $\mathbf{3}$ were calculated with the energy of 22.87 and $16.40 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Instead of the interaction $\Pi \mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 11 \rightarrow \Pi^{*} \mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{O} 36$, the resonance $\Pi$ C7-C11 $\rightarrow \Pi^{*}$ C9-C15 for the compounds 4,5 , and 6 were determined with the energy of 16.6416 .79 , and $16.83 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. In addition, the energies of the resonance interactions for charge transfer to each $\Pi^{*} \mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 11, \Pi^{*} \mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{C} 17$, and $\Pi^{*} \mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 18$ from bonding orbital $\Pi \mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13$ for reagent 3 were calculated as $21.62,19.28$, and $18.53 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. For the compound 6 , the counterpart resonance interactions were determined as $\Pi$ $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13 \rightarrow \Pi^{*} \mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 11\left(\mathrm{E}^{(2)}=19.29 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right), \Pi \mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13 \rightarrow \Pi^{*}$ $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 18\left(\mathrm{E}^{(2)}=19.29 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$, and $\Pi \mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13 \rightarrow \Pi^{*} \mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{C} 19\left(\mathrm{E}^{(2)}\right.$ $=18.75 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$. All these interactions are responsible for the charge distribution on the compounds and thus the chemical reactivity of the compounds.

### 3.4. FMO (Frontier Molecular orbital) analysis and MEP (Molecular electrostatic potential)

The global reactivity parameters obtained from quantum chemical computations have been applied to different types of systems for a long time [31, 44, 45, 46, 98, 99, 105, 106, 107]. In this work, The calculated reactivity parameters of the compounds were given in Table 7.

The energy gap ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}, \mathrm{eV}$ ) ordering of the compounds changed as 4.274 (5) $>4.265(4)>4.210(6)>4.176$ (3) in the gas and as 4.289 (5) $\geq 4.289(4)>4.234(6)>4.121$ (3) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Besides, the hardness $\eta$ (eV) values were determined as follows $2.137(5)>2.133$ (4) $>2.105$ (6) $>2.088$ (3) in gas and 2.145 (5) $\geq 2.145$ (4) $>2.117$ (6) $>2.061$ (3) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Accordingly, compound 5 and then 4 was determined as the hardest molecules and reagent 3 was the softer. Besides, the energy values implied that these compounds ( 5 and 4) could have gained stability via back donation: the calculated back donation energies of the compounds were determined as:

```
\(\Delta \varepsilon_{\text {back-donat. }}(\mathrm{eV}):-0.534(5) \geq-0.533(4)>-0.526(6)>-0.522(3)\) in
the gas
\(\Delta \varepsilon_{\text {back-donat. }}(\mathrm{eV}):-0.536(5) \geq-0.536(4)>-0.529(6)>-0.515(3)\) in
\(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\).
```

Also, the $\chi(\mathrm{eV})$ values was calculated in the following order: -4.143 (3) $<-3.558$ (4) $>-3.304$ (5) $>-3.274$ (6) in the gas and $-4.102(3)<$ $-3.514(4)>-3.350(5)>-3.332(6)$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Related to the electrophilicity indexes, the order of $\omega(\mathrm{eV})$ was determined as $3(0.151)>4$ $(0.109)>5(0.094) \geq 6(0.094)$ in the gas phase and with the same order in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ : reagent 3 has the highest electrophilicity value and vice versa for the uleine (6). Also, the electrodonating and electroaccepting indexes in the gas phase were calculated in the following orders:
$\omega^{+}(a u): \mathbf{3}(0.085)>\mathbf{4}(0.053)>\mathbf{5}(0.043) \geq \mathbf{6}$
(0.043)

Table 4. The selected optimized parameters for the synthesized compound 3-6 at B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) basis set in the gas.

