DETERMINATION OF DRYING BEHAVIOUR IN INDUSTRIAL TYPE CONVECTIONAL DRYER AND MATHEMATICAL MODELLING

by

Ahmet Erhan $AKAN^{a*}$ and Derya Burcu $OZKAN^{b}$

^a Corlu Vocational School, Department of Machinery, Namik Kemal University, Tekirdag, Turkey ^b Mechanical Engineering Department, Yildiz Teknik University, Istanbul, Turkey

> Original scientific paper https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI180315244A

In this study, the performance of a stenter (ram machine) that enables the drying of textile products with hot air is theoretically modelled with a diffusion model derived from Fick's second law. The experimental study was conducted in a 10 chamber stenter with three different drying temperatures (110-130-150 °C) and three different fabric speeds (10-20-30 m per minute.) by using a fabric consisting of 95% cotton + 5% lycra. The drying behaviour of the dryer was determined by utilizing the data obtained from the studies. With the help of the utilized model, the values of diffusion coefficients and activation energies were obtained, the conformity of data between the model and the experimental studies were compared by using regression analysis, it was observed that the R^2 value varied between 0.9812 and 0.9961.

Key words: drying, mathematical modelling, stenter, diffusion model, heat and mass transfer

Introduction

In order to obtain processes that use energy more efficiently, it is very important to develop drying processes that will minimize the duration of drying with minimum energy consumption without deteriorating the quality and structure of the material, and to improve drying methods in this regard [1].

Stenters (ram machines) are the most widely used convection drying machines in the textile industry that do not damage the structure of the product during drying as well as being able to adjust the desired width/length ratio. Stenters are drying machines in which fabrics are fixed inside the machine in a horizontal way by means of pallets and the movement of the fabric is enabled through chains while hot air is blown [2]. There are various conducted studies in the literature regarding the mathematical modelling of textile drying processes. According to the conducted studies;

Baxi *et al.* [3] modified the semi-empirical model based on mass and moisture balancing equations between vegetables and drying air in a MATLAB-Simulink environment for rotary type dryers and developed it. They then compared these with stenters [3]. Ghali *et al.*, [4] presented a numerical model in order to simulate the heat and mass transfer during the drying process of fabrics. The model was applied on two different types of fabric, cotton and polypropylene. The model they developed shows that water creates two different heat regions when passing through fabric samples. Sousa *et al.*, [5] aimed to determine the drying charac-

^{*} Corresponding author, e-mail: aeakan@nku.edu.tr

teristics of the raw cotton fabric drying process with two different perspectives. They proved that a higher evaporation rate could be achieved resulting in decreased drying time through increasing the drying temperature by controlling the heat and mass transfer in the drying process. Park and Baik [6] analysed the heat and mass transfer of a fabric dried in a ram machine. They have solved the temperature and moisture content distribution by using the finite elements method. They also explained the effects of operating parameters such as moisture, temperature and initial temperature alongside heat and mass transfer coefficients of the fabric in the stenter. Etemoglu et al. [7] conducted a theoretical and experimental analysis of drying fabric over a constantly moving straight layer. They developed a mathematical model for heat and mass transfer analysis of fabric in a ram air jet dryer. In the model, they acknowledged that the fabric has a porous medium while the duration of drying, and the vapour pressure of the liquid evaporated on the drying surface remained at a nearly saturated value corresponding to the temperature of the liquid. Johann et al. [8] developed a model that reflected the convective drying processes of textile materials. For the mathematical modelling, they made use of mass and energy balances using the finite differences method in Cartesian co-ordinates. They stated that the R^2 value of the model they obtained in statistical analysis by using the Shapiro-Wilk test was over 0.997. Alnak and Karabulut [9] investigated the heat and mass transfer in the jet drying by using moist objects which have different geometric shapes of straight and reverse semi-circular. In the calculations performed with four different Reynolds numbers, they used the finite volume method to solve momentum and energy equations. As a result, they found that increasing values of the number of Reynolds showed a favourable effect on heat and mass transfer.

Material and method

Experimental set-up

The experimental study was carried out in a 10 chamber stenter, figs. 1 and 2, installed in a textile manufacturing plant. Fabrics preferred in the experiments were the fabrics used in the production process of the enterprise and the obtained results consist of data from actual production conditions. In the stenter, each chamber consisted of one 350 kW automatically controlled burner and four 4 kW cross positioned automatically controlled fans. The chambers have a length of 3 m and the drying air temperature can be adjusted between 100-200 °C.



Figure 1. A photo related to stenter overview



Figure 2. A photo related to foulard section and mechanical drying

Properties of the fabric used in the studies

The fabric used in the studies is a fabric of 95% cotton and 5% lycra with a 30/1 compact supreme lattice structure. After being kept in the drying oven for 24 hours under standard atmospheric conditions (20 °C, 65% RH), 5 samples of 100 cm² were taken and weighed with a precision scale and the dry weight of the fabric was found to be 133 g/m² after taking their arithmetic average. The thickness of the fabric was determined to be 0.77 mm.

Experimental procedure and data obtained

Before being taken to the drying chambers, fabrics used in the studies were subjected to a pre-drying process between the wringers after the washing operation in the foulard section. The RH and surface temperature of the pre-dried fabric, before being sent to the first chamber, was measured to be 60% and 35°, respectively. The studies were conducted in three different drying air temperatures as 110, 130, and 150 °C and three different fabric speeds as 0.167, 0.333, and 0.500 m/s. It was determined that the temperature and RH of the environment in which the studies were conducted were 27.6 °C and 60%, respectively. The exit velocity and flow rate of the drying air coming out from the air outlets found on the eight pairs of nozzles in each chamber were measured. Accordingly, the average air speed and flow-rate for drying air of 110 °C was found to be 21.85 m/s and 0.194 kg/s, for drying air of 130 °C the air speed was 24.45 m/s and flow-rate was 0.206 kg/s, whereas for drying air of 150 °C the air speed was 33.4 m/s and the flow-rate was 0.268 kg/s. The surface temperatures of the fabric in the chamber entry and exit points during the drying process as well as the RH and temperature in the boundary layer were measured by using the measurement devices provided in tab. 4, figs. 3 and 4, and the obtained values are shown in tabs. 1-3. At the end of the drying process, the relative humidity values of the fabric released from the dryer were measured with the help of fabric RH measurement device and experimental moisture loss of the fabric was obtained. These values have compared with the moisture loss values obtained as a result of calculations and they are presented in tab. 5.

Method

The assumptions made in the calculations

- It has been assumed that the liquid properties are stable, the porous medium is saturated, and that the dryer is adiabatic.