| Bond Length (Å) | Exp.* | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1-C4 | $1.48{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.48 | 1.48 | 1.49 | 1.49 |
| N1-C8 | $1.34{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.38 | 1.37 | 1.47 | 1.46 |
| N1-C38 | $1.47^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | 1.46 |
| N2-C11 | $1.37{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.38 | 1.39 | 1.39 | 1.39 |
| N2-C13 | $1.37{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.37 | 1.38 | 1.39 | 1.38 |
| C8-035 | $1.24{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.22 | 1.22 | - | - |
| C8-038 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C9-036 | - | 1.22 | - | - | - |
| C3-C10 | $1.51{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.54 | 1.54 | 1.54 | 1.54 |
| C5-C6 | $1.53{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.55 | 1.55 | 1.55 | 1.55 |
| C9-C15 | - | - | 1.34 | 1.34 | 1.34 |
| C18-C19 | $1.39^{\text {a,b }}$ | - | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |  | 0.9758 | 0.9815 | 0.7059 | 0.7137 |
| Bond angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| N1-C4-C3 | $109.2^{\text {a }}$ | 108.0 | 108.0 | 107.3 | 107.7 |
| N1-C4-C7 | $112.3^{\text {a }}$ | 111.4 | 111.7 | 113.8 | 114.4 |
| N1-C8-038 | $122.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 121.9 | 122.2 | - | - |
| C8-N1-C4 | $121.0^{\text {b }}$ | 124.2 | 123.4 | 113.1 | 112.8 |
| C8-N1-C38 | $120.6^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | 111.7 |
| N2-C11-C9 | - | 125.3 | 126.9 | 126.7 | 126.4 |
| N2-C11-C7 | $110.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 110.0 | 110.0 | 109.2 | 109.2 |
| C11-N2-C13 | $108.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 108.8 | 109.3 | 109.3 | 109.3 |
| C4-C3-C5 | $107.7^{\text {a }}$ | 106.9 | 106.6 | 106.9 | 107.0 |
| C3-C10-C14 | - | 114.4 | 114.4 | 114.3 | 114.3 |
| C5-C6-C8 | $118.9^{\text {a }}$ | 116.5 | 117.2 | 112.7 | 112.8 |
| C5-C9-C15 | - | - | 121.7 | 121.9 | 121.8 |
| C11-C9-C15 | - | - | 124.3 | 124.2 | 124.2 |
| C16-C18-C19 | $121.7^{\text {a }}$ | - | 121.1 | 121.0 | 121.1 |
| C11-C9-O36 | - | 123.0 | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |  | 0.945 | 0.967 | 0.684 | 0.548 |
| Dihedral angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| N1-C4-C3-C5 | $65.4{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 62.7 | 64.1 | 65.7 | 66.0 |
| N1-C4-C7-C11 | $89.0^{\text {a }}$ | -86.7 | -88.5 | -89.1 | -89.3 |
| N1-C8-C6-C5 | $3.7{ }^{\text {b }}$ | -18.5 | -18.9 | -50.9 | -49.9 |
| C8-N1-C4-C3 | $-40.5^{\text {a }}$ | -43.3 | -45.4 | -64.5 | -63.4 |
| C8-N1-C4-C7 | $80.0^{\text {a }}$ | 77.2 | 75.2 | 56.2 | 57.7 |
| C4-N1-C8-035 | $-176.6^{\text {b }}$ | -163.6 | - | - | - |
| C4-N1-C8-038 | - | - | -162.3 | - | - |
| N2-C11-C9-O36 | - | -0.5 | - | - | - |
| N2-C11-C9-C5 | $-175.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ | -179.0 | -176.6 | -176.2 | -178.9 |
| N2-C11-C9-C15 | - | - | 3.5 | 3.7 | 1.3 |
| N2-C11-C7-C4 | $179.2^{\text {b }}$ | 177.7 | 178.2 | 178.3 | 179.9 |
| C11-N2-C13-C12 | $-0.4{ }^{\text {a }}$ | -0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| C4-C3-C5-C9 | $68.7{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 59.8 | 62.0 | 62.9 | 62.6 |
| C4-C3-C10-C14 |  | 62.0 | 63.1 | 63.6 | 63.5 |
| C16-C18-C19-C17 | $-0.8^{\text {b }}$ | - | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.3 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |  | 0.777 | 0.705 | 0.710 | 0.7134 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |  | 0.8465 | 0.8114 | 0.7949 | 0.8045 |
| * Available experimental data were taken from Refs. a [101] and b [102]. |  |  |  |  |  |

$\omega^{-}(a u): \mathbf{3}(0.237)>4(0.184)>5(0.164)>6$
Here, the electrodonating power of all compounds was greater 3 times than the electroaccepting potency and the same order was determined in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ simulation media. Due to the lone pair of the oxygen atom, compound 3 has the greatest electro-donating power more than the other compounds.

In addition, the possible reactivity sites for the nucleophilic and electrophilic attacks of the compounds 3-6 were illustrated in Figure 2.

For reagents 3 and 4, the HOMO expanded on the indole ring and $-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group, partially on the aliphatic ring, whereas the LUMO broadened on the indole and slightly saturated ring. For compounds 5 and 6, HOMO enlarged on the indole and $=$ CH2 group on the saturated ring, on N1 atom around a little. Also, the MEP plots for reagents 3 and 4 indicated that the $-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group was an essential role in electrophilic attacks due to bearing the red color $(\mathrm{V}<0)$ that was a marker of the electron-rich region. But for compounds 5 and $\mathbf{6}$, the indole ring was covered orange color that showed a moderate size electron-rich region. For all compounds, the hydrogen of -NH group on the indole ring would be important for the nucleophilic attacks since it was covered by blue color ( $\mathrm{V}>0$ ).

### 3.5. Molecular docking analysis

Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors are frequently used in the treatment of AD . Tacrine whose $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value is 205 nM , is the first-approved AChE inhibitor for the treatment of cognitive symptoms of AD in 1993. Hepatotoxicity profile and dosage frequency are common limitations of tacrine [108]. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of Donepezil, Rivastigmine, and Galantamine, which were approved by the FDA in the following years, were determined as $11.6 \mathrm{nM}, \sim 4.3 \mathrm{nM}$, and 410 nM , respectively [109, $110,111]$. Molecular docking methods have also been frequently used for the detailed analysis of the interaction mechanisms of these drugs with various biomacromolecules. Burmaoglu et al. analyzed the AChE inhibition potential of biphenyl-substituted chalcone derivative molecules, and they determined that the tacrine formed H-bonds with Trp84 and Tyr334 [112]. Ahmed et al. performed their study for 1, 3-di-4-piperidylpropane derivatives molecules. They noted that donepezil and galantamine interacted with approximately the same region of AChE, donepezil made H-bonds with Phe295, and galantamine interacted with H-bonds with Gly122 [113]. Islam et al. examined the AChE inhibition of Donepezil, Galantamine, Rivastigmine and Tacrine theoretically, determined the binding affinities as $-7.9,-8.0,-8.6$, and $-8.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively, and emphasized H-bonds of these molecules with Tyr124 and Ser293 [114]. In another study, Çelik et al investigated the enzyme inhibition properties of 2,5-Disubstituted Benzoxazole derivatives and the binding energy of Galantamine was calculated as $-9.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with Vina [115]. Uleine, which is a natural alkaloid extracted from Himatanthus lancifolius, has $0.45 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ [12] and the substitution of uleine-derivative molecules differences the activity remarkably [108]. Therefore, in this study, AChE and BuChE inhibition activities of the molecules were analyzed by using molecular docking methods and compared with the results obtained for tacrine and galantamine.

According to the results of this study, the strongest interaction with AChE was detected for 6 with a binding affinity of $-8.66 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and four H-bonds with Gly120, Tyr133, Tyr337, and Trp66 were detected between the molecule and the enzyme. Also, $\pi$-interaction with His447, alkyl interaction with Gly121, and many van der Waals interactions contributed to the binding affinity. The binding affinities of 3, 4, and 5 were recorded as $-8.27,-8.46$, and $-8.52 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. All of the molecules interacted with the same region of the enzyme and this zone was very close to where tacrine and galantamine interacted (Figure 3). All of the studied molecules had higher binding affinity than both drug molecules. The interactions of molecules with BuChE were also analyzed by molecular docking methods in this study. It was calculated the highest binding affinity with $-8.29 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for 4 . Three H-bonds were detected with BuChE by Trp82, Trp430, and Tyr440. Hbonds, $\pi$-, alkylic, and many van der Waal interactions were detected for all molecules. Owing to these interactions, the binding affinities for 3,5, and 6 molecules were calculated as $-7.89,-8.04$, and $-8.15 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively (Figure S6). Uleine derivatives, tacrine, and galantamine interacted with approximately the same site of BuChE, and binding affinities were calculated as $-6.44 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for tacrine and $-7.10 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for galantamine (Table 8).