Figure 3. Placement of probes on the fabric



Figure 4. Thermal camera view of fabric inside the chamber

Table 1. Experimenta	l data obtained	l at feed rate of 0.167 m	/s
----------------------	-----------------	---------------------------	----

Falssia	Drying a temperatu	ir 1re		110 °C			130 °C			150 °C	
velocity [ms ⁻¹]	Chamber	Time [s]	Fabric surface temperature [°C]	Moist air relative humidity [% RH]	Moist air temperature [°C]	Fabric surface temperature [°C]	Moist air relative humidity [% RH]	Moist air temperature [°C]	Fabric surface temperature [°C]	Moist air relative humidity [% RH]	Moist air temperature [°C]
	Inlet	-	35	-	-	35	-	_	35	-	-
	1. Chamber	18	58	66,3	57	74	68.3	56	74	72	52
	2. Chamber	36	70	49.6	59	84	51	58	82	54.5	53
	3. Chamber	54	85	36.3	62	110	37	61	112	41.7	55
	4. Chamber	72	91	26.2	65	118	27.6	63	120	30	58
0.167	5. Chamber	90	96	16.6.	71	122	18.1	68	132	21.2	61
0.107	6. Chamber	108	100	12.3	73	122	13.6	70	139	15	65
	7. Chamber	126	102	8.2	79	123	9.6	74	142	10.8	69
	8. Chamber	144	102	7.2	81	124	8.1	77	143	8.7	73
	9. Chamber	162	103	6.4	83	125	7	79	144	7.6	75
	10. Chamber	180	100	6.3	82	119	6.9	79	142	7	76
	Outlet	-	68	-	-	93	-	-	111	-	_

Table 2. Experimental data obtained at feed rate of 0.333 m/s

	Drying a temperatu	ir 1re		110 °C			130 °C			150 °C	
Fabric velocity [ms ⁻¹]	Chamber	Time [s]	Fabric surface temperature [°C]	Moist air relative humidity [% RH]	Moist air temperature [°C]	Fabric surface temperature [°C]	Moist air relative humidity [% RH]	Moist air temperature [°C]	Fabric surface temperature [°C]	Moist air relative humidity [% RH]	Moist air temperature [°C]
	Inlet	-	35	-	-	35	-	-	35	-	_
	1. Chamber	9	58	60.4	70	67	62.2	69	73	67.2	64
	2. Chamber	18	67	45	72	78	46.3	71	79	48.1	66
	3. Chamber	27	71	32.5	75	82	33	74	84	34.8	69
	4. Chamber	36	77	23.8	77	96	25.5	5	93	26.6	69
0 222	5. Chamber	45	84	15.1	82	107	16.5	79	125	18.9	72
0.555	6. Chamber	54	86	11.6	83	111	12.8.	80	133	13.4	75
	7. Chamber	63	98	7.9	88	116	8.9	84	138	10.2	77
	8. Chamber	72	102	6.9	90	120	7.3	87	141	8.5	80
	9. Chamber	81	103	6.4	91	124	6	90	142	7	83
	10.Chamber	90	102	6.2	91	119	5.7	91	135	5.8	86
	Outlet	-	85	-	-	100	-	-	113	-	-

Table 3. Experimental data obtained at feed rate of 0.500 m/s

Eshuis	Drying a temperatu	ir ire		110 °C			130 °C			150 °C	
velocity [ms ⁻¹]	Chamber	Time [s]	Fabric surface temperature [°C]	Moist air relative humidity [% RH]	Moist air temperature [°C]	Fabric surface temperature [°C]	Moist air relative humidity [% RH]	Moist air temperature [°C]	Fabric surface temperature [°C]	Moist air relative humidity [% RH]	Moist air temperature [°C]
	Inlet	-	35	-	_	35	_	—	35	-	-
	1. Chamber	6	56	56.8	78	64	58.5	77	72	62.9	72
	2. Chamber	12	65	44.1.	79	72	45.7	78	76	45.6	74
	3. Chamber	18	68	30.6	83	77	32.9	80	80	34.1	75
	4. Chamber	24	72	21.3	86	88	21.6	85	87	23.1	77
0.500	5. Chamber	30	81	14.4	90	97	14.6	88	103	16.5	80
0.500	6. Chamber	36	86	10.2	93	105	10.9	90	118	11.5	84
	7. Chamber	42	96	6.9	99	113	8.1	93	128	9.4	85
	8. Chamber	48	102	6.1	100	118	6.5	97	140	7.4	89
	9. Chamber	54	103	5.7	101	123	5.5	100	141	6.5	91
	10.Chamber	60	94	5.5	101	115	5.1	102	132	5.2	95
	Outlet	_	89	_	_	112	_	_	120	_	_

1939

- As the drying air coming into contact with the fabric is constantly renewed, the moisture and temperature of the drying air were not affected by the temperature and moisture change in the fabric.
- It has been assumed that the porous material is homogeneous and rigid and that the fabric moving in the dryer is considered as a flat plate.
- Simultaneous heat and mass transfer have been considered in the calculations.

Table 4. Measurement devices and sensitivity

Measurement device	Sensitivity
Testo 350M/XL, Portable gas analyser (Air velocity measurement)	5%
Testo 870-2 thermal camera	±2% °C
Hygro Faster Ekv (Fabric moisture measurement)	0.8%
Delta Ohm HD 2301 (Air moisture measurement)	±0.1%RH
Digitron ThermaPro 2 data logger (<i>K</i> -type probe, Fabric surface temperature)	0.5%
Desis THB 600 Precision scales	0.01g

Table 3. Fabile feative number values at upver mile and outle	Table 5.	Fabric	relative	humidity	values	at drvei	· inlet and	outlet
---	----------	--------	----------	----------	--------	----------	-------------	--------

Drying air temperature	Fabric speed [ms ⁻¹]	Fabric inlet relative humidity [%]	Experimental fabric outlet relative avg. humidity [%]	Theoretical fabric outlet relative avg. humidity [%]
	0.167	60	6.42	6.20
110 °C	0.333	60	10.85	10.40
	0.500	60	13.35	13.00
	0.167	60	4.96	4.70
130 °C	0.333	60	8.62	8.30
	0.500	60	10.95	11.30
	0.167	60	3.27	3.00
150 °C	0.333	60	5.96	5.80
	0.500	60	9.30	9.90

Heat and mass transfer

A drying process is an event consisting of simultaneous heat and mass transfer and solving such problems requires the consideration of simultaneous heat and mass transfers [10]. As this study consists of drying under high-speed ramjets, the Chilton-Colburn similarity eq. (1), $(Pr \neq Sc \neq 1)$ has been used:

$$h = \rho c_p h_m \,\mathrm{Le}^{2/3} \tag{1}$$

The temperature of the film that is created during the drying process between the drying air and the fabric was determined using eq. (2) and dimensionless numbers were reached by using the thermodynamic properties (ρ , C_p , k, α , D_{AB} , μ , ν , Pr) of air at this temperature. Thermodynamic properties of air at film temperature are given in *Appendix 1*:

$$T_{\rm f} = \frac{T_{\rm da} + T_{\rm fs}}{2} \tag{2}$$

In determining the flow type, Reynolds number was analyzed on the straight plate eq. (3). Calculated Reynolds numbers are higher than the critical value and flow over the entire plate was considered as turbulent:

$$\operatorname{Re} = \frac{VL_{\rm c}}{v} > 5 \cdot 10^5 \tag{3}$$

Developed average Nusselt and Sherwood numbers regarding turbulent flow for forced external convection over a straight plate $(5 \cdot 10^5 < \text{Re} < 10^7)$ as well as heat and mass convection coefficients were determined from eqs. (4) and (5):

Nu = 0.037 Re_L^{0.8} Pr^{1/3} =
$$\frac{hL}{k}$$
 (4)

$$Sh = 0.037 \operatorname{Re}_{L}^{0.8} Sc^{1/3} = \frac{h_m L}{D_{AB}}$$
(5)

Determination of theoretical evaporation amount

There are a total of eight pairs (bottom + top) of nozzle series which consists of 105 nozzles ($\emptyset = 7$ mm), fig. 5, in each chamber. The amount of moisture removed from the fabric is calculated by assuming it is equal to the amount of moisture that can be removed by the dry air blown on to the fabric from the nozzles for the duration in which the fabric remained within the chambers. Therefore the drying air-flow rate for each nozzle series is calculated and the evaporation amount was determined by using moist air specific humidity and drying air specific humidity values eqs. (6)-(8). Additionally, in the fig. 6, schematic representation of measuring points of nozzles in each chamber is presented.



Figure 5. Location of nozzle series

Drying air-flow rate for a single nozzle:

$$\dot{n}_{a,\text{single}} = \rho V A_{\text{nozzle}} \tag{6}$$

points of nozzles in each chamber

Drying air-flow rate for nozzle series:

$$\dot{m}_{\text{series}} = 210 \dot{m}_{\text{a,single}} \tag{7}$$

Evaporation amount:

$$M_{\rm e} = \dot{m}_{\rm a, series} (\omega_{\rm moist \ air} - \omega_{\rm drying \ air}) t \tag{8}$$

Determination of drying behaviour

The humidity of a wet material is the ratio of the moisture mass within the material to the total mass of the material and is called the wet basis moisture content [1]:

$$X_{\rm w} = \frac{m_m}{m_d} \tag{9}$$

1941

where $X_{\rm w}$ [kg moisture(kg wet material)⁻¹] is the wet basis moisture content of the material, $m_{\rm w}$ [kg] – the water mass within the material, $m_{\rm d}$ [kg] – the mass of the wet material.

The drying rate expresses the amount of moisture being removed from the fabric within a duration of Δt , and is calculated by the following formula [11]:

$$\frac{\Delta M}{\Delta t} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{M_{t+\Delta t} - M_t}{\Delta_t}$$
(10)

Here, $\Delta M / \Delta t$ [kg water (kg dry material × second)⁻¹], expresses the drying rate, $M_{t+\Delta}$ [kg water(kg dry material)⁻¹] expresses the moisture content at time $t+\Delta t$, M_t [kg water(kg dry material)⁻¹] expresses the moisture content at time t, and Δt [s] express time.

Modelling

The diffusion model was used in the determination of drying behaviour. In this model, it is assumed that the removable moisture found within the material to be dried is transferred to the surface through diffusion and that it dissipates from the surface in a vapour state after interacting with the air. In the model, it is assumed that the effects of other drying mechanism are within the diffusion coefficient. Thus the diffusion coefficient in the model takes the form of an effective diffusion coefficient [12]. The diffusion model is based on the solution of Fick's 2nd law. The isotropic diffusion in the 1-D mass transfer during the drying of different materials is expressed through Fick's 2nd law as given [13]:

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial t} = D_{\text{eff}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial x^2} + \frac{k \partial M}{x \partial x} \right)$$
(11)

where *M* is moisture content, t [s] – the time, $D_{\text{eff}} [m^2 s^{-1}]$ – the effective diffusion coefficient, for flat plates k = 0, for cylinders k = 1 and for spheres k = 2 [14]:

$$MR = \frac{M - M_{\rm e}}{M_0 - M_{\rm e}} = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)} \exp\left[-\frac{(2n-1)^2 \pi^2 D_{\rm eff} t}{4L^2}\right]$$
(12)

Here, MR is dimensionless humidity rate, M_e – the equilibrium moisture content, L – the plate thickness. As drying takes place on bottom and top parallel surfaces, L is taken as half of the thickness of the layer. When eq. (12) is applied to materials that dry in long periods such as porous fabrics, the fabric is accepted to be an infinitely thin plate and only the first term on the right side of the equation is taken into consideration. Thus eq. (13) is obtained:

$$MR = \frac{M - M_{\rm e}}{M_0 - M_{\rm e}} = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \exp\left[-\frac{\pi^2 D_{\rm eff} t}{4L^2}\right]$$
(13)

The resistance to surface transfer of water vapour is very low in diffusion type drying. Drying rate depends on the diffusion within the material. In this case, the surface moisture content is equal to the equilibrium moisture value $M_{\rm e}$. This means that the surface moisture content is equal to zero [15].

By taking the equilibrium moisture content M_e to be zero, the dimensionless humidity rate MR provided in eq. (13) can be reduced to the form:

$$MR = \frac{M}{M_0} = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \exp\left[-\frac{\pi^2 D_{\rm eff} t}{4L^2}\right]$$
(14)

The value of the effective diffusion coefficient can be found by converting the expression given in eq. (14) to a logarithmic form, eq. (15), and calculating the slope of the straight line obtained in the graph in which the ln(MR) value is plotted against the drying time:

$$\ln MR = \ln \frac{8}{\pi^2} - \frac{\pi^2 D_{\rm eff} t}{4L^2}$$
(15)

Here, t [s] is the drying time and L [m] – the fabric thickness. In the calculations, half of the fabric thickness was taken, since the drying air was blown from the top and the bottom of the fabric.

In determining of activation energy, the change in effective diffusion coefficient with temperature is explained by an Arrhenius type exponential function [16]:

$$D_{\rm eff} = D_0 \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{RT}\right) \tag{16}$$

where D_{eff} [m²s⁻¹] is the effective diffusion coefficient, D_0 [m²s⁻¹] – the constant that is equal to diffusivity at infinite temperature, E_a [kJmol⁻¹] – the activation energy, R – the universal gas constant (8.314 kJ/molK), T [K] – the absolute drying temperature. The slope of the effective diffusion coefficient – temperature graph gives the activation energy [13].