Table 5. The thermodynamic and physical values of compounds 3-6.

|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gas ( $\varepsilon=0.0$ ) | $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ (au) | -879.253547 | -843.288411 | -769.207140 | -808.496919 |
|  | $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ (au) | -879.236457 | -843.270898 | -769.190439 | -808.478746 |
|  | $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ (au) | -879.297073 | -843.332279 | -769.249409 | -808.540646 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {therm. }}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | 195.098 | 209.749 | 221.136 | 239.161 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {vib. }}$ ( $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) | 193.321 | 207.971 | 219.359 | 237.384 |
|  | $\mathrm{Cv}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | 65.297 | 67.673 | 65.640 | 71.048 |
|  | $\mathrm{Cv}_{\text {vib. }}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | 59.335 | 61.711 | 59.679 | 65.086 |
|  | S ( $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}$ ) | 127.577 | 129.187 | 124.114 | 130.279 |
|  | $\mathrm{Strr}_{\text {tr }}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | 42.658 | 42.636 | 42.475 | 42.636 |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {rot. }}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | 33.479 | 33.480 | 33.109 | 33.374 |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {vib. }}$ ( $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}$ ) | 51.439 | 53.071 | 48.529 | 54.269 |
|  | $\mu$ (D) | 4.648 | 4.264 | 1.767 | 1.623 |
|  | $\alpha$ (au) | 191.774 | 204.477 | 204.384 | 216.223 |
| $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(\varepsilon=4.71)$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ (au) | -879.265650 | -843.299189 | -769.213248 | -808.502446 |
|  | $\Delta H$ (au) | -879.248550 | -843.281722 | -769.196585 | -808.484293 |
|  | $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ (au) | -879.309201 | -843.343021 | -769.255467 | -808.546208 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {therm. }}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | 195.008 | 209.702 | 221.016 | 239.011 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {vib. }}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | 193.231 | 207.925 | 219.239 | 237.234 |
|  | $\mathrm{Cv}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | 65.326 | 67.578 | 65.587 | 71.020 |
|  | $\mathrm{Cv}_{\text {vib. }}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | 59.364 | 61.616 | 59.625 | 65.058 |
|  | S ( $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}$ ) | 127.649 | 129.016 | 123.927 | 130.310 |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {tr. }}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | 42.658 | 42.636 | 42.475 | 42.636 |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {rot. }}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | 33.485 | 33.488 | 33.112 | 33.376 |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {vib. }}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | 51.506 | 52.893 | 48.341 | 54.298 |
|  | $\mu$ (D) | 5.749 | 5.237 | 2.317 | 2.188 |
|  | $\alpha$ (au) | 240.414 | 257.498 | 258.785 | 274.042 |

"The abbreviations are $E_{\text {therm }}$, thermal energy (in kcal/mol); $C v$, heat capacity (in cal/mol K ); $S$, entropy (in cal/mol K ); $\mu$, dipole moment (in D); $\alpha$, polarizability (in au); $E_{\text {vib. }} C v_{\text {vib., }}$ and $S_{\text {vib. }}$ shows the vibrational contribution to the total thermal energy, total heat capacity, and absolute entropy".

Table 6. The stabilization energy lowering (in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) of compounds 3-6, at B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) in gas.

| Donor(i) | Acceptor (j) | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| П С7-C11 | П* C9-036 | 22.87 | - | - | - |
|  | П* $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13$ | 16.40 |  |  |  |
| П C7-C11 | П* C9-C15 | - | 16.64 | 16.79 | 16.83 |
|  | П* C12-C13 |  | 17.13 | 17.24 | 17.27 |
| П С9-C15 | П* C7-C11 | - | 13.15 | 12.88 | 12.97 |
| П С12-C13 | П* C7-C11 | 21.62 | - | - | - |
|  | П* C15-C17 | 19.28 |  |  |  |
|  | П* C16-C18 | 17.09 |  |  |  |
| П С12-C13 | П* C7-C11 | - | 19.64 | 19.34 | 19.29 |
|  | П* ${ }^{\text {C16-C18 }}$ |  | 19.67 | 19.92 | 19.86 |
|  | П* C17-C19 |  | 18.53 | 18.81 | 18.75 |
| П С15-C17 | П* C12-C13 | 16.62 | - | - | - |
|  | П* C16-C18 | 19.65 |  |  |  |
| П С16-C18 | П* C12-C13 | 20.02 | 17.16 | 16.99 | 16.89 |
|  | П* C17-C19 | 16.96 | 19.68 | 19.77 | 19.82 |
| П С17-C19 | П* C12-C13 | - | 19.79 | 19.58 | 19.57 |
|  | П* ${ }^{\text {C16-C18 }}$ |  | 17.43 | 17.31 | 17.22 |
| LP (1) N1 | П* C8-035 | 24.91 | - | - | - |
| LP (1) N1 | П* C8-038 | - | 23.98 | 1.32 | 1.21 |
| LP (1) N2 | П* C7-C11 | 36.84 | 36.81 | 35.80 | 36.20 |
|  | П* $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13$ | 39.29 | 31.88 | 35.97 | 36.11 |