Regression analyses

Microsoft-Excel program was used for the regression analyses. The regression coefficient, R^2 , was taken as main criteria in the selection of the equation identifying the drying curves. In addition to the regression coefficient, for the conformity of the utilized model, the values of the mean square deviation chi-square, χ^2 , standard error of estimate, *RMSE* and correlation coefficient, *r*, were also investigated. The mean square deviation values are calculated by using the eq. (17):

$$\chi^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} \left(mr_{\text{pre},i} - mr_{\text{exp},i} \right)^{2}}{n_{0} - n_{c}}$$
(17)

Hereby, $mr_{\text{pre},i}$, estimated moisture ratio, $mr_{\text{exp},i}$, the experimental moisture ratio, n_o , number of measurements, n_c expresses the number of parameters in the drying equation nc. Equation (18) is used for standard error of the estimate:

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \left(mr_{\text{pre},i} - mr_{\text{exp},i}\right)^2}{n_0}}$$
(18)

Equation (19) is used for the correlation coefficient calculation.

$$r = \frac{n_0 \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} mr_{\text{pre},i} mr_{\text{exp},i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} mr_{\text{pre},i} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} mr_{\text{exp},i}}{\sqrt{n_0 \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \left(mr_{\text{pre},i}\right)^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} mr_{\text{pre},i}\right)^2} - \sqrt{n_0 \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \left(mr_{\text{exp},i}\right)^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} mr_{\text{exp},i}\right)^2}$$
(19)

Results and Discussion

The effect of drying conditions on fabric surface temperature

The change over time in the fabric surface temperature with drying air temperature and fabric movement speed of the drying process can be seen in fig. 7. According to this,

it is seen that the surface temperature of 35 °C of the fabric that enters the dryer, depending on the moisture content of the fabric surface, is unable to exceed 84 °C within the first two chambers. Fabric surface temperature, in the later stages of drying especially after chamber sixth, nears the drying air temperature with the effect of the reduced moisture content. Additionally, the decline in the surface temperature of the fabric surface by 9-14 °C between chamber 9 and chamber 10 is due to the heat transfer caused by the opening of the dryer outlet.



Figure 7. Change in the fabric surface temperature over time

The effect of drying conditions on heat and mass transfer coefficients

When the heat and mass convection coefficients in figs. 8 and 9 are examined, it is seen for the same fabric speed that the heat convection and mass convection coefficients at



Figure 8. Change of convection heat transfer coefficient over time according to drying conditions



Figure 9. Change of mass transfer coefficient over time according to drying conditions

110 and 130 °C drying air temperature are almost equal, whereas these values increase at the drying air temperature of 150 °C. In parallel to the amount of moisture in the fabric surface, as the fabric surface temperature increases, heat and mass transfer coefficients increase. When the fabric surface temperature approaches the drying air temperature, it is seen that the convection heat transfer coefficient decreases and the mass transfer coefficient remains constant depending on the fabric advancing speed.

The effect of drying conditions on humidity rate and drying rate

From fig. 10, it is seen that the drying rate is highest at $150 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} - 0.167 \text{ m/s}$ and lowest at $110 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} - 0.500 \text{ m/s}$ drying conditions. The rate of drying is increasing depends on the amount



Figure 10. Change in drying rate – humidity rate

of moisture present on the fabric surface, especially in the first three cabinets. In the later stages of drying, moisture in the fabric is transported to the surface via diffusion and thus evaporated, therefore the drying speed and humidity in the final cabin are about the same. Additionally, at the same fabric feed rate, the drying speed increases with increasing drying air temperature this is due to the fact that the water in the fabric reaching high temperature shows more vapour pressure.

Effective diffusion coefficient and activation energy

In the measurements prior to initiating the drying process, the wet fabric weight was measured as 338 g/m^2 and initial moisture,

 M_o , was determined to be 0.915 kg. Equation (15) was used to determine the effective diffusion coefficient. After the calculation of the $\ln MR = \ln(M/M_o)$ values, the effective diffusion coefficients found for each case are shown in tab. 6, respectively.

Fabric velocity [ms ⁻¹]	Drying air temperature [K]	1/T [K ⁻¹]	Effective diffusion coefficient $D_{\rm eff} [m^2 s^{-1}]$	$\ln[D_{\rm eff}]$
	383	0.00261	$1.009 \times x \ 10^{-9}$	-20.714
0.167	403	0.00248	1.087×10^{-9}	-20.640
	423	0.00236	1.238×10^{-9}	-20.510
	383	0.00261	1.676×10^{-9}	-20.207
0.333	403	0.00248	1.826×10^{-9}	-20.121
	423	0.00236	2.091×10^{-9}	-19.986
	383	0.00261	2.247×10^{-9}	-19.914
0.500	403	0.00248	2.397×10^{-9}	-19.849
	423	0.00236	2.547×10^{-9}	-19.788

Table 6. Effective diffusion coefficients

Activation energy values are calculated by the formula presented in eq. (16) with the help of the graph, fig. 11, that plots $\ln(D_{\text{eff}})$ and the reverse of absolute temperature for three different drying air temperatures having the same fabric speed. According to this, activa-

tion energy values for fabric speeds of 0.167, 0.333, 0.500 m/s are found to be 6.85, 7.42, and 4.22 kJ/mol, respectively. The increase in effective diffusion coefficient values with increasing drying air temperature can be explained by the easier evaporation of moisture within the products and increase in the drying rate. It is understood from the decreasing activation energy that more moisture diffusion occurs in the drying process with increasing fabric speed.



Regression analyses

The regression analysis values calculated for the model are presented in tab. 7. In model conformity, high R^2 and r values and low χ^2 and

Figure 11 Effect of temperature on effective diffusion coefficient

RMSE values are considered. As it can be seen, for all drying conditions the estimated R^2 values are between 0.9812 and 0.9961, χ^2 values are between 0.00109 and 0.00646, *RMSE* values are between 0.0109 and 0.0289, and *r* values are between 0.9905 and 0.9980. These values show that the use of the diffusion model as a drying model for the stenter is appropriate.

The change over time in the instantaneous moisture content obtained from the diffusion model and instantaneous moisture content obtained from the studies for all drying conditions have been given in fig. 12.

Fabric velocity [ms ⁻¹]	Drying air temperature [K]	<i>R</i> ²	χ ²	RMSE	r
	383	0.9881	0.00430	0.0211	0.9940
0.667	403	0.9869	0.00456	0.0228	0.9934
	423	0.9812	0.00646	0.0284	0.9905
	383	0.9941	0.00203	0.0134	0.9970
0.333	403	0.9937	0.00214	0.0146	0.9968
	423	0.9912	0.00322	0.0183	0.9955
	383	0.9949	0.00148	0.0116	0.9974
0.500	403	0.9951	0.00139	0.0120	0.9975
	423	0.9961	0.00109	0.0109	0.9980

Table 7. Regression statistics

Conclusions

In this study, the drying behaviour of a stenter frequently used in the textile industry for drying fabric is determined and modeled. According to the results as follows.

• For all drying conditions, the surface temperature of the fabric that enters the dryer, depending on the moisture content of the fabric surface, is unable to exceed 84 °C within the first two chambers, and it gets closer to the drying air temperature towards the final chambers due to the reduction in moisture content. It has been observed that the surface temperature of the fabric becomes closer to the drying air temperature in the following stages of the drying process, especially after the 6th cabin, when the amount of free moisture on the surface has disappeared (beginning of the drying phase by diffusion),

Akan, A. E., et al.: Determination of Drying Behaviour in Industrial Type Convectional Dryer ... THERMAL SCIENCE: Year 2020, Vol. 24, No. 3B, pp. 1935-1950



Figure 12. Change over time in model and experimental wet basis moisture content for all drying conditions

- In parallel to the moisture content in the fabric surface, the heat and mass transfer coefficients increase as the fabric surface temperatures increase, while as fabric surface temperature begins to draw closer to the drying air temperature, the convection heat transfer coefficient decreases depending on the fabric speed and the mass transfer coefficient remains the same,
- Drying rate, depending on the moisture content of the fabric surface, is especially higher in the first three chambers in comparison to the other chambers. When the drying air temperature is increased, the amount of evaporation increases. The highest evaporation was obtained at high temperature, at low feed rate. In the variation of the evaporation amount, the drying air temperature was found to be more effective than the fabric speed rate.
- With increasing drying air temperature, the drying speed and the effective diffusion coefficient values increased, and with increasing fabric speed, more moisture diffusing occurred in the drying process, and as a result, the activation energy lowered.
- When the regression statistics are examined, based on the R^2 values ranging between 0.9812 and 0.9961, it is concluded that the use of the diffusion model for the modelling of the drying process in stenters is quite appropriate.

Nomenclature

- specific heat, [kJkg⁻¹K⁻¹] $C_p \\ D_{AB}$
- mass diffusion coefficient, [m²s⁻¹] _
- Ø _ diameter [m]
- h convection heat transfer coefficient, $[Wm^{-2}K^{-1}]$
- h_m convection mass transfer coefficient, [ms⁻¹]
- k thermal conductivity, [Wm⁻¹K⁻¹] fabric length, [m]
- L
- characteristic length, [m] L_{c} Le
- Lewis number, $(= \alpha/D_{AB})$, [-]М
- humidity, [kg]
- MR humidity rate, [mwater mwet⁻¹]
- mass flow, [kgs⁻¹] ṁ

- Nusselt number, (= hL/k), [-]Nu _
- Ppreassure of gas, [Pa]
- Pr Prandtl number, $(=\mu c_n/k)$, [-] _
- P_{ν} preassure of water vapour, [Pa] R
 - gas constant, [kJkg⁻¹K⁻¹]
- R^2 regression coefficient
- relative humidity, $(= P_v/P_g \text{ at } T)$ RH
- Reynolds number, $(=\rho V L c/\mu)$, [-]Re
- Sc Schimdt number
- Sherwood number, $(= h_m L/D_{AB}]$, [-] Sh
- Т - temperature, [°C or K]
- _ time, [s] t
- Vvelocity, [ms-1]

Akan, A. E., *et al.*: Determination of Drying Behaviour in Industrial Type Convectional Dryer ... THERMAL SCIENCE: Year 2020, Vol. 24, No. 3B, pp. 1935-1950

Greek characters α – thermal diffusion [m ² s ⁻¹] μ – dynamic viscosity [kgm ⁻¹ s ⁻¹] ν – kinematic viscosity [m ² s ⁻¹]	d e f s g		dry equilibrium fabric surface gas
ρ – density [kgm ⁻³] Subscript	g v w	-	gas vapor water/wet

- a air c – characteristic

References

- Akyol, U., Theoretical Investigation of Drying of Yarn Coils (in Turkish), Ph. D. thesis, Trakya University, Institute of Science and Technology, Edirne, Turkey, 2007
- [2] Sekkeli, M, et al., Use of SCADA Software in Textile Factory Paint Plant for Heat Recovery Automation and Application (in Turkish), Proceedings, V. Automation Symposium, Izmir, Turkey, 2009
- [3] Baxi, H., et al., Modelling and Simulation of Dryer System, International Conference on Industrial Instrumentation and Control (ICIC), Proceedings, College of Engineering Pune, Pune, India, 2015
- Ghali, K., et al., Modeling Heat and Mass Transfer in Fabrics, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 38 (1995), 1, pp. 13-21
- [5] Sousa, L. H. C. D., et al., Analysis of Drying Kinetics and Moisture Distribution in Convective Textile Fabric Drying., Drying Technology, 24 (2006), 4, pp. 485-497
- [6] Park, I. S., Baik, D. H., Heat and Mass Transfer Analysis of Fabric in the Tenter Frame, *Textile Research Journal*, 67 (1997), 5, pp. 311-316
- [7] Etemoglu, A. B., et al., Mathematical Modelling of Combined Diffusion of Heat and Mass Transfer Through Fabrics, Fibers and Polymers, 10, (2009), 2, pp. 252-259
- Johann, G., et al., Mathematical Modeling of a Convective Textile Drying Process, Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering, 31, (2014), 4, pp. 959-965
- [9] Alnak, D. E., Karabulut, K., Analysis of Heat and Mass Transfer of The Different Mois Object Geometries with Air Slot Jet Impinging for Forced Convection Drying, *Thermal Science*, 22 (2018), 6B, pp. 2943-2953
- [10] Cengel, Y. A., Ghajar, A. J., Heat and Mass Transfer Principles and Applications, *Palme Yayıncılık*, 4th ed., Ankara, 2015
- [11] Doymaz, I., (2006). Thin-Layer Drying Behaviour of Mint Leaves, Journal of Food Engineering, 74 (2006), 3, pp. 370-375
- [12] Dincer, A., Experimental Study of Drying Behavior of Yarn Coils and Modeling of Drying, Ph. D. thesis, Trakya University, Institute of Science and Technology, Edirne, Turkey, 2011
- [13] Menges, H. O., Ertekin, C., Study of Thin Layer Drying Characteristics of Carrots, Agriculture Machines Science Journal, 2 (2006), 4, pp. 353-362
- [14] Efremov, G., Kudra, T., Calculation of the Effective Diffusion Coefficients by Applying a Quasi-Stationary Equation for Drying Kinetics, *Drying Technology*, 22 (2004), 10, pp. 2273-2279
- [15] Geankoplis, C. J., Transfer Processes and Separation Process Principles, (in Turkish) Guven Kitapevi, Izmir, Turkey, 2011
- [16] Sobukola, O. P., et al., Convective Hot Air Drying of Blanched Yam Slices, International Journal of Food Science and Technology, 43 (2008), 7, pp. 1233-1238