Table 7. The chemical reactivity values of the compounds 3-6.

|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gas | H (-I) (eV) | -6.231 | -5.690 | -5.441 | -5.379 |
|  | L (-A) (eV) | -2.055 | -1.425 | -1.167 | -1.169 |
|  | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{eV})$ | 4.176 | 4.265 | 4.274 | 4.210 |
|  | $\chi(\mathrm{eV})$ | -4.143 | -3.558 | -3.304 | -3.274 |
|  | $\eta(\mathrm{eV})$ | 2.088 | 2.133 | 2.137 | 2.105 |
|  | $\omega(\mathrm{eV})$ | 0.151 | 0.109 | 0.094 | 0.094 |
|  | $\omega^{+}(\mathrm{au})$ | 0.085 | 0.053 | 0.043 | 0.043 |
|  | $\omega^{-}(\mathrm{au})$ | 0.237 | 0.184 | 0.164 | 0.163 |
|  | $\Delta \mathrm{N}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{eV})$ | 1.984 | 1.668 | 1.546 | 1.555 |
|  | $\Delta \varepsilon_{\text {back-donat. }}(\mathrm{eV})$ | -0.522 | -0.533 | -0.534 | -0.526 |
| DMSO | H (-I) (eV) | -6.163 | -5.658 | -5.495 | -5.449 |
|  | L (-A) (eV) | -2.041 | -1.369 | -1.206 | -1.215 |
|  | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{eV})$ | 4.121 | 4.289 | 4.289 | 4.234 |
|  | $\chi(\mathrm{eV})$ | -4.102 | -3.514 | -3.350 | -3.332 |
|  | $\eta(\mathrm{eV})$ | 2.061 | 2.145 | 2.145 | 2.117 |
|  | $\omega(\mathrm{eV})$ | 0.150 | 0.106 | 0.096 | 0.096 |
|  | $\omega^{+}(\mathrm{au})$ | 0.084 | 0.051 | 0.044 | 0.045 |
|  | $\omega^{-}(\mathrm{au})$ | 0.235 | 0.180 | 0.168 | 0.167 |
|  | $\Delta N_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{eV})$ | 1.990 | 1.638 | 1.562 | 1.574 |
|  | $\Delta \varepsilon_{\text {back-donat. }}(\mathrm{eV})$ | -0.515 | -0.536 | -0.536 | -0.529 |



Figure 2. HOMO\& LUMO (isoval:0.02), and MEP (isoval:0.0004) plots of the compounds 3-6 at B3LYP/6-311G** level in gas.

Serum albumin which is one of the main components of human blood is responsible for the transport of components such as nitric oxide, oleic and linoleic acids, thyroid and steroid hormones, and vitamin B6 [116]. Serum albumin is also a versatile carrier used for the transport of
therapeutic agents used in many diseases such as diabetes and cancer [117]. Therefore, analysis of the interactions with serum albumin is important for the pharmacokinetic evaluation of potentially bioactive molecules. The high binding ability of drug candidate molecules can be


Figure 3. Interaction residue (middle), and interaction type of the molecules (3-6) with AChE crystal structure (turquoise and green: H-bonds; fuchsia: pi-interactions; pink: alkylic interactions; pale green: van der Waals).
both an advantage and a disadvantage. While weak binding affinity caused problems with transportation, strong interactions prevent to release of the active molecule in the target tissue [118]. Therefore, the interaction of uleine-derived molecules with HSA was also analyzed by using molecular docking methods and the results were compared with the results of tacrine and galantamine (Table 8). All molecules and drugs interacted with nearly the same region of the protein. 4 achieved the highest binding affinity with the contribution of alkylic interactions alongside one H -bond, while $\mathbf{6}$, which had the lowest binding affinity, obtained two H-bonds with His146 and Gln 459 (Figure S7).