Sh		176.502	81.763	97.145	90.291	14.345	146.132	76.692	63.776	63.776	155.627	79.534	208.805	77.485	14.269	40.721	98.322	16.282	57.212	87.263	82.269	74.467	66.714	98.018	05.364	81.787	86.659	28.649	62.099	85.230	99.415	99.504	93.942	85.013	176.136	193.942	534.518
Sc		0.595 20	0.588 19	0.585 19	0.581 19	0.579 20	0.578 20	0.572 20	0.576 20	0.576 20	0.575 20	0.572 20	0.586 22	0.589 21	0.579 20	0.575 20	0.569 19	0.567 20	0.566 20	0.566 20	0.566 20	0.565 20	0.565 20	0.566 20	0.573 22	0.583 26	0.573 24	0.571 25	0.563 24	0.561 24	0.558 24	0.557 24	0.556 24	0.556 24	0.555 24	0.556 24	0.563 20
Nu		2201.386	2107.745	2127.792	2125.283	2152.809	2188.734	2228.917	2208.912	2208.912	2200.836	2231.967	2352.623	2315.232	2153.370	2184.877	2147.488	2169.214	2214.476	2246.825	2242.068	2234.282	2226.542	2257.777	2364.587	2859.661	2666.192	2714.325	2653.952	2681.787	2701.414	2704.354	2699.040	2690.076	2681.163	2699.040	2839.807
Flow type		Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.
Re		1072380	1016264	1028966	1027450	1044111	1065936	1090454	1078869	1078869	1073941	1092319	1165935	1142818	1044451	1064216	1041500	1054688	1082268	1102065	1099150	1094381	1089644	1108785	1174735	1488960	1364929	1395800	1357903	1375729	1388326	1390214	1386801	1381046	1375328	1386801	1477792
V_{da}		21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400
Pr		0.709	0.708	0.707	0.707	0.707	0.707	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.708	0.708	0.707	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.707	0.706	0.706	0.705	0.705	0.705	0.705	0.705	0.705	0.705	0.705	0.705
$\frac{v}{m^2 s^{-1}] \times 10^{-6}}$	-	20.189	21.414	22.021	22.882	23.208	23.424	23.607	23.716	23.716	23.825	23.607	21.945	21.204	23.316	23.825	25.260	25.709	25.935	25.935	26.048	26.162	26.276	25.822	24.372	22.342	24.372	24.814	26.466	26.924	27.618	27.968	28.203	28.320	28.438	28.203	26.466
$\frac{\mu}{[\text{kgm}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}] \times 10^{-6}}$	-	20.657	21.174	21.413	21.771	21.904	21.990	22.045	22.088	22.088	22.131	22.045	21.399	21.084	21.947	22.131	22.688	22.859	22.944	22.944	22.987	23.029	23.072	22.902	22.346	21.548	22.346	22.517	23.124	23.294	23.548	23.675	23.759	23.802	23.844	23.759	23.124
$k \ [Wm^{-1}K^{-1}] \times 10^{-3}$		29.446	30.283	30.698	31.252	31.457	31.591	31.725	31.792	31.792	31.859	31.725	30.629	30.144	31.524	31.859	32.730	32.998	33.132	33.132	33.199	33.266	33.333	33.065	32.194	30.906	32.194	32.462	33.467	33.735	34.137	34.338	34.472	34.539	34.606	34.472	33.467
Le [a/D _{4p}]	FGV	0.840	0.831	0.827	0.821	0.819	0.818	0.816	0.816	0.816	0.815	0.816	0.828	0.833	0.818	0.815	0.806	0.803	0.802	0.802	0.801	0.800	0.800	0.802	0.811	0.825	0.811	0.809	0.798	0.796	0.792	0.790	0.789	0.788	0.788	0.789	0.798
$D_{AB} = D_{AB} = 10^{-6}$		33.900	36.389	37.668	39.408	40.071	40.516	40.964	41.189	41.189	41.415	40.964	37.453	35.968	40.293	41.415	44.404	45.346	45.820	45.820	46.059	46.297	46.537	45.583	42.552	38.315	42.552	43.473	47.018	47.988	49.461	50.207	50.707	50.958	51.210	50.707	47.018
$\alpha \atop{m^2s^{-1}] \times 10^{-7}}$		284.759	302.462	311.465	323.656	328.259	331.314	334.381	335.919	335.919	337.461	334.381	309.956	299.488	329.785	337.461	357.789	364.151	367.351	367.351	368.956	370.564	372.174	365.750	345.216	316.012	345.216	351.477	375.406	381.906	391.749	396.713	400.037	401.704	403.374	400.037	375.406
kJkg ⁻¹ K-1	- 	1.011	1.013	1.014	1.015	1.015	1.016	1.016	1.016	1.016	1.016	1.016	1.013	1.012	1.016	1.016	1.018	1.019	1.019	1.019	1.020	1.020	1.020	1.019	1.017	1.014	1.017	1.018	1.020	1.021	1.022	1.023	1.023	1.023	1.023	1.023	1.020
ρ_{v}	2	1.023	0.989	0.972	0.951	0.944	0.939	0.934	0.931	0.931	0.929	0.934	0.975	0.994	0.941	0.929	0.898	0.889	0.885	0.885	0.882	0.880	0.878	0.887	0.917	0.964	0.917	0.907	0.874	0.865	0.853	0.846	0.842	0.840	0.838	0.842	0.874
T_f	3	345.5	357	363	370.5	373.5	376	378	379	379	379.5	378	362	355.5	375	380	393	397	399	399	399.5	400	400.5	397.5	384.5	365.5	385	389	404	408	414	417.5	419	419.5	420	419	403.5
$T_{f_{\hat{f}}}$	2	308	331	343	358	364	369	373	375	375	376	373	341	308	347	357	383	391	395	395	396	397	398	392	366	308	347	355	385	393	405	412	415	416	417	415	384
T_{da} [K]	[383	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	423	423	423	423	423	423	423	423	423	423	423	423
Time	3	Entry	18	36	54	72	90	108	126	144	162	180	Exit	Entry	18	36	54	72	90	108	126	144	162	180	Exit	Entry	18	36	54	72	90	108	126	144	162	180	Exit
abric abric ¹ -2m] >^ H	(J₀ 011) - ∠91.0														(2) ~ ()	EI)	- ,	L91	.0							(;	D∘ (120)-/	.91	0				

Akan, A. E., *et al.*: Determination of Drying Behaviour in Industrial Type Convectional Dryer ... THERMAL SCIENCE: Year 2020, Vol. 24, No. 3B, pp. 1935-1950

	Sh	2076.502	1981.763	2004.171	2048.470	2072.687	2104.716	2126.759	2078.862	2063.776	2055.627	2063.776	2129.669	2177.485	2038.766	2063.788	2110.225	2103.235	2112.671	2127.202	2113.965	2098.018	2082.269	2105.967	2179.799	2681.787	2486.659	2538.447	2594.419	2607.486	2526.909	2526.738	2511.961	2493.942	2485.013	2521.051	2624.743
	Sc	0.595	0.588	0.586	0.584	0.583	0.581	0.581	0.578	0.576	0.575	0.576	0.581	0.589	0.580	0.578	0.576	0.572	0.569	0.568	0.567	0.566	0.566	0.567	0.571	0.583	0.573	0.571	0.570	0.568	0.560	0.558	0.557	0.556	0.556	0.557	0.562
	Nu	2201.386	2107.745	2134.666	2183.098	2210.787	2246.843	2271.007	2223.745	2208.912	2200.836	2208.912	2274.115	2315.232	2177.652	2207.621	2258.627	2255.735	2269.733	2286.631	2273.674	2257.777	2242.068	2265.702	2339.192	2859.661	2666.192	2724.064	2785.717	2803.696	2728.955	2731.667	2717.120	2699.040	2690.076	2726.237	2830.039
	Flow type	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.
	Re	1072380	1016264	1032515	1062506	1079377	1101427	1116254	1087292	1078869	1073941	1078869	1118164	1142818	1059193	1077445	1109307	1107531	1116129	1126525	1118552	1108785	1099150	1113652	1158986	1488960	1364929	1402063	1441840	1453482	1406041	1407787	1398422	1386801	1381046	1404290	1471441
	V_{da}^{da}	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400
	Pr	0.709	0.708	0.708	0.707	0.707	0.707	0.707	0.707	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.707	0.708	0.707	0.707	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.707	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.705	0.705	0.705	0.705	0.705	0.705	0.705
	[m ² s ⁻¹]×10 ⁻⁶	20.189	21.414	21.838	22.128	22.450	22.774	22.882	23.532	23.716	23.825	23.934	22.774	21.309	22.991	23.532	23.716	24.482	25.148	25.372	25.596	25.822	26.048	25.822	24.703	22.342	24.372	24.703	24.925	25.484	27.270	27.735	27.968	28.203	28.320	27.851	26.580
	$[\rm kgm^{-1}s^{-1}] \times 10^{-6}$	20.657	21.174	21.354	21.458	21.592	21.727	21.771	22.033	22.088	22.131	22.174	21.727	21.129	21.816	22.033	22.088	22.389	22.645	22.731	22.816	22.902	22.987	22.902	22.474	21.548	22.346	22.474	22.560	22.774	23.421	23.590	23.675	23.759	23.802	23.633	23.167
	$k [Wm^{-1}K^{-1}] \times 10^{-3}$	29.446	30.283	30.560	30.767	30.975	31.182	31.252	31.658	31.792	31.859	31.926	31.182	30.214	31.321	31.658	31.792	32.261	32.663	32.797	32.931	33.065	33.199	33.065	32.395	30.906	32.194	32.395	32.529	32.864	33.936	34.204	34.338	34.472	34.539	34.271	33.534
	$\operatorname{Le}_{[a'D_{AB}]}$	0.840	0.831	0.828	0.826	0.824	0.822	0.821	0.817	0.816	0.815	0.814	0.822	0.832	0.821	0.817	0.816	0.811	0.806	0.805	0.804	0.802	0.801	0.802	0.809	0.825	0.811	0.809	0.808	0.804	0.794	0.791	0.790	0.789	0.788	0.791	0.798
	$[m^2 s^{-1}] \times 10^{-6}$	33.900	36.389	37.239	37.883	38.533	39.188	39.408	40.740	41.189	41.415	41.641	39.188	36.178	39.628	40.740	41.189	42.781	44.170	44.639	45.109	45.583	46.059	45.583	43.242	38.315	42.552	43.242	43.705	44.874	48.722	49.709	50.207	50.707	50.958	49.958	47.259
	$[m^2 s^{-1}] \times 10^{-7}$	284.759	302.462	308.451	312.977	317.534	322.121	323.656	332.846	335.919	337.461	339.005	322.121	300.973	325.195	332.846	335.919	346.777	356.206	359.375	362.556	365.750	368.956	365.750	349.907	316.012	345.216	349.907	353.050	360.964	386.813	393.400	396.713	400.037	401.704	395.055	377.026
	$\begin{bmatrix} c_p \\ [kJkg^{-1}K^{-1}] \end{bmatrix}$	1.011	1.013	1.013	1.014	1.014	1.015	1.015	1.016	1.016	1.016	1.016	1.015	1.012	1.015	1.016	1.016	1.017	1.018	1.019	1.019	1.019	1.020	1.019	1.018	1.014	1.017	1.018	1.018	1.019	1.022	1.022	1.023	1.023	1.023	1.022	1.020
	ρ_{v} [kgm ⁻³]	1.023	0.989	0.978	0.970	0.962	0.954	0.951	0.936	0.931	0.929	0.926	0.954	0.992	0.949	0.936	0.931	0.914	0.900	0.896	0.891	0.887	0.882	0.887	0.910	0.964	0.917	0.910	0.905	0.894	0.859	0.851	0.846	0.842	0.840	0.849	0.872
0 n)	$\begin{bmatrix} T_{f} \\ [K] \end{bmatrix}$	345.5	357	361.5	363.5	366.5	370	371	377	379	379.5	379	370.5	355.5	371.5	377	379	386	391.5	393.5	396	398	400	397.5	388	365.5	384.5	387.5	390	394.5	410.5	414.5	417	418.5	419	415.5	404.5
inuati	$\begin{bmatrix} T_{f_{\hat{f}_{i}_{j}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$	308	331	340	344	350	357	359	371	375	376	375	358	308	340	351	355	369	380	384	389	393	397	392	373	308	346	352	357	366	398	406	411	414	415	408	386
(Cont	$\begin{bmatrix} T_{da} \\ [K] \end{bmatrix}$	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	423	423	423	423	423	423	423	423	423	423	423	423
dix 1.	Time [s]	Entry	6	18	27	36	45	54	63	72	81	90	Exit	Entry	6	18	27	36	45	54	63	72	81	90	Exit	Entry	6	18	27	36	45	54	63	72	81	90	Exit
Appen	Fabric Velocity [ms ⁻¹]			0.3333 - (110 °C)													(2) ₀ (061)		888 8	.0							(2)。()S []) - {	:55:	0			