### 3.6. Drug-likeness study

The molecular properties, drug-likeness analyses' results and scores of compounds 3-6 calculated by molinspiration [91] and Molsoft [92] tools were summarized in Table 9. Accordingly, the TPSA "topological polar surface area" scores [119] in $\AA^{2}$ unit were calculated in order of 6 $(19.03)<5(27.82)<4(44.89)<3(61.96)$ whereas the molecular volume (in $\AA^{3}$ ) were determined in the following order 6 (265.86)> 4 (251.10)> 5 (248.98)> $\mathbf{3}$ (242.35). Also, the partition coefficient $\log P$ was determined as $6(4.28)>4(4.04)>5(3.36)>3(2.52)$, which

Table 8. Active site analysis of crystal structure of SarA and BSA with silver complexes (blue: H-bond, purple: pi-interactions, red: alkyl interactions, black: van der Waals interactions).

| Molecules | BA* | Amino Acids Residue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AChE |
| 3 | -8.27 | Ser203, Tyr337, Tyr124, Phe338, Trp86, Gly120, Gly121, Gly122, Tyr133, Glu202, Phe297, Gly448, Tyr449 |
| 4 | -8.46 | Gly122, Ser125, Gly122, Ser203, Trp86, Tyr124, His447, Tyr449, Asn87, Gly120, Gly121, Tyr133, Glu202 |
| 5 | -8.52 | Tyr124, Ser203, Tyr337, Trp86, Phe338, His447, Gly120, Gly121, Gly122, Tyr133, Glu202, Phe297 |
| 6 | -8.66 | Gly120, Tyr133, Tyr337, Trp86, His447, Gly121, Gly122, Tyr124, Ser125, Glu202, Ser203, Gly448 |
| tacrine | -6.63 | Tyr133, Glu202, Trp86, Tyr337, His447, Gly120, Gly121, Ser125, Gly126, Gly448, Ile451 |
| galantamine | -7.75 | Tyr124, Glu202, Ser203, Gly121, Trp86, Gly120, Gly122, Ser125, Phe297, Tyr337, Phe338, His447 |
| BuChE |  |  |
| 3 | -7.89 | Trp82, Trp430, Tyr440, Phe329, Ala328, Tyr332, Asp70, Gly78, Glu197, His438, Gly439 |
| 4 | -8.29 | Trp82, Trp430, Tyr440, Phe329, Ala328, Tyr332, Asp70, Gly78, Glu197, His438, Gly439 |
| 5 | -8.04 | His438, Trp82, Phe329, Ala328, Tyr332, Asp70, Tyr128, Glu197, Trp430, Met437, Gly439, Tyr440 |
| 6 | -8.15 | His438, Trp82, Ala328, Tyr332, Trp430, Met437, Tyr440, Asp70, Ser79, Glu197, Phe329, Gly439 |
| tacrine | -6.44 | Gly115, Tyr128, Thr120, Trp82, His438, Gln97, Tyr114, Gly116, Gly121, Leu125, Glu197, Ile442 |
| galantamine | -7.10 | Glu197, Tyr440, Trp82, His438, Ala328, Trp430, Gly78, Tyr332, Phe329, Met437, Gly439, Ile442 |
| HSA |  |  |
| 3 | -7.38 | Ser193, His146, Lys190, Ala194, Arg197, Val462, Asp108, Arg145, Pro147, Tyr148, Phe149, Gln459 |
| 4 | -7.48 | Ser193, His146, Pro147, Lys190, Ala194, Arg197, Val462, Asp108, Arg145, Tyr148, Phe149, Gln 459 |
| 5 | -6.85 | Gln459, Ala194, Lys190, Arg197, Val462, Asp108, His146, Tyr148, Ser193, Leu463 |
| 6 | -6.51 | His146, Gln459, Lys190, Ala194, Arg197, Val462, Asp108, Arg145, Ser193, Glu425, Leu463 |
| tacrine | -6.90 | Tyr133, Glu202, Trp86, Tyr337, His447, Gly120, Gly121, Ser125, Gly126, Gly448, Ile451 |
| galantamine | -6.78 | His146, Ser193, Glu425, Ala194, Asp108, Arg145, Pro147, Tyr148, Lys190, Arg197, Gln459, Leu463 |