Sh	2076.502	1990.184	2012.572	2064.247	2048.470	2113.351	2126.759	2078.862	2063.776	2055.627	2095.528	2112.416	2177.485	2055.462	2088.688	2125.651	2136.400	2153.814	2151.775	2122.014	2105.967	2082.269	2113.965	2130.113	2681.787	2496.392	2558.230	2614.537	2637.532	2630.383	2601.811	2557.962	2502.925	2493.942	2539.396	2595.774
Sc	0.595	0.589	0.586	0.586	0.584	0.582	0.581	0.578	0.576	0.575	0.579	0.580	0.589	0.581	0.579	0.578	0.574	0.572	0.570	0.568	0.567	0.566	0.567	0.568	0.583	0.573	0.572	0.571	0.569	0.566	0.562	0.559	0.557	0.556	0.558	0.561
Nu	2201.386	2116.082	2142.997	2198.653	2183.098	2255.429	2271.007	2223.745	2208.912	2200.836	2240.241	2256.945	2315.232	2194.262	2232.262	2273.795	2288.652	2310.644	2311.090	2281.693	2265.702	2242.068	2273.674	2289.760	2859.661	2675.854	2743.721	2805.719	2833.613	2832.245	2806.832	2763.227	2708.054	2699.040	2744.626	2801.074
Flow type	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.	Turb.
Re	1072380	1021291	1037555	1071346	1062506	1106690	1116254	1087292	1078869	1073941	1097383	1107620	1142818	1069302	1092500	1117967	1127770	1141333	1141608	1123485	1113652	1099150	1118552	1128453	1488960	1371115	1414721	1454793	1472894	1472005	1456374	1428148	1392593	1386801	1416141	1452641
V_{da} [ms ⁻¹]	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	21.850	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	24.450	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400	33.400
Pr	0.709	0.708	0.708	0.708	0.707	0.707	0.707	0.707	0.706	0.706	0.707	0.707	0.708	0.707	0.707	0.707	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.707	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.706	0.705	0.705	0.705	0.705	0.705	0.705
m ² s ⁻¹]×10 ⁻⁶	20.294	21.309	21.838	21.945	22.128	22.666	22.882	23.424	23.716	23.825	23.316	23.100	21.309	22.774	23.208	23.532	24.043	24.593	25.037	25.484	25.709	26.048	26.048	25.372	22.342	24.262	24.482	24.703	25.148	26.048	26.809	27.386	28.085	28.203	27.618	26.924
$[\rm kgm^{-1}s^{-1}] \times 10^{-6}$	20.704	21.129	21.354	21.399	21.458	21.682	21.771	21.990	22.088	22.131	21.947	21.861	21.129	21.727	21.904	22.033	22.217	22.431	22.603	22.774	22.859	22.987	22.987	22.731	21.548	22.303	22.389	22.474	22.645	22.987	23.252	23.463	23.717	23.759	23.548	23.294
$[Wm^{-1}K^{-1}] \times 10^{-3}$	29.518	30.214	30.560	30.629	30.767	31.113	31.252	31.591	31.792	31.859	31.524	31.390	30.214	31.182	31.457	31.658	31.993	32.328	32.596	32.864	32.998	33.199	33.199	32.797	30.906	32.127	32.261	32.395	32.663	33.199	33.668	34.003	34.405	34.472	34.137	33.735
${\rm Le} \ [\alpha/D_{AB}]$	0.839	0.832	0.828	0.828	0.826	0.823	0.821	0.818	0.816	0.815	0.818	0.820	0.832	0.822	0.819	0.817	0.813	0.810	0.807	0.804	0.803	0.801	0.801	0.805	0.825	0.812	0.811	0.809	0.806	0.801	0.796	0.793	0.790	0.789	0.792	0.796
$[\mathrm{m^2 s^{-1}}]_{\times 10^{-6}}^{D_{AB}}$	34.104	36.178	37.239	37.453	37.883	38.969	39.408	40.516	41.189	41.415	40.293	39.849	36.178	39.188	40.071	40.740	41.867	43.011	43.937	44.874	45.346	46.059	46.059	44.639	38.315	42.323	42.781	43.242	44.170	46.059	47.744	48.968	50.457	50.707	49.461	47.988
$[m^2s^{-1}] \times 10^{-7}$	286.230	300.973	308.451	309.956	312.977	320.588	323.656	331.314	335.919	337.461	329.785	326.737	300.973	322.121	328.259	332.846	340.553	348.340	354.627	360.964	364.151	368.956	368.956	359.375	316.012	343.659	346.777	349.907	356.206	368.956	380.276	388.455	398.373	400.037	391.749	381.906
$\left[[kJkg^{c_{p}}_{g^{-1}}K^{-1}] \right]$	1.011	1.012	1.013	1.013	1.014	1.015	1.015	1.016	1.016	1.016	1.016	1.015	1.012	1.015	1.015	1.016	1.017	1.017	1.018	1.019	1.019	1.020	1.020	1.019	1.014	1.017	1.017	1.018	1.018	1.020	1.021	1.022	1.023	1.023	1.022	1.021
ρ_{ν} [kgm ⁻³]	1.020	0.992	0.978	0.975	0.970	0.957	0.951	0.939	0.931	0.929	0.941	0.946	0.992	0.954	0.944	0.936	0.924	0.912	0.903	0.894	0.889	0.882	0.882	0.896	0.964	0.919	0.914	0.910	0.900	0.882	0.867	0.857	0.844	0.842	0.853	0.865
$\begin{bmatrix} T_f \\ [K] \end{bmatrix}$	345.5	356	360.5	362	364	368.5	371	376	379	379.5	375	372.5	355.5	370	374	376.5	382	386.5	390.5	394.5	397	399.5	395.5	394	365.5	384	386	388	391.5	399.5	407	412	418	418.5	414	408
$[\mathbf{K}]^{T_{f_{f_{f_{f_{f_{f_{f_{f_{f_{f_{f_{f_{f_$	308	329	338	341	345	354	359	369	375	376	367	362	308	337	345	350	361	370	378	386	391	396	388	385	308	345	349	353	360	376	391	401	413	414	405	393
T _{da} [K]	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	383	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	403	423	423	423	423	423	423	423	423	423	423	423	423
Time [s]	Entry	9	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60	Exit	Entry	9	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60	Exit	Entry	9	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60	Exit
Fabric Velocity [ms ⁻¹]	і л (Э° 011) - 005.0 I												0.500 - (130 °C)										I	(D° 051) - 002.0												

1950

Appendix 1. (Continuation)