Table 9. Molecular properties, bioactivity and drug-likeness model scores.

|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| miLogP | 2.52 | 3.36 | 4.04 | 4.28 |
| TPSA $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | 61.96 | 44.89 | 27.82 | 19.03 |
| natoms | 20 | 20 | 19 | 20 |
| Molecular weight | 268.32 | 266.34 | 252.36 | 266.39 |
| nHBA | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| nHBD | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| nviolation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| nrotb | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Molecular volume $\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 242.35 | 251.10 | 248.92 | 265.86 |
| GPCR ligand | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.36 |
| Ion channel modulator | -0.27 | -0.07 | 0.27 | 0.34 |
| Kinase inhibitor | -0.59 | -0.46 | -0.38 | -0.26 |
| Nuclear receptor ligand | -0.61 | -0.20 | -0.12 | -0.08 |
| Protease inhibitor | -0.17 | -0.08 | -0.18 | -0.12 |
| Enzyme inhibitor | -0.32 | -0.08 | -0.00 | 0.01 |
| Drug-likeness model score | 0.02 | -0.30 | -0.24 | 0.18 |

displayed that compound 6 could be a more lipophilic character than those of the others and thus could be absorbed at a higher rate and faster. The numbers of the HBA "H-Bond acceptor" and HBA "H-Bond donor" atoms (nitrogens and oxygens) were calculated as $\mathbf{6}(2)=\mathbf{5}(2)<\mathbf{4}(3)<$ $\mathbf{3}(4)$ and as $\mathbf{6}(1)<\mathbf{5}(2)=\mathbf{4}(2)=\mathbf{3}(2)$, respectively. Considering the violation score of all compounds calculated as 0 (zero), all compounds can be a candidate for bio-pharmacological purposes because of agreeing with the Lipinski rules of 5 [120]. From Table 9, all compounds have certain activity scores for GPCR ligands, but compound 6 has the highest one. Namely, the biological activity scores of compounds against GPCR ligand were calculated as $\mathbf{6}(0.36)>5(0.25)>4(0.19)>\mathbf{3}(0.09)$. Also, ion channel modulator scores for compounds $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ were calculated as 0.36 and 0.25 , respectively, whereas this score for compounds 3 and 4 was calculated as -0.27 and -0.07 . Considering the calculated molecular properties, drug-likeness and bioactivity scores, compound 6 can be said to be able to a promising candidate in future drug exploration.

## 4. Conclusions

As a result, the effectiveness of this route has been demonstrated by the efficient short synthesis of $( \pm)$-noruleine and ( $\pm$ )-uleine. This methodology was extended to other alkaloids and the broad family of natural products. This system is the first example of a one-pot construction of the tetracyclic 12 -ethyl-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexahydro-1,5-methanoazocino [4,3-b]indole-3,6-dione (3) using TFB starting with 2-(3-ethyl-1-oxo-2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1H-carbazol-2-yl)acetonitrile 1. Especially, Ulein structure increases the importance of this study in AIDS and many similar medicinal applications. In this work, the synthesized molecules were charaterized by spectroscopic tools and they were compared with the calculated ones that were performed at B3LYP/6$311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level and confirmed both structurally and spectroscopically. The results of the second-order perturbation energy analyses implied that the resonance interactions are mainly responsible for the lowering of the stabilization energy of the compound studied. AChE and BuChE inhibition activities of uleine derivative molecules were analyzed by molecular docking methods. All of the molecules had stronger interactions with approximately the same region of the enzymes than tacrine and galantamine. These results may give a conclusion that the studied and similar molecules may be candidates for choline esterase inhibitors. Additionally, the interaction results of molecules with HSA show both regional and energetic similarities with the results of tacrine and galantamine. The bioactivity and drug-likeness scores implied that compound 6 can be used for further drug-design studies more than the other molecules.
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